



# भारत का राजपत्र The Gazette of India

प्राधिकार से प्रकाशित  
PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

सं 41] नई दिल्ली, शनिवार, अक्टूबर 13, 1990/आश्विन 21, 1912  
No. 41] NEW DELHI, SATURDAY, OCTOBER 13, 1990/ASVIN 21, 1912

इस भाग में भिन्न पृष्ठ संख्या दी जाती है जिससे कि यह अलग संकलन के रूप में  
रखा जा सके

Separate Paging is given to this Part in order that it may be filed as a  
separate compilation

## भाग II—खण्ड 3—उप-खण्ड (ii) PART II—Section 3—Sub-Section (ii)

(रक्षा मंत्रालय को छोड़ कर) भारत सरकार के मंत्रालयों द्वारा जारी किए गए सांविधिक आदेश और अधिसूचनाएं  
Statutory Orders and Notifications Issued by the Ministries of the Government of India other than  
the Ministry of Defence)

वित्त मंत्रालय

(प्राथमिक कार्य विभाग)

(वैकिंग प्रभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 17 सितम्बर, 1990

क्र.आ. 2677 :—भारतीय औद्योगिक पुनर्निर्माण बैंक  
अधिनियम, 1984 (1984 का 62) की धारा 10 की  
उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (ग) के उपखंड (1) के अनुसार  
में केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्वारा श्री टी. एस. साम्बा जी,  
सलाहकार (तकनीकी), भारी उद्योग विभाग, उद्योग मंत्रालय,  
नई दिल्ली को श्री एन. पी. सिंह के स्थान पर भारतीय  
औद्योगिक पुनर्निर्माण बैंक के निदेशक के रूप में नामित  
करती है।

[संख्या एफ. 7/5/90-बी.ओ.-I]

एम. एस. मीनारामन, अवर सचिव

MINISTRY OF FINANCE

(Department of Economic Affairs)

(Banking Division)

New Delhi, the 17th September, 1990

S.O. 2677.—In pursuance of sub-clause (i) of clause (d) of  
sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Reconstruction  
Bank of India Act, 1984 (62 of 1984) the Central Govern-  
ment hereby nominates Shri T. S. Sambaji, Adviser (Techni-  
cal), Department of Heavy Industry, Ministry of Industry  
New Delhi as a Director of the Industrial Reconstruction  
Bank of India vice Shri N. P. Singh.

[No. F. 7/5/90-B.O.-I]

M. S. SEETHARAMAN, Under Secy.

वाणिज्य मंत्रालय

(मुख्य नियंत्रक, आयात-निर्यात का कार्यालय)

आदेश

नई दिल्ली, 20 सितम्बर, 1990

क्र.आ. 2678 :—मै. प्रीसीशन बाल्व (इन्डिया)  
प्राइवेट लिमिटेड को 6,78,000/- रुपये (छः लाख अठत्तर

हजार रुपये मात्र) (अमेरिकी डालर 44,005) का आयात लाइसेंस सं. पी/सी जी/2125151/सी/20/12/एच/89/एस एस आई दिनांक-9-6-89 मंजूर किया गया था और उसके बाद इसे मूल विदेशी मुद्रा के अन्तर्गत 1/1" एरोसोल वाल्व एसेम्बली लाइन स्पीड-210 वाल्व/मिनट पावर सप्लाई 3 फेज, 220 वोल्ट्स, 1 फेज 110 वोल्ट्स, 60 एच जैड एयर रिकवायरमेंट 85 सी एफ एम (@) 90 पी एस आई (2) 20 एस एम वाल्व एसेम्बली लाइन स्पीड-40 पी सी एस/मिन-पावर सप्लाई-3 फेज, 380 वोल्ट्स, 50 साइकल एयर रिकवायरमेंट-1.5 एम<sup>3</sup>/मिनट (3) स्टेम एसेम्बली मशीन स्पीड-300 स्ट्रोक/मिनट. पावर रिकवायरमेंट-मिगल फेज, 220 वोल्ट्स 50 साइकल एयर रिकवायरमेंट 1.5 एम<sup>3</sup>/मिनट. (4) जोहन हीयादन मॉडल 730-ए हाई स्पीड डबल ट्रंक 30 टन कैपेसिटी प्रेस (1982 की सीविन्च हैन्ड) (5) कप गैस्केटिंग मशीन (नई), का आस्ट्रेलिया/संयुक्त राज्य अमेरिका/फ्रांस/सिंगापुर से आयात करने के लिए बढ़ाकर 10,02,400/- रुपये (10 लाख दो हजार और चार सौ रुपये मात्र) (अमेरिकी डालर 52,005 सिंगापूर डालर 23,500) कर दिया गया था।

फर्म ने उपर्युक्त लाइसेंस की सीमा शुल्क प्रयोजन प्रति-अनुलिपि जारी करने का आवेदन इस आधार पर किया है कि लाइसेंस की मूल सीमा शुल्क प्रयोजन प्रति खो/गुम हो गई है। उन्होंने यह भी बताया है कि लाइसेंस की सीमा-शुल्क प्रयोजन प्रति बम्बई (सीमा शुल्क हाउस) के साथ पंजीकृत कराई हुई थी और इसका इस्तेमाल आंशिक रूप से 6,80,340/- रुपये तक किया जा चुका है और अब सीमा शुल्क प्रयोजन के लिए अनुलिपि प्रति जंग धनराशि 3,22,060 के रुपये के लिए अपेक्षित है।

अपनी दलील के समर्थन में लाइसेंसधारी ने नोटरी पब्लिक बम्बई के समक्ष विधिवत शपथ लेकर स्टाम्प पेपर पर हस्ताक्षर दायित्व किया है। तदनुसार में इस बात से सन्तुष्ट हूं कि फर्म द्वारा सीमा शुल्क प्रयोजन के लिए आयात लाइसेंस सं. पी/सी जी/2125151 दिनांक 9-6-89 की मूल प्रति खो/गुम हो गई है। यथा संशोधित आयात (नियंत्रण) आदेश, 1955 दिनांक 7-12-55 की उप-धारा 9 (ग) के अन्तर्गत प्रदत्त अधिकारों का प्रयोग करते हुए मैं प्रीसीशन वाल्व (इन्डिया) प्राइवेट लिमिटेड, बम्बई को जारी की गई उक्त लाइसेंस सं. पी/सी जी/2125151

दिनांक 9-6-89 की मूल सीमा शुल्क प्रयोजन प्रति एतद्द्वारा रद्द की जाती है।

उक्त लाइसेंस की सीमा शुल्क प्रयोजन प्रति की अनुलिपि प्रति पार्टी को अलग से जारी की जा रही है।

[सं. सी.जी.-1/1199 (12)/88-89]

एस.के. भारद्वाज, उप मुख्य नियंत्रक,  
आयात-निर्यात

#### MINISTRY OF COMMERCE

(Office of the Chief Controller, Import and Export)

#### ORDER

New Delhi, the 20th September, 1990

S.O. 2678.--M/s. Precision Valve (India) Private Ltd., Bombay were granted an Import Licence No. P/CG/2125151/C/XX/12/H/89,SSI dated 9-6-89 for Rs. 6,78,000 (Rs. Six Lakhs & Seventy Eight Thousand only) US\$ 44,005 and subsequently it as enhanced to Rs. 10,02,400 ( Rs. Ten Lakhs Two Thousand & Four Hundred only) (US\$ 52,005+Singapore Dollar 23,500) for the import of 1) 1" Aerosol Valve Assembly Line Speed-210 Valve/minute power supply 3 phase, 220 volts, 1 phase 110 volts, 60 HZ air requirement-85 CFM @ 90 psi (2) 20mm valve assembly line speed-40 pcs/min. power supply-3 phase, 380 volts, 50 cycle air requirement-1.5m<sup>3</sup>/minute. (3) Stem assembly machine speed-300 strokes/min power requirement-single phase, 220 volts 50 cycle air requirement 1.5m<sup>3</sup>/minute. (4) John Heien Model 730-A High Speed Double trunk 30 tonnes capacity press (Second-Hand of 1982) (5) Cup gasketing machine (New), under Free Foreign Exchange for import for Australia/USA/France/Singapore.

The firm has applied for issue of duplicate copy of Customs Purpose Copy of the abovementioned licence on the ground that the original Customs Purpose Copy of the licence has been lost/misplaced. It has further been stated that the Customs Purpose Copy of the licence having been registered with Bombay (Custom House) has been utilised partly for Rs. 6,80,340 and the duplicate Customs Purpose Copy is now required for the balance amount of Rs. 3,22,060.

In support of their contention, the licensee has filed an affidavit on stamped paper duly sworn in before a Notary Public, Bombay. I am accordingly satisfied that the original Customs Purpose Copy of Import Licence No. P/CG/2125151 dated 9-6-89 has been lost or misplaced by the firm. In exercise of the powers conferred under Sub-clause 9(cc) of the Import (Control) Order, 1955 dated 7-12-1955 as amended, the said original Customs Purpose Copy of Licence No. P/CG/2125151 dated 9-6-89 issued to M/s. Precision Valve (India) Private Ltd, Bombay is hereby cancelled.

A duplicate Customs Purpose Copy of the said licence is Being issued to the party separately.

[No. CG.I/1199(12)/88-89]

S. K. BHARDWAJ, Dy. Chief Controller of Imports and Exports

#### साक्ष एवं नागरिक प्रति मंत्रालय

( नागरिक प्रति विभाग )

भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो

नई दिल्ली, 18 सितम्बर, 1990

का. भा. 2679 :- भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो नियम, 1987 के नियम 7 के उपनियम (1) के खंड "ख" के अनुसरण में भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो एतद्द्वारा अधिसूचित करता है कि नीचे अनुसूची में दिये गए मानकों में संशोधन किये गये हैं।

## अनुसूची

क्रम सं.	संशोधित भारतीय मानक की संख्या और वर्ष	जिस राजपत्र अधिसूचना में भारतीय मानक की स्थापना की अधिसूचना	संशोधन की संख्या और तिथि	संशोधन लागू होने की तारीख
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
1.	आईएस : 10201 (भाग 1)--1988	सं. 1 मई 1990	1990-05-31	मुख्य पृष्ठ तथा सभी अन्य तत्संबंधी पेज, पदनाम-- आईएस : 10201 (भाग 1)--1988 के स्थान पर आईएस : 15999-1988 प्रतिस्थापित करें।
2.	आईएस : 10201 (भाग 2)--1988	सं. 1 मई 1990	1990-05-31	मुख्य पृष्ठ तथा सभी अन्य तत्संबंधी पेज, 3 पदनाम-- आईएस : 10201 (भाग 2)--1988 के स्थान पर आईएस : 14000-1988 प्रतिस्थापित करें।
3.	आईएस : 10201 (भाग 3)--1989	सं. 1 मई 1990	1990-05-31	मुख्य पृष्ठ तथा सभी अन्य तत्संबंधी पेज, पदनाम आईएस : 10201 (भाग 3)--1989 के स्थान पर आईएस : 14004--1989 प्रतिस्थापित करें।
4.	आईएस : 10201 (भाग 4)--1988	सं. 1 मई 1990	1990-05-31	मुख्य पृष्ठ तथा सभी अन्य तत्संबंधी पेज, पदनाम आईएस : 10201 (भाग 4)--1988 के स्थान पर आईएस : 14001--1988 प्रतिस्थापित करें।
5.	आईएस : 10201 (भाग 5)--1988	सं. 1 मई 1990	1990-05-31	मुख्य पृष्ठ तथा सभी अन्य तत्संबंधी पेज, पदनाम आईएस : 10201 (भाग 5)--1988 के स्थान पर आईएस : 14002--1988 प्रतिस्थापित करें।
6.	आईएस : 10201 (भाग 6)--1988	सं. 1 मई 1990	1990-05-31	मुख्य पृष्ठ तथा सभी अन्य तत्संबंधी पेज, पदनाम आईएस : 10201 (भाग 6)--1988 के स्थान पर आईएस : 14003--1988 प्रतिस्थापित करें।

[सं. के. प्र. वि. 13 : 5]

## MINISTRY OF FOOD AND CIVIL SUPPLIES

(Department of Civil Supplies)

## BUREAU OF INDIAN STANDARDS

New Delhi, the 19th September, 1990

S.O.2679—In pursuance of clause (b) of Sub Rule 1 of Rule 7 of Bureau of Indian Standards Rules, 1987, the Bureau of Indian Standards, hereby notifies that amendment (s) to the Indian Standard (s) given in the schedule hereto annexed have been issued.

## SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	No. and year of the Indian Standard amended	No. and date of the amendment	Date from which the amendment shall have effect	Remarks
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
1.	IS : 10201 (Pt. I)--1988	No. 1 May 1990	1990-05-31	Cover page and all other relevant pages, designation—Substitute 'IS

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
				13999: 1988' for 'IS : 10201 (Pt. 1)—1988'.
2.	IS : 10201 (Pt. 2)—1988	No. 1 May 1990	1990-05-31	Cover page and all other relevant pages, designation—Substitute 'IS 14000 : 8' for IS : 10201 (Pt. 2)—1988'
3.	IS : 10201 (Pt. 3)—1989	No. 1 May 1990	1990-05-31	Cover page and all other relevant pages, designation—Substitute 'IS 14004 : 1989' for 'IS : 10201 (Pt. 3)—1987'.
4.	IS : 10201 (Pt. 4)—1988	No. 1 May 1990	1990-05-31	Cover page and all other relevant : pages, designation—Substitute 'IS 14001 : 1988' for 'IS : 10201 (Pt. 4)—1988'.
5.	IS : 10201 (Pt. 5)—1988	No. 1 May 1990	1990-05-31	Cover page and all other relevant pages, designation—Substitute 'IS 14002 : 1988' for 'IS : 10201 (Pt. 5)—1988'.
6.	IS : 10201 (Pt. 6)—1988	No. 1 May 1990	1990-05-31	Cover page and all other relevant pages, designation—Substitute 'IS 14003 : 1988' for 'IS : 10201 (Pt. 6)—1988'.

[No. CMD/13 : 5]

का.पा. 2680:—भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो एलबुद्धारा अधिसूचित करता है कि नीचे अनुसूची के स्तम्भ (2) और (3) में उल्लिखित उत्पादों से संबंधित जो सुहोकरन पीस अनुसूची के स्तम्भ (7) में अथवा (8) में वर्गीकृत गयी हैं, और जिन्हें पहले भारत के राजपत्र, भाग 2, खंड 3, उपखण्ड (2) में अधिसूचित किया गया था, उनमें अनुसूची के स्तम्भ (4), (5) और (6) के अनुसार संशोधन किया गया है।

## अनुसूची

क्रम सं.	उत्पाद	भारतीय मानक की संख्या तथा वर्ष	हकार्द
1	2	3	4
1.	मशीन से बना चमड़ा	आई एस : 16 (भाग 2)—1973	एक टन
2.	अग्नि शमन हेतु गैर रिसावशील नमन बिलीवरी होज	आई एस : 636—1988	100 सी
3.	औद्योगिक बिटुमेस	आई एस : 702—1988	एक टन
4.	क्रिकेट के बल्ले	आई एस : 828—1978	एक बल्ला
5.	क्रीडा में जोड़ों में तप्त लगाया जाने वाला सीलिंग योगिक	आई एस : 1834—1984	एक टन
6.	चमड़े के सुरक्षा बूट और जूते	आई एस : 1989 (भाग 2)—1986	एक जोड़ा
7.	भारी धातु उद्योग के लिए चमड़े के सुरक्षा बूट और जूते	आई एस : 1989 (भाग 2)—1986	एक जोड़ा
8.	द्रव घनत्वमापी	आई एस : 3104 (भाग 2)—1982	एक नमूना
9.	अधः स्तरीय सुइयाँ	आई एस : 3316—1983	1000 सुइयाँ
10.	फोफे के बाला चस्का	आई एस : 4142—1967	एक चस्का

1	2	3	4
11.	द्रव महाने का साबुन	आई एस : 4199-1974	एक किली
12.	घरेलू कपड़े के बुना	आई एस : 4517-1967	एक बुना
13.	घरेलू कपड़े धोने का डिजेंट पाउडर	आई एस : 4955-1982	एक टन
14.	सोडियम ओसाइड (कार्बन डाइऑक्साइड अवशोषक के रूप में)	आई एस : 5380-1976	एक किग्रा
15.	भवनों के अंदर प्रयोग प्लास्टिक इन्सुलेशन रोगन के लिए	आई एस : 5411 (भाग 1)-19	एक लिटर
16.	धातु की द्रव पालिश	आई एस : 5487-1969	एक किलि.
17.	बुझा गम और बबल गम	आई एस : 6747-1981	10 किग्रा.
18.	त्रिकस द्रव घनस्थायी	आई एस : 7324-1983	एक सग
19.	सुखु साबुन	आई एस : 7532-1974	एक टन
20.	घरेलू कपड़े धोने की डिजेंट टिकिया	आई एस : 8180-1982	एक टन
21.	एल्काइल बेंजीन सल्फोनिक अम्ल	आई एस : 8401-1977	एक टन
22.	वनस्पति और खाद्य तेलों के लिए 15 किग्रा के बीकोर कनस्तर	आई एस : 10325-1982	एक कनस्तर
23.	वनस्पति के लिए ब्लो संचकित एचडीपीई आधान	आई एस : 10840-1986	100 आधान

मुद्रांकन फीस की दर		भारत सरकार के राजपत्र अधिसूचना का संबंध			
प्रति इकाई	इकाइयों के लिए	जिसमें आंशिक रूप से अधिकृत किया गया था का.घा.स और दि.	जिसे आंशिक रूप से संशोधित किया गया था का.घा.स तथा दि.	भारत के राजपत्र के जारी होने की तारीख	लागू होने की तिथि
5	6	7	8	9	10
25.00	सभी	---	220 1983-12-27	1984-01-21	89-12-01
10.00	सभी	---	4199 1983-10-26	1983-11-19	90-05-01
5.00	सभी	2353 1980-08-12	---	1980-09-13	90-05-01
0.20	सभी	2365 1983-04-28	---	1983-05-28	90-05-01
12.00	सभी	---	2442 1980-08-27	1980-09-20	90-05-01
0.20	सभी	---	4199 1983-10-26	1983-11-19	-बही-
0.20	सभी	---	4199 1983-10-26	1983-11-19	90-05-01
0.10	सभी	---	3698	1983-10-01	89-12-01
3.00	सभी	---	4199 1982-10-26	1983-11-19	90-05-01
0.20	सभी	---	4199 1983-10-26	1983-11-19	90-05-01
12.50	सभी	---	4199 1983-10-26	1983-11-19	89-12-01
0.02	सभी	---	---	---	-बही-
4.00	सभी	---	4199 1983-10-26	1983-11-19	89-12-01
0.06	सभी	---	220 1983-12-27	1984-01-21	89-12-01

(3)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
0.15	सभी	---	—वही—	—वही—	—वही—
25.00	सभी	---	—वही—	—वही—	—वही—
0.19	पहली 50000	---	—वही—	—वही—	—वही—
0.10	अगली 150000	---	207	1983-01-01	83-11-30
0.08	शेष	---	1982-12-15		
टिप्पणी : सुहराकम शुल्क की दर में परिवर्तन कर दिया है जो कि 1989-07-01 से प्रभावी होगा :					
(1) रु. 35.00 प्रति इकाई पहली 100 इकाइयों के लिए,					
(2) रु. 15.00 प्रति इकाई 101वीं और अधिक के लिए (इकाई = 1 टन)					
0.10	सभी	---	272	1990-03-03	89-12-01
			1990-01-04		
10.00	सभी	---	4199	1983-11-19	—वही—
			1989-10-26		
10.00	सभी	---	220	1984-01-21	—वही—
			1983-12-27		
50.00	सभी	---	4199	1983-1-19	—वही—
			1983-10-26		
0.03	सभी	---	3004	1987-10-32	88-08-01
			1987-09-25		
1.50	सभी	---	2968	1988-30-01	88-08-01
			1988-09-06		

[स. के. प्र. वि. 13 : 10]

S.O. 2680:—The Bureau of Indian Standards, hereby notifies that the marking fees as notified earlier in Part-II, Section-3, Sub-section (ii) of the Gazette of India, shown in Col. 7 or 8 of the Schedule given hereunder, in respect of the various products shown under Col. 2 and 3 of the same Schedule have been revised as mentioned in Col. 4, 5 and 6 thereof.

## SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Product	IS : No. & Year	Unit
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
1.	Shallec machine made	IS : 16 (Part III)—1973	One Tonne
2.	Non-percolating flexible fire fighting delivery hose.	IS : 636—1988	100 m
3.	Industrial bitumen	IS : 702—1988	One Tonne
4.	Cricket bats	IS : 828—1978	One Bat
5.	Hot applied mealing compounds for joints in concrete.	IS : 1834—1984	One Tonne

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
6. Leather safety boots and shoes	IS : 1989 (Part I)—1986	One pair	
7. Leather safety boots and shoes for heavy metal industry.	IS : 1989 (Part II)—1986	-do-	
8. Density hydrometers	IS : 3104 (Part I)—1982	One piece	
9. Hypodermic needles	IS : 3317—1983	1000 Needles	
10. Discus	IS : 4142—1967	One Discus	
11. Liquid toilet soap	IS : 4199—1974	One Kilo Litre	
12. Brushes, welder's	IS : 4517—1967	One Brush	
13. Household laundry detergent powders	IS : 4955—1982	One Tonne	
14. Sodium bromide (as carbon dioxide absorbent)	IS : 5380—1976	One Kg	
15. Plastic emulsion paint for interior use	IS : 5411 (Part I)	One Litre	
16. Metal polish, Liquid	IS : 5487—1969	One Kilo Litre	
17. Chewing gum and bubble gum	IS : 6747—1981	10 Kg	
18. Brix hydrometers	IS : 7324—1983	One Piece	
19. Soft soap	IS : 7532—1974	One Tonne	
20. Household laundry detergent bars	IS : 8180—1982	One Tonne	
21. Alkyl benzene sulphonic acid	IS : 8401—1977	One Tonne	
22. 15-Kg square tins for vanaspati and edible oils	IS : 10325—1982	One Tin	
23. Blow moulded HDPE containers for vanaspati.	IS : 10840—1986	100 Containers	

## SCHEDULE

Marking Fee Rate Per unit For Unit		Reference of Govt. of India, Gazette Notification Partially Superseded Modified		Date of Issue of Gazette of India	Date of Effect
Rs.	P.	S.O. No. and Date	S.O. No. and Date		
(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
25.00	All	—	220 1983-12-27	1984-01-21	1989-12-01
10.00	All	—	4199 1983-10-26	1983-11-19	1990-05-01
5.00	All	2353 1980-08-12	—	1980-09-13	1990-05-01
0.20	All	2365 1983-04-28	—	1983-05-28	1990-05-01
12.00	All	—	2442 1980-08-27	1980-09-20	1990-05-01
0.20	All	—	4199 1983-10-26	1983-11-19	-do-
0.20	All	—	-do-	-do-	-do-
0.10	All	—	3698	1983-01-01	1989-12-01

5	6	7	8	9	10
3.00	All	—	4199 1983-10-26	1983-11-19	1990-05-01
0.20	All	—	4199 1983-10-26	1983-11-19	1990-05-01
12.50	All	—	4199 1983-10-26	1983-11-19	1989-12-01
0.02	All	—	—	—	-do-
4.00	All	—	4199 1983-10-26	1983-11-19	1989-12-01
0.06	All	—	220 1983-12-27	1984-01-21	-do-
0.15	All	—	-do-	-do-	-do-
25.00	All	—	-do-	-do-	-do-
0.19 First 50000		—	207	1983-01-01	1983-11-30
0.10 Next 150000			1982-12-15		
0.06 Remaining					
Note : Rate of marking fee has since been again revised as under with effect from 1989-07-01 :					
(i) Rs. 35.00 per unit for the first 100 units and					
(ii) Rs. 15.00 per unit for the 101st unit and above.					
(Unit = 1 Tone)					
0.10	All	—	272 1990-01-04	1990-02-03	1989-12-01
10.00	All	—	4199 1989-10-26	1983-11-19	-do-
10.00	All	—	220 1983-12-27	1984-01-21	-do-
50.00	All	—	4199 1983-10-26	1983-11-19	-do-
0.03	All	—	3004 1987-09-25	1987-10-31	1988-08-01
1.50	All	—	2968 1988-09-06	1988-10-01	1988-08-01

[No. CMD/13 :10]

का. आ. 2681.—भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो नियम 1987 के नियम 7 के उपनियम (1) की खंड (ख) के अनुसरण में भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो एतद्वारा अधिसूचित करता है कि जिस भारतीय मानकों, के विवरण नीचे अनुसूची में दिए गए हैं, वे धितक 31 जनवरी 90 को स्थापित हो गए हैं।

अनुसूची

क्रम सं. स्थापित भारतीय मानक (की) की संख्या वर्ष और शीर्षक नए भारतीय मानक द्वारा अधिकृत भारतीय टिप्पणी यदि कोई हो स्थापना तिथि मानक अथवा मानकों, यदि कोई हों, की सं. और वर्ष

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
1. आईएस: 551—1989 बद्ध अपघर्षक उत्पाद (होरा/ घनाकार बोरोन नाइट्राईड) —अंकन संज्ञा (दूसरा पुनरीक्षण)		आई एस: 551-1986	31 जनवरी 1990



(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
2. आई एस : 666-- 1969 ऊन मसिने कपड़े के पानी सोखने के बाव आयासीय परिवर्तनों का निर्धारण (पहला पुनरीक्षण)	आई एस : 666--1969	1 जनवरी 1990	
3. आई एस : 1559 (भाग 1) -- 1968 फीरोसिलिकम के रासायनिक विश्लेषण की विधियां भाग-1 मिलोकम का निर्धारण (दूसरा पुनरीक्षण)	आई एस : 1559 (भाग 1) -- 1968	वही--	
4. आई एस : 1570 (भाग 4) -- 1968 पिटिंग इस्पात की अनुसूची भाग-4 मिश्र इस्पात संरचना मिश्र इस्पात और कमजोरी इस्पात) रासायनिक संघटन और संबंध रासायनिक गुणधर्म सहित (पहला पुनरीक्षण)	आई एस : 1570 -- 1968	वही--	
आई एस : 1800-- 1969 सुगंध सामग्री जेरेनियम-विशिष्ट (दूसरा पुनरीक्षण)	आई एस : 1800--1969	वही--	
6. आई एस : 2663 -- 1979 प्राथमिक तत्वों से संबद्ध पुरातन अनुसंधान हेतु स्वयं का डिजाईन (दूसरा पुनरीक्षण)	आई एस : 2663--1977	वही--	
7. आई एस : 2741-- 1979 नैपथाल विशिष्ट (दूसरा पुनरीक्षण)	आई एस : 2741 -- 1979	वही--	
8. आई एस : 3522 (भाग 2) -- 1969 अस्वादि-समान्य परिवर्तनों का आकलन (पहला पुनरीक्षण)	आई एस : 3522 (भाग 2) 1970 --	वही--	
9. आई एस : 4436-- 1989 रुई को गांठ बनाने के लिए पट्टन का लपेटन -- विशिष्ट (पहला पुनरीक्षण)	आई एस : 4436 1987	वही--	
10. आई एस : 4910 (भाग 3) -- 1989 कृत्रिम धागों से निर्मित टायर के लिए तंतु धागे और टायर हेतु धागा लपेटने के लिए लपेटन बद्धर (शीट) -- परीक्षण विधि भाग 3 पर और दीर्घीकरण अभिलक्षण	आई एस : 4910-- (भाग 3) 1969	वही--	
11. आई एस : 4910 (भाग 4) 1989 कृत्रिम धागों से निर्मित टायर के लिए तंतु धागे और टायर हेतु धागा लपेटने के लिए लपेटन बद्धर (शीट) -- परीक्षण विधि भाग 4 डिप विकस्य (पहला पुनरीक्षण)	आई एस : 4910 (भाग 4) -- 1970	वही--	
12. आई एस : 4910 (भाग 10) -- 1989 कृत्रिम धागों से निर्मित टायर के लिए तंतु धागे और टायर हेतु धागा लपेटने के लिए लपेटन बद्धर (शीट) -- परीक्षण विधि भाग-10 पर (पहला पुनरीक्षण)	आई एस : 4910 (भाग 10) -- 1971	वही--	
13. आई एस : 4910 (भाग 11) -- 1989 कृत्रिम धागों से निर्मित टायर के लिए तंतु धागे और टायर हेतु धागा लपेटने के लिए लपेटन बद्धर (शीट) -- परीक्षण विधि भाग 11 व्यावहारिक द्रव्यमान (पहला पुनरीक्षण)	आई एस : 4910 (भाग 11) -- 1971	वही--	
14. आई एस : 5613 (भाग 2/खंड 1) -- 1989 गिरोपरि पावर साईनों के डिजाईन संस्थापन और रखरखाव की रीति संहिता भाग 3, 400 किवा साईन खंड 1 डिजाईन	आई एस :	वही--	

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
15. आई एस 5613 (भाग 3 सं० 2)--1989 गिरोपरि पावर लाईनों के डिजाईन, संस्थापन और खरखाव की रीति संहिता भाग 2, 400 किग. नाईन सं० 2 संस्थापन और खरखाव	---		93 जनवरी 90
16. आई एस. 5905--1989 लोहे और इस्पात पर क्लोरिन गस्ता और एल्युमिनियम लेप प्रिण्टि (पहला पुनरीक्षण)	आई एस : 5905--1979	--बही--	
17. आई एस. 5913--1989 एस्वेल्स कीमेट उत्पाद परीक्षण विधि (पहला पुनरीक्षण)	आई एस : 5913--1970	--बही--	
18. आई एस : 5960--(भाग 10)--1988 मांस और मांस उत्पाद --परीक्षण विधि भाग 10 पीएस का आपस	---	--बही--	
19. आई एस : 5660 (भाग 11)--1988 मांस और मांस उत्पाद --परीक्षण विधि भाग 11--म्यूकोली डैल्टा-- लेक्टोस अंश का निर्धारण	--बही--	--बही--	
20. आई एस : 5960 (भाग 12)--1988 मांस और मांस उत्पाद -- परीक्षण विधि भाग 12 (+ ) (- ) म्यूटेमिक शर्करा का निर्धारण	--	--बही--	
21. आई एस : 5960 (भाग 13) 1988 मांस और मांस उत्पाद --परीक्षण विधि भाग 13 पालीफॉस्फेट की पहचान	--	बही -	
22. आई एस : 6586--1986 लोहे और इस्पात के रक्षण के लिए फ्लूरा --अनुशंगिक गीति (पहला पुनरीक्षण)	आई एस : 6586--1972	--बही--	
23. आई एस : 6699--1989 स्थायी सामग्री मिनिमोम प्लास्टिक का लेप प्रिण्टि (पहला पुनरीक्षण)	आई एस 6699--1972	--बही--	
24. आई एस : 6714--1989 डेक के लिए न फिजलनेवाला, आई एस : 6724--1972 फिनिशिंग हेतु सैराखुदा रोगन (पहला पुनरीक्षण)		--बही--	
25. आई एस : 6890 (भाग 1)--1989 दांत के लिए स्वर्ण मिश्रधातुओं के रासायनिक विश्लेषण भाग 1 स्वर्ण, चांदी, ईलेक्ट्रिक और प्लेटिनम का निर्धारण (पहला पुनरीक्षण)	आई एस : 6890 (भाग 1)--1973	--बही--	
26. आई एस : 6938--1989 ब्रह्मशक्ति वस्त्रों के लिए एसी ड्रम और जंजीरा हार्डस्ट का डिजाईन (पहला पुनरीक्षण)	आई एस : 6938--1973	--बही--	
27. आई एस : 7008 (भाग 1)--1988 आई एस और ट्रायोबोर्डल पेंच बूटियां भाग 1 ड्रेमिक प्रोफाईल और अधिकतम सामग्री प्रोफाईल (पहला पुनरीक्षण)	आई एस : 7008 (भाग 1)--1973	--बही--	
28. आई एस : 7008 (भाग 2)--1988 आई एस और ट्रायोबोर्डल पेंच बूटियां भाग 2 अन्तरात्म व्यास नियोजन (पहला पुनरीक्षण)	आई एस : 7008 (भाग 2)--1973	--बही--	
29. आई एस : 7008 (भाग 4)--1988 आई एस और ट्रायोबोर्डल पेंच बूटियां भाग 4 छूटें (पहला पुनरीक्षण)	आई एस : 7008 (भाग 4)--1973	--बही--	

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
30. आई एस : 7491-- 1989 धरेल सिलाई मशीन यथावत: आई एस : 7491-- 1974 अपेक्षाएं (पहला पुनरीक्षण)			1 जनवरी 1990
31. आई एस : 7694-- 1988 आई एस ओ श्रेणियों के लिए कॉले की फिटिंग की विशिष्टि श्रेणी: 1 मानवार्ही प्राधान (पहला पुनरीक्षण)	आई एस : 7694-- 1975	--वही--	
32. आई एस : 7906-- (भाग 7)-- 1989 हेलीकल संयोजन स्प्रिंग भाग 7 मुख्यतः वाहन मिलान कमानियों के रूप में प्रयुक्त बेलनाकार कुंडलित गुणता अपेक्षाएं		--वही--	
33. आई एस : 8674-- 1989 पालीथेराईलीन के रस्मे विशिष्टि (दूसरा पुनरीक्षण)	आई एस -- 8674-- 1980	--वही--	
34. आई एस : 9417-- 1989 बेस्डिंग -- प्रबलित आई एस: कंघीट निर्माण के लिए अत्यंत पीटे गए हल्का मरिग-- बेस्डिंग की अनुमति (पहला पुनरीक्षण)	आई एस 9417-- 1979	--वही--	
35. आई एस : 9592-- 1989 एल्यूमीनियम डायन में प्रयोग के लिए एल्यूमीनियम ट्राइफ्लोराईड विशिष्टि (पहला पुनरीक्षण)	आई एस : 9592-- 1980	--वही--	
36. आई एस : 10015(भाग 5)-- 1989 कपड़ों के सार्ज पदनाम भाग 3 महिलाओं और लड़कियों के लिए (पहला पुनरीक्षण)	आई एस : 10015(भाग 3)-- 1982	--वही--	
37. आई एस : 11014(भाग 4)-- 1989 दाब वैद्युत सिरमिक सामग्री की विशिष्टि भाग 4 टाइप एस एच	आई एस	--वही--	
38. आई एस : 11169 (भाग 2)-- 1989 अत्यंत हंडिंग/अत्यंत एक्स्ट्रुजन उपयोग के लिए टाइप भाग 2 स्टेनलीस इस्पात	--	--वही--	
39. आई एस : 11967(भाग 2/खंड 1)-- 1989 रेडियों आवृत्ति सह शक्ति केवल की विशिष्टि भाग 2 पालीथेराईलीन (प्रक्षेप टोस केवल ) खंड 1 टाईप आर -- 75-5 की 100	--	--वही--	
40. आई एस : 31967(भाग 2/खंड 2)-- 1989 रेडियों आवृत्ति सह शक्ति केवल की विशिष्टि भाग 2 पालीथेराईलीन (प्रक्षेप टोस केवल ) खंड 2 टाईप 120-- 7.5 की 101	--	--वही--	
41. आई एस : 11967 (भाग 3/खंड 4)-- 1989 रेडियों आवृत्ति सहशक्ति केवल--विशिष्टि भाग 3 टोस एक्स्ट्रुडेट /टोप लिफटा की टी एफई खंड 4 नम्य टाईप आर 75-2-एफ04	--	--वही--	
42. आई एस : 12505 (भाग 2)-- 1986 ट्रेकिंगो-- स्टोमी टयूर भाग 2 आकार भूत अपेक्षाएं	--	--वही--	
43. आई एस : 12600- 1989 पोटैलेंड सीमेंट, अल्प ताप-- विशिष्टि	--	--वही--	
44. आई एस : 12615-- 1989 प्रेरण मोटर उर्जाविक- तीन फेजी, स्किथरल पिजरी मोटर विशिष्टि	--	--वही--	

1	2	3	4
43. आई एस : 11967 (भाग 2/खंड 3)--1989 रेडियो प्रसारित सहप्रशोधन केवल-विशिष्ट भाग 2 पार्लियामेंटरी (प्रश्नोत्तर) केवल खंड 3 टाईम ग्रार 150-5-बी--102	--		31 जनवरी 1990
46. आई एस : 12665 (भाग 2)--1989 काब कार्यकारी योजना भाग 2 रिबेट लगाने के अनुकूल शीक	--		--वही--
47. आई एस : 12665 (भाग 3)-- 1989 दाब कार्यकारी योजना भाग 3 शाफ्ट के साथ पेंच कसी शीक	--		वही
48. आई एस : 12666--1988 पराधन्य दोष पहचान उपस्कर की कार्यकारिता मूल्यांकन की विधियाँ	--		--वही--
49. आई एस : 12676--1989 संसेपित कागज रोधित संघारित मृगिन-प्राधान्य और अपेक्षाएं	--		--वही--
50. आई एस : 12677--1989 श्रेणी संघारितो के लिए आन्तरिक फ्यूज-विशिष्ट	--		--उही--
51. आई एस : 12686--1989 अपघर्षक-हीरा और धनाकार मोरोन नार्डट्राईड मार्फोन पाउडर साईज मोरोन नार्डट्राईड, मार्फोन पाउडर साईज	--		--वही--
52. आई एस : 12694--1989 अधिक व्यास के इस्पात तार रस्से-विशिष्ट	--		--वही--
53. आई एस : 12696--1989 वानिकी योजना सेटिंग प्लास बी शरी ब्लेड-विशिष्ट	--		--वही--
54. आई एस : 12697--1989 वानिकी योजना सेटिंग हथोड़ा रेकर बालेबार दो आवधियों द्वारा बालित शरी-विशिष्ट	--		वही
55. आई एस : 12700--1988 बाले फालिंग नम्बर का निर्धारण	--		--वही--
56. आई एस : 12709--1989 जल प्राप्ति और मल जल हेतु काब रेखा प्रबलित प्लास्टिक (बी और पी) की विशिष्ट	--		--वही--
57. आई एस : 12710--1989 ध्वनिक उत्सर्जन परीक्षण-परिभाषिक गण्यवली	--		--वही--
58. आई एस : 12715--1989 कागज चिमकण के लिए पार्लियामेंट एल्कोहल-विशिष्ट	--		--वही--
59. आई एस : 12718--1989 परिधानों के लिए कमडा-कार्यकारिता अपेक्षाएं	--		वही-
60. आई एस : 12726--1989 आर्बर पिक्निंग ट्रक स्थिरता और स्थिरता परीक्षण	--		--वही--
61. आई एस : 12738--1988 ब्रव बालित ब्रव शक्ति-एक छड़ सिलिंडर इंटरग्रेल माउंटिंग सहित--10 बार (1000 कि पास्क) श्रेणी-बी 32 से 250 मिमी-तक माउंटिंग आधार	--		--वही--
62. आई एस : 12740--1989 धरेलू सिलाई मशीन-स्टैंड बाली --विशिष्ट	--		--वही--
63. आई एस : 12744-44989 तैयार शुद्ध रोगम बायु में सूखने वाला रेड आक्साईड-जिक फास्फेट प्राईमिंग-हेतु-विशिष्ट	--		--वही--

[स. क. प्र. वि. / 1.3 : 2]

**S.O. 2681 :—**In pursuance of clause (b) of Sub-rule (1) of Rule 7 of Bureau of Indian Standards Rules 1987, the Bureau of Indian Standards hereby notifies that the Indian Standard(s) Particulars of which are given in the Schedule hereto annexed, have been established on 31 January, 1990.

Sl. No.	Year and Title of the Indian Standard(s) Established	No. and year of the Indian Standard or Standards, if any, superseded by the new Indian Standard	Date of Establishment
1.	IS 551—1989 Bonded abrasive products (other than diamond/cubic boron nitrate)—marking system (second revision)	IS : 551—1966	31 Jan 1990
2.	IS : 665—1989 Textiles—determination of dimensional changes of fabrics containing wool on soaking in water (first revision)	IS : 665—1962	- do -
3.	IS : 1559 (Part 1)—1988 Methods of chemical analysis of ferrosilicon Part 1 Determination of silicon (second revision)	IS : 1559 (Part 1)—1982	- do -
4.	IS 1570 (Part 4)—1988 Schedules for wrought steels Part 4 Alloy steels (Alloy constructional and spring steels) with specified chemical composition and related mechanical properties (first revision)	IS : 1570—1961	- do -
5.	IS : 1800—1989 Perfumery material-geraniol—specification (second revision)	IS : 1800—1981	-do-
6.	IS 2563—1989 Design of buildings for archives recommendations relating to its primary elements (second revision)	IS : 2663—1987	-do-
7.	IS 2741—1989 Naphthol—Specification (second revision)	IS : 2741—1973	-do-

(1)	(2)	(3)	(3)
8. IS : 3522 (Part 3)—1989 Textiles estimation of common preservative—Part 2 (first revision)	IS : 3522( Part 2)—1990		31 Jan 1990
9. IS : 4436—1989 Jute bagging for wrapping cotton bales—Specification (first revision)	IS : 4436—1969		- do -
10. IS : 4910 (Part 3)—1989 Tyre yarns, cords and tyre cord warpsheets made from man-made fibres methods of test Part 3 Load and elongation characteristic	IS : 4910 (Part 3)—1969		- do -
11. IS : 4910 (Part 4)—1989 Tyre yarns, cords and tyre cord warpsheets made from man-made fibres—Method of test Part 4Dip pick-up (first revision.)	IS : 4910 (Part 4)—1970		- do -
12. IS : 4910 (Part 10)—1989 Methods of test for tyre yarns cords and tyre cord warpsheets made from man-made fibres Part 10 Creep (first revision)	IS : 4910 (Part 10)—1971		-do-
13. IS : 4910 (Part 11)—1989 Methods of test for tyre yarns cords and tyre cord warpsheets made from man-made fibres Part 11 commercial mass (first revision)	IS : 4710 (Part 10)—1971		-do-
14. IS : 5613 (Part 3/Sec 1)—1989 Code of practice for design, installation and maintenance for overhead power lines Part 3 400 kv lines Section 1 Design			-do-
15. IS : 5613 (Part 3/Sec 2) : 1989 Code of practice for design installation and maintenance of overhead power lines Part 3 400 kv lines Section 2 installation and maintenance			-do-
16. IS : 5905—1989 sprayed aluminium and zinc coatings on iron and steel—Specification (first revision)	IS : 5705—1970		-do-
17. IS : 5913—1989 Asbestos cement products—methods of test (first revision)	IS : 5913—1970		-do-
18. IS : 5960 (Part 10) : 1988 Meat and meat products—methods of test Part 10 measurement of pH			-do-
19. IS : 5960 (Part 11)—1986 Meat and meat products—methods of test Part 11 Determination of gluconos-delta lactone content			-do-

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
20. IS : 5960 (Part 12) : 1988 Meat and meat products—methods of test Part 12 determination of L-—(+) Glutamic acid content	—		31 Jan 1990
21. IS : 5960 (Part 13) : 1988 Meat and meat products—methods of test Part 13 detection of polyphosphates			-do-
22. IS : 6586—1989 Metal spraying for protection of iron and steel—recommended practice (first revision)	IS : 6586—1972		-do-
23. IS : 6699—1989 Perfumery materials—Oil of cinnamon leaf-specification. (first revision)	IS : 6699—1972		-do-
24. IS : 6714—1989 Ready mixed paint, finishing, non-slip, deck-specification (first revision)	IS : 6714—1972		-do-
25. IS : 6890 (Part 1)—1989 Chemical analysis of dental gold alloys Part 1 Determination of gold, silver, palladium and platinum. (first revision)	IS : 6890 (Part 1)—1973		-do-
26. IS : 6938 —1989 Design of rope drum and chain hoists for hydraulic gates—code of practice. (first revision)	IS : 6938—1973		-do-
27. IS : 7008 (Part 1) —1988 ISO metric trapezoidal screw threads Part 1 basic profile and maximum material profiles (first revision)	IS : 7008 (Part 1)—1973		do-
28. IS : 7008 (Part 2)—1988 ISO metric trapezoidal screw threads Part 2 Pitch diameter combinations. (first revision)	IS : 7008 (Part 2)—1973		do-
29. IS : 7008 (Part 4)—1988 ISO metric trapezoidal screw threads Part 4 tolerances (first revision)	IS : 7008 (Part 4) —1973		-do-
30. IS : 7491—1989 Sewing machines household—accuracy requirements. (first revision)	IS : 7491—1974		-do-
31. IS : 7694—1988 Specification for corner fittings for ISO series 1 freight containers (first revision)	IS : 7694—1975		-do-

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
32.	IS : 7906 (Part 7 —1989 Helical compression springs Part 7 quality requirements for cylindrical coil compression springs used mainly as vehicle suspension springs)		31 Jan 1990
33.	IS : 8674—1989 Polyethylene ropes Specification (second revision)	IS : 8674—1980	-do-
34.	IS : 9417 — 1989 Welding cold worked steel bars for reinforced concrete construction—recommendations for welding (first revision)	IS : 9417—1979	-do-
35.	IS : 9592 —1989 Aluminium Trifluoride for use in aluminium industry—Specification (first revision)	IS : 9592—1980	-do-
36.	IS : 10015 (Part 3)—1989 Size designation of clothes Part 3 Womens and girl ' outerwear garments (first revision)	IS : 10015 .Part 3—1982	-do-
37.	IS : 11014 (Part 4) : 1989 Specifications for pie zoelectric ceramic materials Part 4 Type SH	---	-do-
38.	IS : 11169 (Part 2)—1989 Steels for cold heading/cold extrusion applications—specification Part 2 Stainless steels	---	-do-
39.	IS : 11967 (Part 2/Sec 1)—1989 Specification for radio frequency coaxial cables Part 2 Polyethylene (semi solid cables Section 1 Type R-75-5-B-100)	---	-do-
40.	IS : 11967 (Part 2/Sec 2)—1989 Specification for radio frequency coaxial cables Part 2 Polyethylene (semi solid cables Section 2 Type R 120 7.5 B 101)	---	-do-
41.	IS : 11967—(Part 3/Sec 5)1989 Radio frequency coaxial cables Specification Part 3 solid extruded/ tape wrapped PTFE Section 4 Flexible type R 75 2 F 04	---	31 Jan 1970
42.	IS : 12505 (Part 2)—1988 Tracheostomy tubes Part 2 Basic requirements	---	- do-
43.	IS : 12600—1989 Portland cement. low heat specification	---	-do-
44.	IS : 12615—1989 Induction motors—energy efficient, three phase, squirrel cage specification	---	-do-



(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
45.	IS : 11769 (Part 2/Sec 3)—1989 Specification for radio frequency coaxial cables Part 2 Polyethylene (semi solid) cables Section 3 type R 150 5 B 102	—	-do-
46.	IS : 12665 (Part 2)—1989 Press working tools shanks Part 2 shanks to suit rivetting	—	-do-
47.	IS : 12666 (Part 3)—1989 Press working tools shanks Part 3 shanks with screwed shaft	—	-do-
48.	IS : 12666—1988 Methods for Per- formance assessment of ultrasonic flaw detection equipment	—	-do-
49.	IS : 12676—1989 Oil impregnated paper insulated condenser bush- ings—dimensions and requirements	—	-do-
50.	IS : 12677—1989 Internal fuses for series capacitors—specification	—	-do-
51.	IS : 12686—1989 Abrasives—dia- mond or cubic boron nitride mic- ron powder sizes	—	-do-
52.	IS : 12694—1989 Large diameter steel wire ropes—specification	—	-do-
53.	IS : 12696—1989 Forestry tools setting pliers bow saw blade- specification	—	-do-
54.	IS : 12697—1989 Forestry tools setting hammer rather toothed two men cross cut saws—Specification	—	-do-
55.	IS : 12700—1988 Cereals—deter- mination of falling number	—	-do-
56.	IS : 12707—1989 Specification for glass fibre reinforced plastics (GRP) pipes for use water supply and sewerage	—	-do-
57.	IS : 12710—1989 Accoustic emis- sion—testing—Glossary of terms	—	-do-
58.	IS : 12715—1989 Polyvinyl alcohol for sizing of paper—specification	—	-do-
57.	IS : 12718—1989 Leather for gar- ments—Performance requirements	—	-do-
60.	IS : 12726—1989 Order picking trucks—stability and stability tests	—	-do-
61.	IS : 12738—1988 Pneumatic fluid power—Single rod cylinders with integral mountings—10 bar (1000 khpa) series— bores from 32 to 250 mm	—	-do-

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	mounting dimensions		
62. IS : 12740-1989 Household sewing - machines - stand-specification			31 Jan 1990
63. IS : 12744-1989 Ready mixed paint - tair drying red oxide-zinc phosphate priming.specification			-do-
64. IS : 12767-1989 Liquid soap for cleaning painted surfaces-specification			-do-

Copies of these Indian Standards are available for sale with the Bureau of Indian Standards Manah Bhavan, 7 Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg New Delhi 110002 and Regional Offices: Bombay, Calcutta, Chandigarh and Madras and also Branch Offices : Ahmedabad, Bangalore, Bhopal, Bhubaneswar, Guwahati, Hyderabad, Jaipur, Kanpur, Patna and Trivandrum, Faridabad and Ghaziabad.

[No. CMD/13 : 2]

S. SUBRAHMANYAN, Addl. Director General

## भारत विमानन मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 7 सितम्बर, 1990

का.आ. 2682:—वायु निगम अधिनियम, 1953 (1953 का 27) की धारा 8(1) के साथ पठित धारा 4 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का उपयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार एयर मार्शल एस.एस. रामदास, बी.एम., बी.एस.एम., ए.वी.एस.एम., जो इस समय मेन्टीनेन्स कमान, नागपुर में वरिष्ठ मेन्टीनेन्स स्टाफ आफिसर के पद पर कार्य कर रहे हैं, को, उनके द्वारा कार्यभार ग्रहण करने की तारीख से तीन वर्ष की अवधि के लिये इंडियन एयरलाइन्स के अध्यक्ष एवं प्रबन्ध निदेशक के रूप में नियुक्त करती है।  
[एफ संख्या एवी-18013/13/90-ए.सी. (आई.ए. 3)]

अनिल मिश्रा, उप सचिव

## MINISTRY OF CIVIL AVIATION

New Delhi, the 7th September, 1990

S.O. 2682.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 4 read with section 8(i) of the Air Corporations Act, 1953 (27 of 1953) the Central Government hereby appoints Air Marshal S. S. Ramdas, VM, VSM, AVSM presently serving as Senior Maintenance Staff Officer, Maintenance Command, Nagpur as the Chairman and Managing Director of Indian Airlines for a period of three years with effect from the date he assumes charge of the post.

[F. No. AV. 18013/13/90-AC(IA)]

ANIL MISRA, Dy. Secy.

## पेट्रोलियम और प्राकृतिक गैस मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 1 अक्टूबर, 1990

का.आ. 2683:—यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि लोकहित के यह आवश्यक है कि गुजरात राज्य में काबला से पंजाब

राज्य में कटिडा राजस्थान राज्य में बाकसू से हरियाणा राज्य में बहोली (करनाल) तक पेट्रोलियम के परिवहन के लिए पाइप लाइन (एँ) इम्पियन आयल कार्पोरेशन लिमिटेड द्वारा बिछाई जानी चाहिए।

और यतः यह प्रतीत होता है कि ऐसी लाइनों को बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए एतद्पावक अनुसूची में वर्णित भूमि में उपयोग का अधिकार अर्जित करना आवश्यक है।

अतः अब पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाइप लाइन भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन, अधिनियम 1962 का संशोधन 77 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उप धारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार ने उसमें उपयोग का अधिकार अर्जित करने का प्रस्ताव आख्य एतद्द्वारा घोषित किया है।

यद्यपि कि उक्त भूमि में हितवद्ध कोई व्यक्ति उस भूमि के नीचे लाईन बिछाने के आक्षेप सक्षम पञ्चाधिकारी इम्पियन आयल कार्पोरेशन 270 सुभाष नगर रोड तक को इस अधिसूचना की तारीख से 21 दिनों के भीतर कर सकेगा।

और ऐसा आक्षेप करने वाला हर व्यक्ति निर्निवृत्तः यह भी कथन करेगा कि क्या वह चाहता है कि उसकी सुनवाई व्यक्तिगत हो या किसी विधि व्यवसायी की मार्फत।

## अनुसूची

तहसील : करनाल	जिला : करनाल	राज्य : हरियाणा			
ग्राहक का नाम	हस्ताक्षर (मुस्तौल नं.)	क्षेत्रफल			
नं०	किला नं०	हैक्टर	आर वर्गमीटर		
1	2	3	4	5	6
गार्जबड	34	14			
		5/1	--	1	77
		5/2	--	1	01
		6/1	--	2	28

1	2	3	4	5	6	1	2	3	4	5	6
		6/2	--	2	28						
		15	--	0	25			22	--	8	9
		1	--	0	25			95			
		6	--	12	39			21	--	10	88
		7/1	--	6	58			22/2	--	12	14
		7/2	--	3	79			95			
		8	--	12	39			23	--	12	65
		9/1	--	12	39			24	--	1	89
		10	--	12	14			25	--	8	5
		16						96			
		6	--	11	89			21	--	5	6
		7	--	12	39			22	--	5	82
		8	--	12	39			23	--	6	32
		9	--	12	39			25	--	4	55
		10	--	11	63			25	--	7	84
		15	--	1	77			97			
		17						23	--	0	25
		9	--	3	04			24	--	1	77
		10	--	7	59			25/1	--	1	77
		11	--	4	55			25/2	--	0	25
		12	--	4	81			101			
		66	--	4	55			4	--	3	54
		67	--	1	77			5	13	13	41
		71	--	0	51			6	--	0	25
		72	--	0	51			7	--	2	53
		106	--	0	51			102			
कोहल	30	142						1	--	12	39
		1	--	12	39			2	--	12	39
		2	--	10	88			3	--	12	40
		3	--	8	00			4	--	12	40
		4	--	5	82			5	--	12	39
		5	--	1	26			103			
		7	--	6	83			1	--	12	39
		8	--	4	05			2	--	12	39
		9	--	1	7			3	--	12	14
		143						4	--	10	88
		3	--	7	84			5	--	10	37
		4	--	12	39			104			
		6	--	12	39			1/2	--	06	83
								2	--	06	32
बैगमपुर	24	1831	--	10	06			3	--	05	82
		1832	--	10	96				--	04	55
		1833	--	10	96			4	--	01	77
		1834	--	14	75			5			
		1850	--	10	06			105			
		1851	--	10	06						
		1852	--	10	06						
		1853	--	10	97			1	--		
		2002	--	--	--			2	--	00	51
		2003	--	10	12			149	--	03	79
		2046	--	--	84						
		2049	--	8	85	कुतुआ	26	37	--		
बबलाना	25	04	--					21	--	0	25
								38			
		21	--	8	85			1	--	8	85
								2	--	2	78

1	2	3	4	5	6	1	2	3	4	5	6
		9	—	0	76			4	--	8	60
		14	--	3	29			5	--	9	88
सुनका	28	110						6	--	5	00
		9	--	3	54			195			
		10	--	1	52			9	--	0	51
		12	--	13	41			10	--	13	41
		13	--	0	76			11	--	1	77
		17	--	0	00			12	--	12	14
		18	--	10	62			13	--	03	04
		23	--	3	03			17	--	5	82
		24	--	11	13			18	--	13	14
		144						19	--	0	25
		10/1	--	0	00			24/1	--	6	07
		10/2	--	6	32			24/2	--	1	77
		11/1	--	8	09			25	--	10	62
		11/2	--	4	81			222			
		12	12	3	79			21	--	8	85
		18	--	0	00			22	--	0	25
		19	--	13	15			223			
		20/1}	--	0	00			18	18	4	30
		22	--	01	01			19	--	11	89
		23	--	11	15			20	--	12	65
		24	--	0	00			23	--	5	56
		145						24	--	12	14
		4	--	4	81			25	--	12	65
		5/1	--	1	77			224			
		5/2	--	6	58			12/1	--	1	52
		6	--	9	11			12/2	--	0	25
		151						13	--	11	38
		3	--	3	79			14	--	1	77
		4	--	13	91			15	--	0	51
		6	--	8	35			16	--	12	14
		7	--	6	58			17/1	--	2	53
		15	--	9	36			17/2	--	1	52
		152						225			
		11	--	5	31			1	—	12	65
		19	--	3	04			2	—	0	76
		20	--	12	14			8	—	4	05
		21	--	0	25			9	—	16	44
		22	--	12	39			10	—	1	52
		23	--	11	38			12	—	0	00
		185						13	—	8	85
		10	--	01	52			14	—	0	25
		11	--	13	91			16/1	—	0	51
		12	--	01	77			16/2	—	2	28
		18	--	06	07			17	—	9	11
		19	--	10	88			226			
		20	--	0	25			5	—	0	55
		23	--	11	13			234			
		24	--	6	58			1	—	3	03
		186						2/1	—	5	56
		3	--	1	26			2/2	—	6	58
		6	--	11	13			3	—	12	65
		15	--	0	76			4	—	12	65
		194						5	—	4	81

1	2	3	4	5	6	1	2	3	4	5	6
		6	—	7	33			13	—	13	15
		7	—	0	25			14	--	0	25
		235						16	--	0	25
		10	—	12	39			17	--	13	66
		11	—	8	35			18	18	2	78
		12	—	13	15			24	--	2	53
		13	—	3	04			25	--	11	89
		16	—	0	76			269			
		17	—	11	38			5	--	0	76
		18	--	10	37			278			
		19	--	0	25			5	--	1	52
		25	--	10	88			279			
		236						1	--	14	42
		21	—	5	31			2	--	0	51
		247						8	--	1	01
		21	--	1	77			9	--	14	42
		248						10	--	1	52
		1	11	11	89			12	--	1	01
		2	--	4	55			13	--	9	86
		8	--	3	29			16	--	1	77
		9	—	11	89			17	--	1	81
		13	--	11	62			24	--	1	01
		14/1	--	3	04			25	--	15	68
		16	—	2	28			280			
		17	--	13	15			21	--	1	52
		24	--	0	25			282			
		25	--	12	39			21	--	2	53
		260						283			
		6	—	0	51			1	--	14	92
		261						2	--	1	52
		1	--	14	42			8	--	3	29
		2	—	1	28			9	--	13	91
		8	—	0	76			10	--	0	51
		9	—	14	92			12	--	0	51
		10	--	0	76			13	--	15	43
		12	--	1	28			14	--	1	52
		261						16	--	1	77
		13	--	14	47			17	--	14	97
		14	--	0	25			18	--	0	51
		16	--	0	00			24	--	0	51
		17	--	14	42			25	--	14	92
								284			
		18	--	1	77			5	--	0	51
		24	--	3	04			294			
		25	--	12	45			5	--	0	76
		267						295			
		21	—	0	25			1	--	1	77
		268						271	--	3	03
		1	—	12	14			315	--	12	64
		2	--	0	00			316	--	27	26
		8	--	0	00						
		9	—	13	15			322	--	5	31
		10	--	3	29			324	--	6	7
		12	--	3	04			325	--	6	7
								327	--	1	52

1	2	3	4	5	6	1	2	3	4	5	6
	346	--	11	89				406	--	1	26
	347	--	2	63				411	--	1	78
	348	--	7	33				412	--	0	61
	349	--	3	03				423	--	1	26
	358	--	14	42				426	--	1	01
	369	--	4	55				427	--	2	02
	375	--	1	30				666	--	2	28
	376	--	4	30				683	--	5	06
								685	--	2	78
	384		1	77				686	--	3	79
	404	--	1	78				700	--	1	01

तहसील : करनाल

जिला : करनाल

राज्य हरियाणा

गांव का नाम	हुक्मत नं.	मुस्तील नं. किला नं.	क्षेत्रफल				
			किला	केनाल	घर	हैक्टर	घार
1	2	3	4	6	6	7	8
1. बाबर	62	222					
		18	2	8	--	12	14
		23	0	6	--	4	55
		24	2	9	--	12	39
		223					
		4	1	0	--	5	6
		5	2	4	--	11	13
		6	1	3	--	5	82
		224					
		10	2	0	--	10	12
		11	1	8	--	7	16
		12	1	16	--	8	86
		18	1	12	--	8	9
		19/1	1	1	--	5	31
		19/2	0	10	--	2	53
		23/1	0	6	--	1	62
		23/2	1	12	--	6	9
		24/1	0	19	--	4	81
		254					
		10	1	0	--	5	6
		11	2	10	--	12	65
		12	0	12	--	3	3
		18	0	8	--	2	2
		1	2	16	--	14	16
		20	0	0	--	--	--
		22	0	1	--	--	25
		23/1	1	16	--	9	11
		23/2	1	3	--	5	82
		24	0	4	--	1	1
		255					
		4/1	0	9	--	2	28
		4/2	1	10	--	7	59

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
		5	1	3	—	5	82
		6	2	6	—	11	63
		259					
		3	0	1	—	—	26
		4	3	4	—	16	19
		5	0	2	—	—	51
		6	2	15	—	13	91
		259					
		1	0	1	—	—	25
		15	0	13	—	3	29
		260					
		10	0	0	—	—	—
		11	2	11	—	12	90
		19	2	7	—	11	89
		20	0	18	—	4	55
		22	1	9	—	7	33
		23	2	0	—	10	12
		285					
		11	1	4	—	6	07
	19/2	1	0	—	—	5	06
	20	2	3	—	—	10	88
	22/1	0	16	—	—	4	05
	22/2	1	12	—	—	8	09
	22/3	0	1	—	—	—	26
	23	0	15	—	—	3	79
	286						
		3	1	3	—	5	82
		4	1	14	—	8	60
		6	1	9	—	7	33
		7	1	14	—	8	60
		15	1	19	—	9	86
		296					
		3	2	10	—	12	85
		4	0	12	—	3	03
		6/2	0	7	—	1	77
		7	2	15	—	13	9
		8	0	0	—	—	—
		14	0	2	—	—	51
		15/1	1	10	7	7	59
		15/2	0	18	4	4	55
		16/1	0	4	14	1	01
		297					
		11	0	4	—	1	01
		19	0	2	—	—	50
		20	2	17	—	14	42
		21	0	7	—	1	77
		22	28	18	—	14	67
		23/2	0	0	—	—	—
		305					
		305					
		2	0	9	—	2	27
		3	3	2	—	15	68
		7	2	5	—	11	38
		8	0	16	—	4	04

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
		14	1	0	—	5	06
		15	2	3	—	10	87
		16	0	7	—	1	77
		358	0	2	—	—	51
		361	0	15	—	3	79
		362	0	4	—	1	01
		384	0	7	—	1	77
		402	0	15	—	3	79
		525	0	5	—	1	26
		526	0	3	—	—	76

[सं. प्रो-31015/13/89-प्रो पार I]

## MINISTRY OF PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS

New Delhi, the 1st October, 1990

S.O. 2683.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that it is necessary in the public interest that for the transport of Petroleum from Kandla in the State of Gujarat to Bhatinda in the State of Punjab and Chaksu in the State of Rajasthan to Baholli (Karnal) in the State of Haryana Pipeline(s) should be laid by Indian Oil Corporation Limited.

And whereas it appears that for the purpose of laying such pipeline(s) it is necessary to acquire the right of user in the land described in the schedule annexed hereto.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (i) of Section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipeline (Acquisition of Right of User in the Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962) the Central Government hereby declares its intention to acquire the right of user therein.

Provided that any person interested in the said land may within 21 days from the date of this notification, object to the laying of the pipeline(s) under the land to the Competent Authority, Indian Oil Corporation Limited, 270, Subash Nagar, Rohtak.

And every person making such an objection shall also state specifically whether he wishes to be heard in person or by Legal Practitioner.

## SCHEDULE

Tehsil : Karnal Distt. : Karnal State : Haryana					
Name of village	No. Hadbast	Survey No./ Khasra	Area		
		No./Mus- tateel	Hec- tare	Are ti	Con- Area
1	2	3	4	5	6
Ganjbad	34	14			
		5/1	—	1	77
		5/2	—	1	01
		6/1	—	2	28
		6/2	—	2	28
		15			
		1	—	0	25
		6	—	12	39
		7/1	—	6	58

1	2	3	4	5	6
Ganjbad	34	7/2	—	3	79
		8	—	12	39
		9/1	—	12	39
		10	—	12	14
		16			
		6	—	11	89
		7	—	12	39
		8	—	12	39
		9	—	12	39
		10	—	11	63
		15	—	1	77
		17			
		9	—	3	04
		10	—	7	59
		11	—	4	55
		12	—	4	81
		66	—	4	55
		67	—	1	77
		71	—	0	51
		72	—	0	51
		106	—	0	51
Total	26		1	57	57
Kohand	30	142			
		1	—	12	39
		2	—	10	88
		3	—	8	60
		4	—	5	82
		5	—	1	26
		7	—	6	83
		8	—	4	05
		9	—	1	77
		143			
		3	—	7	84
		4	—	12	39
		5	—	12	39
Total	11		—	84	22
Begampur	24	1831	—	10	96
		1832	—	10	96
		1833	—	10	96
		1834	—	14	75
		1850	—	10	96
		1851	—	10	96





1	2	3	4	5	6	1	2	3	4	5	6
	24/1	—	6	07				1	—	14	42
	24/2	—	1	77				2	—	1	26
	25	—	10	62				8	—	0	76
	222/21	—	8	85				9	—	14	92
	22	—	0	25				10	—	0	76
	223/18	—	4	30				12	—	1	26
	19	—	11	89				13	—	14	67
	20	—	12	65				14	—	0	25
	23	—	5	56				16	—	0	00
	24	—	12	14				17	—	14	42
	25	—	12	65				18	—	1	77
	224/12/1	—	1	52				24	—	3	04
	12/2	—	0	25				25	—	12	65
	13	—	11	38				267			
	14	—	1	77				—			
	15	—	0	51				21	—	0	25
	16	—	12	14				268			
	17/1	—	2	53				—			
	17/2	—	1	52				1	—	12	14
	225/1	—	12	65				2	—	0	00
	2	—	0	76				8	—	0	00
	8	—	4	05				9	—	13	15
	9	—	16	44				10	—	3	29
	10	—	1	52				12	—	3	04
	12	—	0	00				13	—	13	15
	13	—	8	85				14	—	0	25
	14	—	0	25				16	—	0	25
	16/1	—	0	51				17	—	13	66
	16/2	—	2	28				18	—	2	78
	17	—	9	11				24	—	2	53
	226/5	—	4	55				25	—	11	89
	234/1	—	5	03				269			
	21/1	—	5	56				—			
	2/2	—	6	58				5	—	0	76
	3	—	12	65				278			
	234/4	—	12	65				—			
	5	—	4	81				5	—	1	52
	6	—	7	33				279			
	7	—	0	25				—			
	235							1	—	14	42
	10	—	12	39				2	—	0	51
	11	—	8	35				8	—	1	01
	12	—	13	15				9	—	14	42
	13	—	3	04				10	—	1	52
	16	—	0	76				12	—	1	01
	17	—	11	38				13	—	9	86
	18	—	10	37				16	—	1	77
	19	—	0	25				17	—	4	81
	25	—	10	88				24	—	1	01
	236							25	—	15	68
	21	—	5	31				280			
	247							—			
	21	—	1	77				21	—	01	52
	248							282			
	1	—	11	89				—			
	2	—	4	55				21	—	2	53
	8	—	3	29				283			
	9	—	11	89				—			
	13	—	11	63				1	—	14	82
	14/1	—	3	04				2	—	1	52
	16	—	2	28				8	—	3	29
	17	—	13	15				9	—	13	91
	24	—	0	25				10	—	0	51
	25	—	12	39				12	—	0	51
	260							13	—	15	43
	5	—	0	51				14	—	1	52
	261							16	—	1	77

1	2	3	4	5	6	1	2	3	4	5	6
		17	—	14	67			347	—	2	533
		18	—	0	51			348	—	7	3
		24	—	0	51			349	—	3	3
		25	—	14	92			358	—	14	42
		284						369	—	4	55
								375	—	4	30
		5	—	0	51			376	—	4	30
		294						384	—	1	77
								404	—	1	78
		5	—	0	76			406	—	1	26
		295						411	—	1	78
								412	—	0	51
		1	—	1	77			423	—	1	26
		172	—	3	03			426	—	1	01
		315	—	12	64			427	—	2	02
		316	—	22	26			666	—	2	28
		322	—	5	31			683	—	5	06
		324	—	6	7			685	—	2	78
		325	—	6	7			696	—	3	79
		327	—	1	52			700	—	1	01
		346	—	11	89						

Tehsil : Karnal District : Karnal State : Haryana

Name of village	Hubdast No.	Surtey No./ Kila No.	Khasra No./ Mustateel No.	Area		
				Hectare	Area	Centi Ares
1	2	3	4	5	6	
Daghar	62	222/18	2	8	12	14
		23	0	16	4	55
		24	2	9	12	39
		223/4	1	0	5	6
		5	2	4	11	13
		6	1	3	5	82
		224/10	2	0	10	12
		11	1	8	7	8
		12	1	15	8	85
		18	1	12	8	9
		19/1	1	1	5	31
		19/2	0	10	—	53
		23/1	0	6	—	52
		23/2	1	12	—	9
		24/1	0	19	—	81
		254/10	1	0	—	6
		11	2	10	—	65
		12	0	12	—	3
		18	0	8	—	2
		19	2	16	—	16
		20	0	0	—	—
		22	0	1	—	25
		23/1	1	16	—	11
		23/2	1	3	—	82
		24	0	4	—	1
		255/4/1	0	9	—	28
		4/2	1	10	—	59
		5	1	3	—	82
		6	2	6	—	63
		259/3	0	1	—	25
		4	1	4	—	19
		5	0	2	—	51

1	2	3	4	5	6
	6	2	15	13	91
	259/7	0	1	—	25
	15	0	13	3	29
	260/10	0	0	—	—
	11	2	11	12	90
	19	2	7	11	89
	20	0	18	4	55
	22	1	9	7	33
	23	2	0	10	12
	285/11	1	4	6	07
	19/2	1	0	5	06
	20	2	3	10	88
	22/1	0	16	4	05
	22/2	1	1	8	09
	22/3	0	1	—	25
	23	0	15	3	79
	286/3	1	3	5	82
	4	1	14	8	60
	6	1	9	7	33
	7	1	14	8	60
	15	1	19	9	86
	296/3	2	10	12	65
	4	0	12	3	03
	6/2	0	7	1	77
	7	2	15	13	91
	8	0	0	—	—
	14	0	2	—	51
	15/1	1	10	7	59
	15/2	0	18	4	55
	16/1	0	4	1	01
	297/11	0	4	1	01
	19	0	2	—	51
	20	2	17	14	42
	21	0	7	1	77
	297/22	2	18	14	67
	23/2	0	0	—	—
	205/2	0	9	2	27
	3	3	2	15	68
	7	2	5	11	38
	8	0	16	04	04
	14	1	0	5	06
	15	2	3	10	87
	16	0	7	1	77
	358	0	2	—	51
	361	0	15	3	79
	362	0	4	1	01
	394	0	7	1	77
	402	0	15	3	79
	525	0	5	1	26
	526	0	3	—	76
Total		96	11	4	40

का. भा. 2683 :—यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि लोकाहृत में यह आवश्यक है कि गुजरात राज्य में कांडला से पंजाब राज्य में भटिन्डा (बाया गजस्थान व हरियाणा राज्य) तक पेट्रोलियम के परिवहन के लिए पाईप लाईन इण्डियन मायल कारपोरेशन लिमिटेड द्वारा बिछाई जानी चाहिए।

और यतः यह प्रतीत होता है कि ऐसी लाइन को बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए एतदुपायक अनुसूची में वर्णित भूमि में उपयोग का अधिकार अर्जित करना आवश्यक है।

अतः अब पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाईप लाईन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रवृत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार ने उसमें उपयोग का अधिकार अर्जित करने का अपना आशय एतद्वारा घोषित किया है।

अर्थात् कि उक्त भूमि में हिमबद्ध कोई व्यक्ति, उस भूमि के नीचे पाईप लाईन बिछाने के लिए आक्षेप सक्षम अधिकारी, इण्डियन मायल कारपोरेशन लिमिटेड पाईप लाईन्स, 270 मुभाव नगर, रोहतास को इस अधिसूचना की तारीख से 21 दिनों के भीतर कर सकेगा।

और ऐसा आक्षेप करने वाला हर व्यक्ति विनिर्दिष्ट: यह भी कथन करेगा कि क्या वह चाहता है कि उसकी सुनवाई व्यक्तिगत हो या किसी विधिव्यवसायी की मार्फत।

#### अनुसूची

तहसील : रेवाड़ी	जिला : महेन्द्र गढ़	राज्य : हरियाणा
क्षेत्रफल		
गांव का नाम हदबस्त नं.	मुस्तकीक नं.	क्षेत्र
किला नं०	धार.	सेन्टीमीटर
1	2	3
4	5	6
जेतरवास	143	(5)
	21	00 04 05
	22	00 06 83
	(11)	
	16	00 03 29
	23	00 06 58
	24	00 13 40
	25/1	00 03 04
	25/2	00 06 07
	(12)/6	00 09 86
	07	00 00 00
	12	00 00 76
	13	00 11 89
	14/1	00 09 86
	14/2	00 03 29
	15	00 03 54
	19	00 00 89
	20	00 12 64
	(13)/6	00 09 61
	07	00 12 39
	08	00 08 60
	09	00 12 65
	14	00 00 00

1	2	3	4	5	6
जेतरवास (जारी)		15	00	02	53
		(14)/3	00	01	77
		04	00	11	63
		05	00	12	90
		07	00	01	52
		08	00	11	13
		09	00	12	14
		(14)/10	00	12	64
		11/1	00	00	00
	143	(25)/1	00	04	35
		2/1	00	05	82
		2/2	00	07	33
		(64)	00	04	30
		(248)	00	00	51
		(250)	00	00	51
		(251)	00	01	26
	मवाकास	(18)/15	00	00	76
		(18)/11	00	08	60
		20	00	11	63
		21	00	11	38
		22	00	00	51
		(21)/1	00	02	53
		02	00	09	36
		09	00	11	63
		12	00	11	13
		19	00	11	13
		22	00	09	86
		(49)/2	00	07	59
		03	00	03	54
		08	00	07	59
		09	00	03	54
		12/1	00	00	25
		12/2	00	00	51
		13	00	10	62
		18	00	11	13
		23	00	11	13
		(54)/3	00	11	13
		08	00	11	13
		13	00	11	13
		17	00	04	30
		18/1	00	02	53
		18/2	00	04	30
	145	(54)			
		24/1	00	06	83
		24/2	00	05	82
		(78)/6	00	11	13
		13	00	10	12
		16	00	00	25
		(79)			
		11/1	00	02	53
		20	00	12	39
		21	00	11	13
		22	00	01	82
		(80)/1	00	00	51
		02	00	12	14

1	2	3	4	5	6	1	2	3	4	5	6
		08	00	01	27			23	00	15	93
		09	00	11	33			24	00	00	25
		12	00	01	01			(120)/6	00	13	40
		13	00	11	63			07	00	08	5
		18	00	05	58			11	00	01	52
		(105)						12	00	11	63
		1/1	00	11	63			13	00	12	14
		1/2	00	00	76			14	00	05	06
		2/1	00	13	21			19	00	01	27
		(106) 2	00	00	90			20/1	00	08	35
		03	00	04	55			20/2	00	03	04
		4/1	00	01	77			(121)/1	00	05	06
		4/2	00	09	11			02	00	11	89
		03	00	12	39			03	00	11	63
		07	00	01	52			04	00	00	51
		08	00	07	84			10	00	08	33
		(106)						(345)	00	04	05
		09	00	12	39			(346)	00	02	53
		10	00	12	39			(347)	00	02	53
		(107)						(248)	00	01	27
		06	00	12	39			(348)	00	01	27
145		07	00	12	39			(587)	00	01	01
		8/1	00	02	78			(589)	00	01	01
		8/2	00	06	83			(610)	00	01	01
		09	00	02	78			637 /	00	01	01
		11	00	11	32	कामगारों	180	(8)/16	00	00	76
		12	00	09	61			17/1	00	09	96
		13	00	02	78		150				
		(108) /11	00	00	25			17/2	00	01	26
		12	00	06	06			18	00	03	04
		13	00	11	13			24	00	02	02
		14/1	00	07	34			1/25	00	12	39
		14/2	00	01	77			(9) / 21	00	12	90
		15	00	12	14			22	09	03	79
		18	00	01	27			(14)/1	00	00	25
		19	00	07	34			03	00	02	02
		20	00	12	14			08	00	02	03
		(109)						12	00	01	01
		16	00	12	39			(16) /3	00	10	62
		17	00	12	39			04	00	13	64
		18	00	12	39			05	00	03	04
		19	00	03	04			06	00	05	31
		20	00	01	62			07	00	00	51
		21	00	10	81			(17) /1	00	02	03
		(100)						10	00	01	27
		22	00	07	01			(41)	00	77	65
		23/2	00	00	00			(64)	00	00	81
		(110)						(86)	00	02	76
		23	00	02	00	आयुक्त	148	(28)/14	00	09	85
		24	00	12	85			16/1	00	03	34
		25	00	12	80			16/2	00	09	11
		(119) /16	00	17	20			17	00	07	09
		17	00	02	02			25	00	02	28
		18	00	00	00			(29) /20/2	00	00	25
148		22	00	05	62			21/1	00	11	38

1	3	3	4	5	6
बावुबाग	148	21/2	00	05	29
		22/2	00	02	28
		(37)/11	00	00	25
		19	00	02	03
		20	00	12	55
		22	00	10	88
		23/1	00	01	27
		23/2	00	07	09
		(38)/8	00	05	58
		7/2	00	09	56
		08	00	09	61
		14	00	05	31
		15	00	13	40
		16	00	01	77
		(44)/3	00	11	63
		04	00	00	51
		07	00	09	11
		08	00	02	53
		14	00	12	14
		17	00	10	62
		24	00	00	00
		25	00	10	37
		(48)/10	00	01	01
		11	00	01	77
		(49)/5	00	12	14
		06	00	11	63
		(57)	00	03	29
		(59)	00	02	53
शालीयाकी	135	(60)/10	00	07	34
		11	00	09	88
		12	00	06	58
		18	00	05	72
		19	00	10	12
		23	00	10	88
		24/1	00	01	26
		24/2	00	03	79
		(7)/5	00	04	81
		06	00	09	86
		(12)/1	00	08	35
		05	00	10	12
		06	00	04	55
		(13)/8	00	00	76
		10	00	13	91
		11	00	01	26
		12	0	14	16
		13/1	00	00	76
		13/2	00	01	26
		17/2	00	07	59
		18	00	11	13
		24	00	07	34
		25	00	12	14
		14			
		21/2	00	00	00
		(15)			
		1/1	00	04	55

1	2	3	4	5	6
शालीयाकी	133	1/3	00	10	12
		02	00	01	01
		8/1	00	00	00
		8/2	00	05	50
		8/1	00	01	01
		09/2	00	10	62
		10	00	00	51
		13	00	09	86
		(16)			
		8/1	00	03	04
		(37)	00	02	78
		(39)	00	01	27
		(70)	00	02	78
		(46)			
		11/3	00	05	06
		12	00	09	86
हुसेन पुर	138	18/1	00	07	08
		18/2	00	01	52
		19	00	07	34
		23/2	00	07	59
		24	00	08	60
		(51)			
		04	00	08	60
		05	00	00	76
		(73)	00	01	26

[सं. सं. 31015/13/89-सं. मार. I]

S.O. 2683.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that it is necessary in the public interest that for the transport of Petroleum from Kandla in the State of Gujarat to Bhatinda in the State of Punjab (Via Rajasthan and Haryana States) pipeline should be laid by Indian Oil Corporation Limited,

And whereas it appears that for the purpose of laying such pipeline it is necessary to acquire the right of user the land described in the schedule annexed hereto,

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipeline (Acquisition of Right of User in the Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962) the Central Government hereby declares its intention to acquire the right of user therein.

Provided that any person interested in the said land may within 21 days from the date of this notification, object to the laying of the pipeline under the land to the Competent Authority, Indian Oil Corporation Limited, 270, Subash-Nagar, Rohtak.

And every person making such an objection shall also state specifically whether he wishes to be heard in person or by the Legal Practitioner.

## SCHEDULE

Tehsil : Rewari		District : Mahendragarh		State : Haryana		
Name of Village	Haddast No.	Musta-teel No.	Area			
			Kila No.	Hec-	Are	Centi Ares
1	2	3	4	5	6	
Jaitarawas	143	(5)				
		21		00	04	05
		22		00	06	83

1	2	3	4	5	6	1	2	3	4	5	6
Jairawas	143	(11)				Bhadawas	145				
		16	00	03	29			(54)/24/1	00	06	83
		23	00	06	58			24/2	00	05	82
		24	00	13	40			(78)/6	00	11	13
		25/1	00	03	04			15	00	10	12
		25/2	00	06	07			16	00	00	25
		(12)/6	00	09	86			(79)			
		07	00	00	00			11/1	00	02	53
		12	00	00	76			20	00	12	39
		13	00	11	89			21	00	11	13
		14/1	00	09	86			22	00	01	52
		14/2	00	03	29			(80)/1	00	00	51
		15	00	03	54			02	00	12	14
		19	00	11	89			08	00	01	27
		20	00	12	64			09	00	11	38
		(13)/6	00	09	61			12	00	01	01
		07	00	12	39			13	00	11	63
		08	00	08	60			18	00	05	56
		09	00	12	65			(105)			
		14	00	00	00			1/1	00	11	63
		15	00	02	53			1/2	00	00	76
		(14)/3	00	01	77			2/1	00	13	91
		04	00	11	63			(106)/2	00	00	00
		05	00	12	90			03	00	04	55
		07	00	01	52			4/1	00	01	77
		08	00	11	13			4/2	00	09	11
		09	00	12	14			05	00	12	39
		(14)/10	00	12	64			07	00	01	52
		11/1	00	00	00			08	00	07	84
		(25)/1	00	04	55			(106)			
		2/1	00	05	82			09	00	12	39
		2/2	00	07	33			10	00	12	39
		(64)	00	04	30			(107)			
		(248)	00	00	51			06	00	12	39
		(250)	00	00	51			07	00	12	39
		(251)	00	01	26			8/1	00	02	78
								8/2	00	06	83
								09	00	02	78
								11	00	11	38
								12	00	09	61
								13	00	02	78
								(103)/11	00	00	25
								12	00	05	06
								13	00	11	13
								14/1	00	07	34
								14/2	00	01	77
								15	00	12	14
								18	00	01	27
								19	00	07	34
								20	00	12	14
								(109)			
								16	00	12	39
								17	00	12	39
								18	00	12	39
								19	00	03	04
								20	00	01	52
								21	00	10	88
								(109)			
								22	00	07	08
								23/2	00	00	00
								(110)			
								23	00	02	00
								24	00	12	65
								25	00	12	90
								(119)/16	00	17	20
								17	00	02	03
Bhadawas	145	(18)/15	00	00	76						
		(19)/11	00	08	60						
		20	00	11	63						
		21	00	11	38						
		22	00	00	51						
		(21)/1	00	02	53						
		02	00	09	36						
		09	00	11	63						
		12	00	11	13						
		19	00	11	13						
		22	00	09	86						
		(49)/2	00	07	59						
		03	00	03	54						
		08	00	07	59						
		09	00	03	54						
		12/1	00	00	25						
		12/2	00	00	51						
		13	00	10	62						
		18	00	11	13						
		23	00	11	13						
		(54)/3	00	11	13						
		08	00	11	13						
		13	00	11	13						
		17	00	04	30						
		18/1	00	02	53						
		18/2	00	04	30						



1	2	3	4	5	6	1	2	3	4	5	6
		18	00	00	00			23/1	00	01	27
		22	00	05	82			23/2	00	07	08
		23	00	15	93			(38)/3	00	05	56
		24	00	00	25			7/2	00	09	86
		(120)/6	00	13	40			08	00	09	61
		07	00	08	35			14	00	05	31
		11	00	01	52			15	00	13	40
		12	00	11	63			16	00	01	77
		13	00	12	14			(44)/3	00	11	63
		14	00	05	06			04	00	00	51
		19	00	01	27			07	00	09	11
		20/1	00	08	35			08	00	02	53
		20/2	00	03	04			14	00	12	14
		(121)/1	00	05	06			17	00	10	62
		02	00	11	89			24	00	00	00
		03	00	11	63			25	00	10	37
		04	00	00	51			(48)/10	00	01	01
		10	00	08	35			11	00	01	77
		(245)	00	04	05			(49)/5	00	12	14
		(246)	00	02	53			06	00	11	63
		(247)	00	02	53			(57)	00	03	29
		(248)	00	01	27			(59)	00	02	53
		(548)	00	01	27	Daliyaki	133	(6)/10	00	07	34
		(597)	00	01	01			11	00	09	86
		(599)	00	01	01			12	00	06	58
		(610)	00	01	01			18	00	05	82
		(637)	00	01	01			19	00	10	12
								23	00	10	88
Bhambadli	150	(8)/16	00	00	76			24/1	00	01	26
		17/1	00	09	86			24/2	00	03	79
		17/2	00	01	26			(7)/5	00	04	81
		18	00	03	04			06	00	09	86
		24	00	02	02			(12)/4	00	08	85
		8/25	00	12	39			05	00	10	12
		(9)/21	00	12	90			06	00	04	55
		22	00	03	79			(13)/9	00	00	76
		(14)/1	00	00	25			10	00	13	91
		03	00	02	02			11	00	01	26
		09	00	02	03			12	00	14	16
		12	00	01	01			13/1	00	00	76
		(16)/3	00	10	62			13/2	00	01	26
		04	00	12	64			17/2	00	07	59
		05	00	03	04			18	00	11	13
		06	00	05	31			24	00	07	34
		07	00	00	51			25	00	12	14
		(17)/1	00	02	03			(14)/			
		10	00	01	27			21/2	00	00	00
		(41)	00	77	65			(15)			
		(64)	00	00	51			1/1	00	04	55
		(66)	00	00	76			1/2	00	10	12
								02	00	01	01
								8/1	00	00	00
								8/2	00	05	56
								9/1	00	01	01
								9/2	00	10	62
								10	00	00	51
								13	00	09	86
								(16)			
								5/1	00	03	04
								(37)	00	02	78
								(39)	00	01	27
								(70)	00	02	78
Jatwas	148	(28)/14	00	08	85						
		16/1	00	03	54						
		16/2	00	09	11						
		17	00	07	08						
		25	00	02	28						
		(29)/20/2	00	00	25						
		21/1	00	11	38						
		21/2	00	03	29						
		22/2	00	02	28						
		(37)/11	00	00	25						
		19	00	02	03						
		20	00	12	65						
		22	00	10	88						

1	2	3	4	5	6	1	2	3	4	5
Hussainpur	134	(46)				मोहनपुरा	494	—	37	08
		11/2	00	05	06	बेड़ा हनुमानजी	86	—	28	62
		12	00	09	86		6	—	1	08
		18/1	00	07	08		16	—	8	76
		18/2	00	01	52		9	—	1	44
		19	00	07	34		5	—	32	22
		23/2	00	07	59		3	—	20	34
		24	00	08	60		2	—	17	46
		(51)					1	—	25	56
		04	00	08	60	माधोराजपुरा	1950	—	27	72
		05	00	00	76		1948	—	16	29
		(73)	00	01	26		1947	—	—	63

[No. O-31015/13/89-ORI]

नई दिल्ली, 4 अक्टूबर, 1990

का. प्रा. 2684—यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि लोकहित में यह आवश्यक है कि गुजरात राज्य में कोंडला से पंजाब राज्य में भंडीडा एवं राजस्थान राज्य में चाकसू से हजियाणा राज्य में बहलीवी (करनाल) तक पेट्रोलियम के परिवहन के लिए पार्श्व लाइन (एँ) इंडियन ऑयल कारपोरेशन लिमिटेड द्वारा बिछाई जानी चाहिए।

और यतः यह प्रतीत होता है कि ऐसी लाइनों को बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए एतदुपायध अनुसूची में वर्णित भूमि में उपयोग का अधिकार अर्जित करना आवश्यक है।

अतः अब पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पार्श्व लाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार ने उसमें उपयोग का अधिकार अर्जित करने का अपना आशय एतद्वारा घोषित किया है।

बयत कि उक्त भूमि में हितबद्ध कोई व्यक्ति, उस भूमि के नीचे पार्श्व लाइन बिछाने के लिए आक्षेप सक्षम अधिकारी, इण्डियन ऑयल कारपोरेशन लिमिटेड ए-30, सैन कॉलोनी, बनीपार्क, जयपुर-16 को इस अधिसूचना की तारीख से 21 दिनों के भीतर कर सकेगा।

और ऐसा आक्षेप करने वाला हर व्यक्ति चिनिष्टः यह भी कथन करेगा कि क्या वह चाहता है कि उसकी सुनवाई व्यक्तिगत हो या किसी विधि व्यवसायी की मति।

अनुसूची

सहस्रोल : पागी जिला : जयपुर राज्य : राजस्थान

ग्राम का नाम	खसरा नम्बर	क्षेत्रफल	हेक्टर	घर	बर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	
मादी	1012	—	6	30	
नथमलपुरा	61	—	35	86	
	16	—	01	08	
	4	—	81	74	
	3	—	01	08	
	2	—	12	24	

1946	—	10	62
1945	—	9	72
1944	—	9	00
1943	—	7	92
1966	—	10	80
1967	—	12	60
1969	—	7	56
1939	—	2	16
1970	—	19	44
1971	—	61	38
1922	—	16	92
1935	—	10	08
1906/2330	—	35	28
1907	—	18	98
1904/2331	—	1	54
1908	—	19	80
1910	—	5	40
1886	—	20	16
1885	—	24	12
1888	—	10	44
1834	—	15	00
1818	—	1	80
1460	—	19	08
1461	—	16	92
1411	—	01	08
1462	—	11	16
1463	—	22	68
1403	—	16	92
1406	—	23	04
1407	—	10	08
1408	—	9	00
1524/2288	—	18	18
1399	—	40	68
1521	—	6	48
1368	—	21	96
1526	—	72	18
1387	—	3	72
1366	—	10	44
1363	—	10	08
1355	—	26	64
1353	—	1	80
1349	—	1	28

1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
भाधोरजधरा (जारी)	1347	—	6	40	भाडसा (जारी)	675/774	—	07	74
	1348	—	5	76		675/773	—	14	40
						675/772	—	24	84
	1340	—	36	20		675/771	—	15	84
	1344	—	6	84		675/770	—	12	60
	1335	—	10	44		675/769	—	05	40
	1336	—	3	08		674	—	23	76
	1338	—	1	76		673	—	07	20
	1339	—	6	12		668	—	00	90
	39	—	17	12		672	—	38	18
	38	—	1	60		338	—	01	98
	41	—	42	84		337	—	00	57
	52	—	6	24		331	—	54	00
	51	—	9	78	कांटोली	254	—	03	54
	67	—	8	28		78	—	01	68
	68	—	8	64		36	—	16	02
	69	—	9	36		35	—	10	98
	65	—	0	36		34	—	14	04
	74	—	3	42		33	—	10	44
	64	—	6	48		32	—	18	36
	75	—	0	48		31	—	14	22
	116	—	2	24		30	—	13	86
	115	—	3	90		29	—	17	64
	114	—	7	92		28	—	16	20
	109	—	2	16		27	—	15	66
	84	—	8	28		26	—	16	72
	83	—	27	00		25	—	13	92
	262	—	19	52		24	—	14	40
	263	—	2	80		23	—	02	52
	260	—	9	36		3	—	21	78
	269	—	14	76		2	—	14	22
	276	—	11	88		4	—	06	84
	281	—	3	60		7	—	05	04
	283	—	5	04		6	—	13	32
	282	—	15	20		5	—	08	64
	288	—	2	80		15	—	05	40
बकुली	920	—	08	28	बितीडा	1534	—	30	60
	916	—	02	88		1535	—	01	80
	917	—	12	96		1536	—	10	80
	915	—	01	80		1537	—	01	98
	918	—	00	36		1533	—	03	24
	914	—	14	40		1135	—	47	88
	912	—	00	48		1127	—	17	64
	911	—	00	72		1128	—	06	48
	897	—	06	48		1139	—	12	96
	898	—	05	76		1123	—	20	16
	899	—	09	00		1146	—	16	20
	901	—	09	72		1098	—	08	02
	892	—	01	44		1099	—	03	50
	575	—	06	40		1090	—	15	12
बाडसा	601	—	03	96		1091	—	18	00
	616	—	31	86		1097	—	04	50
	617	—	02	16		1095	—	06	48
	675	—	25	56		1094	—	11	52

1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
विशोद्धा (जारी)	1168	—	05	76		432	—	20	52
	1190	—	01	80		431	—	00	36
	1025	—	01	04		430	—	21	24
	1015	—	26	32		426	—	3	24
	1018	—	18	72		417	—	20	16
	1091	—	14	40	मोहम्मद पुरा	1095	—	09	00
	1020	—	22	68		1094	—	11	52
	1003	—	97	20		1089	—	14	76
	12	1	17	36		1088	—	00	60
	4	—	01	44		1087	—	16	68
	14/1	—	10	26		1079	—	19	80
	14/2	2	43	72		1081	—	01	92
	18	—	01	98		1085	—	00	96
	19	—	44	64		1082	—	07	56
मानपुरगेट	115	—	37	80		1060	—	22	57
	114	—	24	84		1059	—	01	19
	113	—	30	96		1064	—	06	00
	112	—	4	69		1057	—	00	64
	111	—	9	36		1065	—	00	48
	110	—	11	52		1056	—	05	84
	109	—	16	20		1055	—	02	52
	109/312	—	13	68		1054	—	06	48
	107	—	3	60		1046	—	00	64
	108	—	2	16		1045	—	08	28
	104	—	26	28		1044	—	12	24
	103	—	19	44		1047	—	00	20
	92	—	4	50		1043	—	04	32
	91	—	15	84		993	—	02	16
	89	—	1	08		921	—	02	70
	87	—	6	12		922	—	03	10
	85	—	19	44		971	—	21	96
	84	—	7	74		972	—	06	34
	83	—	1	54		973	—	02	66
	82	—	10	08		975	—	15	36
	81	—	1	00		974	—	02	28
	160	—	13	32		978	—	08	28
	181	—	9	72		980	—	05	10
	180	—	7	56		979	—	00	20
	183	—	14	40		981	—	02	08
	179	—	—	00		983	—	00	32
	185	—	14	04		982	—	07	24
	186	—	2	73		987	—	10	44
	189	—	5	72		988	—	05	40
	190	—	3	64		273	—	07	34
	201	—	9	72		961	—	01	20
	202	—	12	24		274	—	00	20
	207	—	—	36		283	—	09	27
	206	—	7	56		282	—	10	08
	205	—	11	88		286	—	09	90
						253	—	09	72
						247	—	06	84
अध्यापक	535	—	52	20		248	—	07	20
	473	—	1	08		238	—	01	80
	472	—	2	34		237	—	10	08
	436	—	12	96		236	—	1	80
	435	—	7	20					

1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
(मोहवत पुरा जारा)	291	-	4	50	492	-	9	72	
	311	-	13	68	491	-	5	24	
	312	-	4	86	486	-	4	32	
	313	-	4	14	490	-	9	36	
	327	-	1	44	502	-	2	52	
	389	-	4	15	489	-	1	92	
	391	-	1	44	473	-	32	40	
	388	-	10	97	503	-	3	60	
	387	-	1	08	548	-	1	28	
	368	-	4	32	565	-	45	16	
	369	-	9	00	568	-	1	08	
	381	-	0	10	567	-	12	90	
	380	-	10	50	414	-	2	88	
	371	-	2	10	406	-	21	60	
	372	-	9	36	407	-	12	60	
	376	-	-	20	404	-	10	08	
	375	-	10	98	343	-	3	60	
	350	-	4	32	344	-	17	28	
	417	-	1	80	345	-	10	48	
	418	-	1	08	346	-	10	08	
	419	-	12	24	338	-	15	48	
	435	-	3	60	351	-	16	56	
	436	-	0	48	352	-	3	76	
	434	-	6	36	353	-	7	04	
	433	-	2	94	354	-	51	12	
	432	-	14	22	334	-	1	08	
	429	-	4	68	311	-	12	80	
	427	-	1	30	307	-	32	86	
	428	-	9	14	306	-	1	32	
	565	-	9	36	306	-	31	32	
	570	-	0	20	304	-	7	38	
	569	-	15	12	303	-	4	48	
	568	-	3	60	302	-	8	12	
	567	-	10	80	300	-	8	28	
	593	-	8	28	289	-	10	98	
	594	-	0	60	288	-	13	36	
भारती जोगिया	976	-	8	24	287	-	-	64	
	978	-	14	24	285	-	4	50	
	980	-	-	10	284	-	1	12	
	982	-	9	00	277	-	7	74	
	985	-	-	90	279	-	8	66	
	990	-	4	86	276	-	9	00	
	989	-	13	62	258	-	1	25	
	987	-	24	42	260	-	10	08	
	1027	-	1	08	262	-	9	00	
	1043	-	5	50	266	-	4	68	
	1047	-	9	72	264	-	8	64	
	1048/1	-	16	38	265	-	2	96	
	1051	-	1	08	220	-	28	08	
	1052	-	16	82	213	-	16	86	
	1060	-	-	20					
	1053	-	8	80					
	494	-	9	36					
	493	-	11	88					

New Delhi, the 4th October, 1990

S.O. 2684.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that it is necessary in the public interest that for the transport of Petroleum from Kandla in the State of Gujarat to Bhatinda in the State of Punjab and Chaksu in the State of Rajasthan to Baholi (Karnal) in the State of Haryana Pipeline(s) should be laid by Indian Oil Corporation Limited.

And whereas it appears that for the purpose of laying such pipeline(s) it is necessary to acquire the right of user the land described in the schedule annexed hereto.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (i) of Section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipeline (Acquisition of Right of User in the Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962) the Central Government hereby declares its intention to acquire the right of user therein.

Provided that any person interested in the said land may within 21 days from the date of this notification, object to the laying of the pipeline(s) under the land to the Competent Authority, Indian Oil Corporation Limited, A-30 Sen Colony, Bani Park, Jaipur-16.

And every person making such an objection shall also state specifically whether he wishes to be heard in person or by Legal Practitioner.

## SCHEDULE

Tehsil : Phagi District : Jaipur State : Rajasthan

Name of Village	Survey No.	Area		
		Hec- tare	Are	Sq. Mtrs.
1	2	3	4	5
Mandi	1012	—	6	30
Nathmal Pura	61	—	35	86
	16	—	01	08
	4	—	81	74
	3	—	01	08
	2	—	12	24
Mohanpura	494	—	37	08
Khara Hanumanji	86	—	28	62
	6	—	1	08
	16	—	5	76
	8	—	1	44
	5	—	32	22
	3	—	20	34
	2	—	17	46
	1	—	25	56
Madhoraj Pura	1950	—	27	72
	1948	—	16	29
	1947	—	—	63
	1946	—	10	62
	1945	—	9	72
	1944	—	9	00
	1943	—	7	92
	1966	—	10	80
	1967	—	12	60
	1969	—	7	56
	1939	—	2	16
	1970	—	19	44
	1971	—	61	38
	1922	—	16	92
	1935	—	10	08

1	2	3	4	5
	1906/2330	—	35	28
	1907	—	18	98
	1904/2331	—	1	54
	1908	—	19	80
	1910	—	5	40
	1886	—	20	16
	1885	—	24	12
	1888	—	10	44
	1834	—	45	00
	1818	—	1	80
	1460	—	19	08
	1461	—	16	92
	1411	—	01	08
	1462	—	11	16
	1463	—	22	68
	1403	—	16	92
	1406	—	23	04
	1407	—	10	08
	1408	—	9	00
	1524/2288	—	18	18
	1399	—	40	68
	1524	—	6	48
	1368	—	21	96
	1526	—	72	18
	1367	—	3	72
	1366	—	10	44
	1365	—	10	08
	1355	—	26	64
	1353	—	1	80
	1349	—	1	28
	1347	—	6	40
	1348	—	5	76
	1340	—	36	20
	1334	—	6	84
	1335	—	10	44
	1336	—	3	08
	1338	—	1	76
	1339	—	6	12
	39	—	17	12
	38	—	1	60
	41	—	42	84
	52	—	6	24
	51	—	9	78
	67	—	8	28
	68	—	8	64
	69	—	9	36
	65	—	0	36
	74	—	3	42
	64	—	6	48
	75	—	0	48
	116	—	2	24
	115	—	3	90
	114	—	7	92
	109	—	2	16
	84	—	8	28
	83	—	27	00
	262	—	19	52
	263	—	2	80
	260	—	9	36
	269	—	14	76
	276	—	11	88
	281	—	3	60
	283	—	5	04
	282	—	15	20
	288	—	2	80

1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
Datuli	920	—	08	28	Cheetoada (cent.)	1098	—	08	02
	916	—	02	88		1099	—	03	50
	917	—	12	96		1090	—	15	12
	915	—	01	80		1091	—	18	00
	918	—	00	36		1097	—	04	50
	914	—	14	40		1095	—	06	48
	912	—	00	48		1094	—	11	52
	911	—	00	72		1168	—	05	76
	897	—	06	48		1190	—	01	80
	898	—	05	76		1025	—	01	04
	899	—	09	00		1015	—	26	32
	901	—	09	72		1018	—	18	72
	892	—	01	44		1019	—	14	40
	573	—	06	40		1020	—	22	68
Jharla	601	—	03	96		1003	—	97	20
	616	—	31	86		12	1	17	36
	617	—	02	16		4	—	01	44
	675	—	25	56		14/1	—	10	26
	675/774	—	07	74		14/2	2	43	72
	675/773	—	14	40		18	—	01	98
	675/772	—	24	84		19	—	44	64
	675/771	—	15	84	Manpur Get	115	—	37	80
	675/770	—	12	60		114	—	24	84
	675/769	—	05	40		113	—	30	96
	674	—	23	76		112	—	4	68
	673	—	07	20		111	—	9	36
	668	—	00	90		110	—	11	52
	672	—	38	18		109	—	16	20
	338	—	01	98		109/312	—	13	68
	337	—	00	57		107	—	3	60
	331	—	54	00		108	—	2	16
Kantoli	254	—	03	54		104	—	26	28
	78	—	01	68		103	—	19	44
	36	—	16	02		92	—	4	50
	35	—	10	98		91	—	15	84
	34	—	14	04		89	—	1	08
	33	—	10	44		87	—	6	12
	32	—	18	36		85	—	19	44
	31	—	14	22		84	—	7	72
	30	—	13	86		83	—	1	54
	29	—	17	64		82	—	10	08
	28	—	16	20		81	—	1	00
	27	—	15	66		160	—	13	32
	26	—	16	72		181	—	9	72
	25	—	13	92		180	—	7	56
	24	—	14	40		183	—	14	40
	23	—	02	52		179	—	—	90
	3	—	21	78		185	—	14	04
	2	—	14	22		188	—	2	73
	4	—	06	84		189	—	5	72
	7	—	05	04		190	—	3	64
	6	—	13	32		201	—	9	72
	5	—	08	64		202	—	12	24
	15	—	05	40		207	—	—	36
Cheetoada	1534	—	30	60		206	—	7	56
	1535	—	01	80		205	—	11	88
	1536	—	10	80	Chandawas	535	—	52	20
	1537	—	01	98		473	—	1	08
	1533	—	03	24		472	—	2	34
	1135	—	47	88		436	—	12	96
	1127	—	17	64		435	—	7	20
	1126	—	06	48		432	—	20	52
	1139	—	12	96		431	—	00	36
	1123	—	20	16		430	—	21	24
	1146	—	16	20		426	—	3	24

1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
Chandawas—Cont.	417	—	20	16		372	—	9	36
Mohabbat Pura	1095	—	09	00		376	—	—	20
	1094	—	11	52		375	—	10	98
	1089	—	14	76		350	—	4	32
	1088	—	00	60		417	—	1	80
	1087	—	16	68		418	—	1	08
	1079	—	19	80		419	—	12	24
	1081	—	01	92		435	—	3	60
	1085	—	00	96		436	—	0	48
	1082	—	07	56		434	—	6	36
	1060	—	22	57		433	—	2	94
	1059	—	01	19		432	—	14	22
	1064	—	06	00		429	—	4	68
	1057	—	00	64		427	—	1	30
	1065	—	00	48		428	—	9	14
	1056	—	05	84		565	—	9	36
	1055	—	02	52		570	—	0	20
	1054	—	06	48		569	—	15	12
	1046	—	00	64		568	—	3	60
	1045	—	08	28		567	—	10	80
	1044	—	12	24		593	—	8	28
	1047	—	00	20		594	—	0	60
	1043	—	04	32					
	993	—	02	16	Basri Joge Yan	976	—	8	24
	921	—	02	70		978	—	14	24
	922	—	02	10		980	—	—	10
	971	—	21	96		982	—	9	00
	972	—	06	34		985	—	—	90
	973	—	02	66		990	—	4	86
	975	—	15	36		989	—	13	62
	974	—	02	28		987	—	24	42
	978	—	08	28		1027	—	1	08
	980	—	05	10		1043	—	5	50
	979	—	00	20		1047	—	9	72
	981	—	02	08		1048/1	—	16	38
	983	—	00	32		1051	—	1	08
	982	—	07	24		1052	—	16	82
	987	—	10	44		1060	—	—	20
	988	—	05	40		1053	—	8	80
	273	—	07	34		494	—	9	36
	961	—	01	20		493	—	11	88
	274	—	00	20		492	—	9	72
	283	—	09	27		491	—	5	24
	282	—	10	08		486	—	4	32
	286	—	09	90		490	—	9	36
	253	—	09	72		502	—	2	52
	247	—	06	84		489	—	1	92
	248	—	07	20		473	—	32	40
	238	—	01	80		503	—	3	60
	237	—	10	08		548	—	1	28
	236	—	1	80		565	—	45	16
	291	—	4	50		568	—	1	08
	311	—	13	68		567	—	12	60
	312	—	4	86		414	—	2	88
	313	—	4	14		406	—	21	60
	327	—	1	44		407	—	12	60
	389	—	4	15		404	—	10	08
	391	—	1	44		343	—	3	60
	388	—	10	97		344	—	17	28
	387	—	1	08		345	—	10	48
	368	—	4	32		346	—	10	08
	369	—	9	00		338	—	15	48
	381	—	0	20		351	—	16	56
	380	—	10	50		352	—	3	76
	371	—	2	10		353	—	7	04



1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
	354	—	51	12					
	334	—	1	08		398	—	08	24
	311	—	12	80		401	—	07	22
	307	—	32	86		400	—	01	50
	306	—	1	32		395	—	17	46
	305	—	31	32		394	—	04	56
	304	—	7	38		250	—	06	48
	303	—	4	48		248	—	28	08
	302	—	8	12		245	—	06	84
	300	—	8	28		233	—	—	48
	289	—	10	98		244	—	08	64
	288	—	13	36		243	—	11	24
	287	—	—	64		242	—	—	90
	285	—	4	50		241	—	06	12
	284	—	1	12		240	—	14	76
	277	—	7	74		198	—	12	60
	278	—	9	66		199	—	07	56
	276	—	9	00		181	—	11	88
	258	—	1	25		180	—	27	36
	260	—	10	08					
	262	—	9	00					
	266	—	4	68					
	264	—	8	64					
	265	—	2	96					
	220	—	28	08					
	213	—	16	86					

[No. O-31015/5/89-ORI]

का. आ. 2685--यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि लोकहित में यह आवश्यक है कि गुजरात राज्य में काडला से पंजाब राज्य में भंडीछा एवं राजस्थान राज्य में चाकसू से हरियाणा राज्य में बहौली (करनाल) तक पेट्रोलियम के परिवहन के लिए पाईप लाइन (पै) इण्डियन आयल कारपोरेशन लिमिटेड द्वारा बिछाई जानी चाहिए।

और यतः यह प्रतीत होता है कि ऐसी लाइनों को बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए एनकृपाबद्ध अनुसूची में वर्णित भूमि में उपयोग का अधिकार अर्जित करना आवश्यक है।

यतः अब पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाइप लाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार ने उसमें उपयोग का अधिकार अर्जित करने का अपना आशय एनद्वारा घोषित किया है।

वर्तते कि उक्त भूमि में हितबद्ध कोई व्यक्ति, उस भूमि के नीचे पाइप लाइन बिछाने के लिए आश्रय मध्यम अधिकारी, इण्डियन आयल कारपोरेशन लिमिटेड ए-30, सैन कालोनी, बर्नार्डार्क, जगपुर-16 को इस अधिसूचना की तारीख से 21 दिनों के भीतर कर सकेगा।

और ऐसा आशय करने वाला हर व्यक्ति विनिश्चिततः यह भी कथन करेगा कि क्या वह चाहता है कि उसकी मृतवाह्य व्यक्तिगत हो या किसी विधि व्यवसाय की मार्फत।

## धतसूची

तहसील--सोतामेर	जिला जयपुर	राज्य--राजस्थान
ग्राम का नाम	ग्रामरा नाम	क्षेत्रफल
1	2	3
भानेठ	405	55
	401	07
	403	14

दाढ़िया

413	05	22
410/2	9	16
404/2	—	20
410/1	01	80
404/1	3	60
391	15	84
390	—	54
389	09	86
388	04	00
387	03	60
365	11	02
361	10	76
363	14	00
501	13	86
505/3	—	90
507/2	09	00
507/1	18	72
509/1	10	80
250	01	30
509/2	13	10
249	20	16
249	03	00
215/1	09	90
181	04	90
185	06	48
186	21	78
189	09	90
197	01	10
196	—	30
195	11	34
191	07	20
110	—	20
111	01	44
112	—	36

1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
	117	--	01	80		43	--	10	08
	107	--	02	40		44	--	08	28
	118	--	08	10		40	--	10	44
	119	--	01	80		38	--	07	56
	120	--	10	80		39	--	07	74
	121	--	02	16		52	--	04	50
	125/2	--	12	96		53	--	03	24
	123/2	--	02	16					
	123/1	--	09	72	महाराजपुरा/उर्फ बकशादीला	184	--	01	20
	97	--	--	78		185	--	12	84
	100	--	10	80		297	--	24	12
	98	--	01	26		299	--	04	16
	92	--	01	44		298	--	01	96
	91	--	01	80		300	--	--	20
	90/2	--	18	00		284	--	07	08
	90/4	--	03	60		284/409	--	01	20
	89	--	09	36		283	--	08	88
	86	--	04	68		280	--	01	68
	87	--	01	80		282	--	--	48
	85	--	03	76		281	--	07	68
	84	--	04	14		277	--	01	80
	55	--	10	80		268	--	07	92
	52	--	--	20		269	--	08	64
	59	--	01	75		271	--	04	50
	60	--	05	20		272	--	04	60
	61	--	02	88		252	--	05	64
	62	--	04	50		274	--	--	20
	46	--	09	64		251	--	08	28
	45	--	04	13		250	--	4	68
	40/2	--	10	54					
	40/1	--	11	15	जयोदानन्दपुरा उर्फ	293	--	10	00
	30/2	--	--	20	भाशावाला	292	--	06	84
	19	--	05	40		290	--	05	64
	18/2	--	02	52		291	--	18	50
खेड़ी गोकलपुरा	443	--	10	78		285	--	--	20
	435	--	04	16		284	--	02	76
	434	--	05	58		283	--	14	22
	338	--	14	76		256	--	04	32
	337	--	06	12		257	--	01	00
	336	--	02	10		258	--	10	16
	335	--	08	72		278	--	11	40
	94	--	--	04		274	--	01	25
	96	--	8	82		275	--	02	53
	95	--	08	10		261	--	07	74
	82	--	02	38		273	--	01	80
	84	--	--	24		272	--	11	84
	83	--	10	22		331	--	--	38
	77	--	09	54		218	--	08	28
	76	--	07	92		205	--	11	34
	74	--	05	52		209	--	07	20
	75	--	--	60		210	--	06	48
	70	--	13	14		213	--	05	76
	46	--	14	58		171	--	01	28
						176	--	06	48

1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
	175	--	02	52	सोसपुरा	290/318	—	05	76
	174	--	05	70		309	—	12	96
	173	--	01	51		308	—	10	08
	172	--	82	16		307	—	11	52
	168	--	04	86		296	—	14	40
	167	--	08	00		305	—	—	36
	166	--	01	50		303	—	14	04
	414	--	11	82		302	—	—	54
	416	--	--	68		167	—	04	50
	421	--	03	51		166	—	10	44
	419	--	01	30		162	—	11	52
	420	--	04	18		163	—	07	75
	422	--	02	80		158	—	01	75
	147	--	11	06					
	144	--	09	08	सुखपुरिया	366	—	05	04
	137	--	08	90		367	—	04	32
	131	--	02	16		368	—	04	50
	109	--	05	26		370	—	04	14
	110	--	08	00		369	—	06	12
	111	--	03	24		373	—	11	16
	112	--	07	20		372	—	03	15
	117	--	06	48		375	—	06	12
	119	--	04	41		376	—	03	96
	120	--	--	45		347	—	05	04
	116	--	09	44		291	—	02	25
						303	—	—	22
						302	—	05	94
फिरोजपुरा/उर्फ हनुपुरी	328	--	02	24		301	—	04	68
श्रीराम की नागल	74	--	03	96		310	—	07	56
	51	--	14	04		312	—	03	78
	52	--	--	81		310/422	—	02	34
	53	--	05	13		313	—	03	36
	54	--	06	66		314	—	02	88
	56	--	07	18		330	—	—	84
	63	--	13	02		329/424	—	02	00
	64	--	02	52		329	—	01	68
	62	--	07	56		327	—	08	10
	43	--	07	56		328	—	—	48
	42	--	07	82		326	—	03	96
	34	--	18	00		332	—	14	76
	29	--	01	08		232	—	08	82
	30	--	--	78		234	—	08	64
	28	--	08	22		233	—	—	42
						235	—	03	60
लक्ष्मीपुरा	27	--	01	44		227	—	07	92
	28	--	08	28		225	—	—	22
	50	--	10	08		226	—	18	88
	29	--	02	24		214/391	—	07	38
	41	--	10	44		214/413	—	08	64
	39	--	--	10		215	—	06	48
	38	--	08	10		213	—	07	92
	37	--	17	64		212	—	09	72
	56	--	03	60		211/410	—	04	68
	61	--	17	43		211/409	—	04	32

1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
	210	—	08	64		425	—	11	34
	208	—	07	74		424	—	05	04
						24	—	14	40
देहलाबास	289	—	11	34		422	—	16	92
	290	—	—	30		466	—	02	52
	306	—	07	80		28	—	07	38
	290/534	—	—	90		771	—	74	52
	290/549	—	03	24		76	—	12	42
	304	—	—	20		80	—	05	76
	305	—	01	44		127	—	07	38
	294	—	11	86		129	—	03	24
	298	—	16	38		128	—	10	98
	333	—	07	20		124	—	09	54
	334	—	04	32		119	—	06	66
	335	—	05	04		123	—	04	32
	336	—	11	34		122	—	03	60
	467	—	19	26		110	—	11	70
	469	—	—	40		106	—	03	96
	465	—	—	40		109	—	06	56
	463	—	02	50		108	—	07	38
	464	—	03	24		101	—	01	45
	348	—	03	60		102	—	0	80
	349	—	—	24		98	—	07	00
	351	—	11	58		97	—	19	08
	350/541	—	—	30		83	—	16	20
	354	—	12	96		88	—	09	72
	355	—	13	24		87	—	19	08
	454/539	—	07	82		464	—	0	75
	453	—	02	80		85	—	—	20
	357	—	18	26		84	—	20	52
	452	—	—	20					
	358	—	36	54	रामनगरीया	13/1	—	05	76
	359	—	24	84		13/190	—	—	30
	446	—	00	30		14	—	57	80
	521	—	09	42		12	—	03	60
	522	—	09	18		19	—	20	16
	445	—	04	32		11/184	—	18	36
	444	—	02	88		11	—	29	74
	443	—	17	38		10	—	07	92
	372	—	14	04		9	—	—	90
	373	—	20	48					
	374	—	33	30	धीगोबिन्दपुरा	55/60	—	29	88
	396	—	23	40		55	—	23	04
	397	—	09	72		53	—	10	08
	398	—	35	10		54	—	24	34
	403	—	08	34					
	405	—	—	30	जैपुरा	167	—	22	28
सक्ती बामोदरपुरा उर्फ	616	—	07	72		169	—	12	24
नगरीया बाला	623	—	32	20		175	—	05	26
	624	—	04	82					
	625	—	09	42		171	—	01	98
	620	—	12	08		172	—	12	02
						174	—	10	96
नक्षल	426	—	02	52		156	—	05	80
	26	—	06	56		182	—	—	60

1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
जंपुरा (जारी)	183	---	08	20		2250	---	06	50
	184	---	05	02		2249	---	07	00
	184/309	---	02	36		1160	---	06	46
	188	---	08	84		1161	---	09	36
	187	---	05	80		1162	---	04	43
	190	---	19	20		801/2779	---	01	4
	194	---	---	80		801/2778	---	---	25
	189	---	07	08		803	---	06	28
	87	---	---	40		805	---	07	82
	92	---	09	86		802	---	---	30
	95	---	18	80		803	---	01	---
	96	---	11	20		804	---	02	00
	97	---	06	88		787	---	12	36
	35	---	02	00		786	---	---	50
	98	---	09	38		788	---	06	28
	31	---	05	44		785	---	---	30
	24	---	21	00		784	---	03	60
	22	---	18	46		783	---	01	00
	17	---	19	32		782	---	07	20
	15	---	21	30		781	---	08	64
	13	---	07	20		834	---	12	00
	1	---	07	24		835	---	02	88
						836	---	07	00
						837	---	02	16
कल्याणपुरा उर्फ खालीपुरा	40	---	18	90		839	---	08	68
	32	---	01	22		842	---	07	20
	39	---	03	52		843	---	06	28
	38	---	12	96		882	---	04	32
	37	---	04	50		880	---	01	44
	36/526	---	07	70		881	---	13	02
	36	---	---	40		870	---	02	88
	50	---	09	90		870/2733	---	06	28
	51	---	01	04		869	---	07	92
	52	---	19	26		868	---	16	26
	12	---	10	80		896	---	01	08
	11	---	04	20		668	---	02	10
	10/472	---	---	28					
	10	---	07	04	भाबगढ़ बग्घा	318	---	07	38
	1/469	---	09	54		317	---	11	52
	3	---	18	54		336	---	07	02
	4	---	28	80		131	---	25	74
	5	---	03	60		130	---	14	38
						127	---	---	18
						133	---	12	60
						134	---	11	20
						125	---	06	30
						135	---	04	86
						124	---	10	62
						120	---	11	70
						118	---	04	24
						119	---	04	40
						116	---	11	52
						115	---	13	32
						111/581	---	12	24
						114	---	09	00
						113	---	05	76
खोह नागोरियान	2309	---	06	28					
	2171	---	01	02					
	2287	---	24	01					
	2298	---	04	12					
	2297	---	11	00					
	2290	---	---	30					
	2291	---	01	80					
	2292	---	04	00					
	2283	---	02	70					
	2281/3007	---	03	24					
	2282	---	02	5					
	2256	---	04	32					
	2251	---	06	28					

1	2	3	4	5	SCHEDULE				
जीनियाबास	115	—	02	52	Tehsil Sanganer District Jaipur State Rajasthan				
	114	—	07	74	Name of Village	Survey No.	Area		
	113	—	06	60			H.	Sq.	
	116	—	01	34				Mtrs.	
	109	—	03	60	1	2	3	4	5
	123	—	09	72					
	124	—	07	20	Bhared	405	—	—	55
	125	—	11	34		404	—	07	02
	126	—	—	90		403	—	14	04
	127	—	09	36		398	—	08	24
	139	—	01	44		401	—	07	22
	199	—	10	62		400	—	01	50
	197	—	00	72		395	—	17	46
	198	—	09	72		394	—	04	56
	206	—	10	96		250	—	06	48
	207	—	31	14		248	—	28	08
	237	—	08	64		245	—	06	84
	238	—	04	86		233	—	—	48
	254/551	—	12	24		244	—	08	64
	240	—	00	16		243	—	11	24
	252	—	14	76		242	—	—	90
	252/567	—	10	26		241	—	06	12
	251	—	10	62		240	—	14	76
	277	—	00	42		198	—	12	60
	278	—	19	44		199	—	07	56
	279	—	40	86		181	—	11	88
पासड़ी मीना	170	—	41	04		180	—	27	36
	171	—	01	84	Dadia	428	—	—	80
	175	—	08	08		414	—	18	36
	174	—	10	94		413	—	05	22
	173	—	10	80		410/2	—	09	16
	183	—	02	86		404/2	—	—	20
						410/1	—	01	80
						404/1	—	3	6
						391	—	15	84
						390	—	—	54
						389	—	09	86
						388	—	04	00
						387	—	03	60
						365	—	11	02
					Dadia	364	—	10	76
						363	—	14	00
						504	—	13	86
						505/3	—	—	90
						507/2	—	09	00
						507/1	—	18	72
						509/1	—	10	80
						250	—	01	30
						509/2	—	13	10
						249	—	20	16
						248	—	03	00
						245/1	—	09	90
						184	—	04	90
						185	—	06	48
						186	—	21	78
						189	—	09	90
						197	—	01	10
						196	—	—	30
						195	—	11	34
						194	—	07	20
						110	—	—	20
						111	—	01	44
						112	—	—	36

(सं. पी.—31015/5/89—भो. सार. -1)

S.O. 2685.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that it is necessary in the public interest that for the transport of Petroleum from Kandla in the State of Gujarat to Bhatinda in the State of Punjab and Chaksu in the State of Rajasthan to Baholli (Karnal) in the State of Haryana, Pipeline(s) should be laid by Indian Oil Corporation Limited.

And whereas it appears that for the purpose of laying such pipeline(s) it is necessary to acquire the right of user the land described in the schedule annexed hereto.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (i) of Section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipeline (Acquisition of Right of User in the Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962) the Central Government hereby declares its intention to acquire the right of user therein.

Provided that any person interested in the said land may within 21 days from the date of this notification, object to the laying of the pipeline(s) under the land to the Competent Authority, Indian Oil Corporation Limited, A-30, Sen Colony, Bani Park, Jaipur-16.

And every person making such an objection shall also state specifically whether he wishes to be heard in person or by Legal Practitioner.

1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
Dadia (Contd.)	117	—	01	80		293	—	01	96
	107	—	02	40		300	—	—	20
	118	—	08	10		284	—	07	08
	119	—	01	80		284/409	—	01	20
	120	—	10	80		283	—	08	88
	121	—	02	16		280	—	01	68
	125/2	—	12	96		282	—	—	48
	123/2	—	02	16		281	—	07	68
	123/1	—	09	72		277	—	01	80
	97	—	—	78		268	—	07	92
	100	—	01	26		269	—	08	64
	93	—	10	80		271	—	04	50
	92	—	01	44		272	—	04	60
	91	—	01	80		252	—	05	64
	90/2	—	18	00		274	—	—	20
	90/4	—	03	60		251	—	08	28
	89	—	09	36		250	—	4	68
	86	—	04	68					
	87	—	01	80	Jashodapura	293	—	10	00
	85	—	05	76	urf Ashawala	292	—	06	84
	84	—	04	14		290	—	05	04
	55	—	10	80		291	—	18	50
	52	—	—	20		285	—	—	20
	59	—	01	75		284	—	02	76
	60	—	05	20		283	—	14	22
	61	—	02	88		256	—	04	32
	62	—	04	50		257	—	01	00
	46	—	09	64		258	—	10	16
	45	—	04	13		278	—	14	40
	40/2	—	10	54		274	—	01	25
	40/1	—	11	15		275	—	02	53
	30/2	—	—	20		261	—	07	74
	19	—	05	40		273	—	01	80
	18/2	—	02	52		272	—	11	34
Kheri Gokulpura	443	—	10	78		331	—	—	36
	435	—	04	16		218	—	08	28
	434	—	05	58		205	—	11	34
	338	—	14	76		209	—	07	20
	337	—	06	12		210	—	06	48
	336	—	02	10		213	—	05	76
	335	—	08	72		171	—	01	28
	94	—	—	64		176	—	06	48
	96	—	8	82		175	—	02	52
	95	—	08	10		174	—	05	70
	82	—	02	38		173	—	01	56
	84	—	—	24		172	—	02	16
	83	—	10	22		168	—	04	86
	77	—	09	54		167	—	08	00
	76	—	07	92		166	—	01	50
	74	—	05	52		414	—	11	82
	73	—	—	60		416	—	—	68
	70	—	13	14		421	—	03	51
	46	—	14	58		419	—	01	30
	45	—	10	28		420	—	04	18
	44	—	08	78		422	—	02	80
	40	—	10	44		147	—	11	06
	38	—	07	56		144	—	09	08
	39	—	07	74		137	—	08	90
	52	—	04	50		131	—	02	16
	53	—	03	24		109	—	05	26
						110	—	08	00
						111	—	03	24
Maharajpura	184	—	01	20		112	—	07	20
urf Bakshawala	185	—	12	84		117	—	06	48
	297	—	24	12		119	—	04	41
	299	—	04	16					

1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
Jashodapura 120	—	—	—	45	329/424	—	—	02	00
urf Ashapura (Contd.) 116	—	—	09	44	329	—	—	01	68
					327	—	—	08	10
Firojpura urf 238	—	—	02	24	328	—	—	—	48
Indrapuri					326	—	—	03	96
Sri Ram Ki Nangal 74	—	—	03	96	332	—	—	14	76
51	—	—	14	04	232	—	—	08	82
52	—	—	—	81	234	—	—	08	64
53	—	—	05	13	233	—	—	—	42
54	—	—	06	66	235	—	—	03	60
56	—	—	07	18	227	—	—	07	92
63	—	—	13	02	225	—	—	—	22
64	—	—	02	52	226	—	—	18	88
62	—	—	07	56	214/391	—	—	07	38
43	—	—	07	56	214/413	—	—	08	64
42	—	—	07	82	215	—	—	06	48
34	—	—	18	00	213	—	—	07	92
29	—	—	01	08	212	—	—	09	72
30	—	—	—	78	211/410	—	—	04	68
28	—	—	08	22	211/409	—	—	04	32
					210	—	—	08	64
Lakshmipura 27	—	—	01	44	208	—	—	07	74
28	—	—	08	28					
30	—	—	10	08	Dehalawas 289	—	—	11	34
29	—	—	02	24	290	—	—	—	30
41	—	—	10	44	306	—	—	07	80
39	—	—	—	10	290/534	—	—	—	90
38	—	—	08	10	290/549	—	—	03	24
37	—	—	17	64	304	—	—	—	20
56	—	—	03	60					
01	—	—	17	43	305	—	—	01	44
					294	—	—	11	86
Sitapura 290/318	—	—	05	76	298	—	—	16	38
309	—	—	12	96	333	—	—	07	20
308	—	—	10	08	334	—	—	04	32
307	—	—	11	52	335	—	—	05	04
296	—	—	14	40	336	—	—	11	34
305	—	—	—	36	467	—	—	19	26
303	—	—	14	04	469	—	—	—	40
302	—	—	—	54	465	—	—	—	40
167	—	—	04	50	463	—	—	02	50
166	—	—	10	44	464	—	—	03	24
162	—	—	11	52	348	—	—	03	60
163	—	—	07	75	349	—	—	—	24
158	—	—	01	75	351	—	—	11	58
					350/541	—	—	—	30
Sukhpuria 366	—	—	05	04	354	—	—	12	96
367	—	—	04	32	355	—	—	13	24
368	—	—	04	50	454/539	—	—	07	82
370	—	—	04	14	453	—	—	02	80
369	—	—	06	12	357	—	—	18	26
373	—	—	11	16	452	—	—	—	20
372	—	—	03	15	358	—	—	36	54
375	—	—	06	12	359	—	—	24	84
376	—	—	03	96	446	—	—	—	30
347	—	—	05	04	521	—	—	09	42
291	—	—	02	25	522	—	—	09	18
303	—	—	—	22	445	—	—	04	32
302	—	—	05	94	444	—	—	02	88
301	—	—	04	68	443	—	—	17	38
310	—	—	07	56	372	—	—	14	04
312	—	—	03	78	373	—	—	30	48
310/422	—	—	02	34	374	—	—	33	30
313	—	—	03	36	396	—	—	23	40
314	—	—	02	88	397	—	—	09	72
330	—	—	—	84	398	—	—	35	10



1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
Dahalwa (Contd.)	403	—	08	34		184	—	05	02
	405	—	—	30		184/309	—	02	36
Lakshmi Damodar-	616	—	07	72		188	08	08	84
pura urf Nagari-	623	—	32	20		187	—	05	80
yawala	624	—	04	82		190	—	19	20
	625	—	09	42		194	—	—	80
	620	—	12	08		189	—	07	08
						87	—	—	40
Mahal	426	—	20	52		92	—	09	86
	26	—	06	56		95	—	18	80
						96	—	11	20
	425	—	11	34		97	—	06	88
	424	—	05	04		35	—	02	00
	24	—	14	40		98	—	09	38
	422	—	16	92		31	—	05	44
	466	—	02	52		24	—	21	00
	28	—	07	38		22	—	18	46
	77/1	—	74	52		17	—	19	32
	76	—	12	42		15	—	21	30
	80	—	05	76		13	—	07	20
	127	—	07	38		1	—	07	24
	129	—	03	24					
	128	—	10	98	Kalyanpura urf	40	—	18	90
	124	—	09	54	Khatipura	32	—	01	22
	119	—	06	66		39	—	03	52
	123	—	04	32		38	—	12	96
	122	—	03	60		37	—	04	50
	110	—	11	70		36/526	—	07	70
	106	—	03	96		36	—	—	40
	109	—	06	66		50	—	09	90
	108	—	07	38		51	—	01	04
	101	—	01	45		52	—	19	26
	102	—	0	80		12	—	10	80
	98	—	07	—		11	—	04	20
	97	—	19	08		10/472	—	—	28
	83	—	16	20		10	—	07	04
	88	—	09	72		1/469	—	09	54
	87	—	19	08		3	—	18	54
	464	—	0	75		4	—	28	80
	85	—	—	20		5	—	03	60
	84	—	20	52					
Ramnagariya	13/1	—	05	76	Khob Nagoriyan	2309	—	06	28
	13/190	—	—	30		2171	—	01	02
	14	—	57	80		2287	—	24	01
	12	—	03	60		2298	—	04	12
	19	—	20	16		2297	—	11	—
	11/184	—	18	36		2290	—	—	30
	11	—	29	74		2291	—	01	80
	10	—	07	92		2292	—	04	00
	9	—	—	90		2283	—	02	70
						2281/3007	—	03	24
Shri Govindpura	55/60	—	29	88		2282	—	02	52
	55	—	23	04		2256	—	04	32
	53	—	10	08		2251	—	06	28
	54	—	24	34		2250	—	06	50
						2249	—	07	00
Jaipura	167	—	22	28		1160	—	06	46
	169	—	12	24		1161	—	09	36
	175	—	05	26		1162	—	04	32
	171	—	01	98		801/2779	—	01	44
	172	—	12	02		801/2778	—	—	25
	174	—	10	96		801	—	06	28
	156	—	05	80		805	—	07	82
	182	—	—	60		802	—	—	30
	183	—	08	20		803	—	01	—

1	2	3	4
Khoh Ngaoriyan	804	—	02 00
(Contd)	787	—	12 36
	786	—	— 50
	788	—	06 28
	785	—	— 30
	784	—	03 60
	783	—	01 00
	782	—	07 20
	781	—	08 64
	834	—	12 00
	835	—	02 88
	836	—	07 00
	837	—	02 16
	839	—	08 68
	842	—	07 20
	843	—	06 28
	882	—	04 32
	880	—	01 44
	881	—	15 02
	870	—	02 88
	870/2733	—	06 28
	869	—	07 92
	868	—	16 26
	896	—	01 08
	668	—	02 10
Bhavgarh Bandha	318	—	07 38
	317	—	11 52
	336	—	07 02
	131	—	25 74
	130	—	14 38
	127	—	— 18
	133	—	12 60
	134	—	11 20
	125	—	06 30
	135	—	04 86
	124	—	10 62
	120	—	11 70
	118	—	04 24
	119	—	04 40
	116	—	11 52
	115	—	13 32
	111/561	—	12 24
	114	—	09 00
	113	—	05 76
Loniawas	115	—	02 52
	114	—	07 74
	113	—	06 60
	116	—	01 34
	109	—	03 60
	123	—	09 72
	124	—	07 20
	125	—	11 34
	126	—	— 90
	127	—	09 36
	139	—	01 44
	199	—	10 62
	197	—	00 72
	196	—	09 72
	206	—	10 96
	207	—	31 14
	237	—	08 64
	238	—	04 86
	254/551	—	12 24
	240	—	00 16
	252	—	14 76

1	2	3	4	5
	252/567	—	10	26
	251	—	10	62
	277	—	00	42
	278	—	19	44
	279	—	40	86
Paladi Meena	170	—	41	04
	171	—	01	84
	175	—	06	08
	174	—	10	94
	173	—	10	80
	183	—	00	56

[No. O-31015/5/89-OR I]

का.प्रा. 2686:—यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि लोकहित में यह आवश्यक है कि गुजरात राज्य में कांठला से पंजाब राज्य में भटिंडा (बाया राजस्थान व हरियाणा राज्य) तक पैट्रोलियम के परिवहन के लिए पार्श्व लाईन इण्डियन ऑयल कॉरपोरेशन लिमिटेड द्वारा बिछाई जानी चाहिए।

और यतः यह प्रतीत होता है कि ऐसी लाईन को बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए एतद्पाठ्य अनुसूची में वर्णित भूमि में उपयोग का अधिकार अर्जित करना आवश्यक है।

अतः अब पैट्रोलियम और खनिज पार्श्व लाईन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार ने उसमें उपयोग का अधिकार अर्जित करने का अपना आणय एतद्द्वारा घोषित किया है।

बणर्त कि उक्त भूमि में हितबद्ध कोई व्यक्ति, उस भूमि के नीचे पार्श्व लाईन बिछाने के लिए आक्षेप सक्षम अधिकारी, इण्डियन ऑयल कॉरपोरेशन लिमिटेड पार्श्व लाईन, बंगला नं. 66, सेक्टर नं. 4, गांधी धाम, कच्छ-370211 को इस अधिसूचना की तारीख से 21 दिनों के भीतर कर सकेगा।

और ऐसा आक्षेप करने वाला हर व्यक्ति विनिर्दिष्ट यह भी कथन करेगा कि क्या वह चाहता है कि उसकी सुनवाई व्यक्तिगत हो या किसी विधि व्यवसायी की मार्फत।

अनुसूची	तहसील : भचाऊ	जिला : कच्छ	राज्य : गुजरात			
गांव का नाम	सर्वे नम्बर	क्षेत्रफल				
		हेक्टर	आर	स्कवायर मीटर		
1	2	3	4	5		
सुलानी बीरई	393/1	00	39	60		
	392/2	00	23	40		
	403/1	00	48	60		
	403/2	00	00	90		
	409	00	18	00		
	414/2	00	05	76		
	415	00	12	60		
	6	00	20	70		
	5	00	16	20		

1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
मोटी चोरई	4	00	18	00	बोपड़वा	325	00	10	80
	3	00	09	00		327/1	00	12	78
	2	00	18	00		291	00	52	20
	53	00	18	90		293	00	01	98
	54	00	41	40		290	00	09	18
	546	00	25	56		1202	00	27	90
	548	00	22	68		1199	00	43	20
	549	00	25	56		1219	00	40	50
	550	00	30	96		1248	00	33	30
	449	00	02	88		1249/1	00	64	80
	450	00	23	58		1249/2	00	27	90
	451/1	00	25	20		1244/3	00	23	40
	452	00	32	40		1237	00	30	60
	455	00	37	44		1236/3	00	10	80
	464/1	00	19	80		1403	00	09	90
	413	00	31	50		1402/2	00	00	90
	412/1	00	01	98		1401	00	34	20
	414/1	00	10	80		1402	00	23	40
	410	00	29	52		1421/1	00	10	80
	360	00	01	98		1420	00	14	40
	361	00	14	76		1424/1	00	68	40
	365/1	00	19	80		1426/1	00	10	80
	366	00	01	98		1426/2	00	09	90
	365/2	00	09	90		1444	00	09	90
	367	00	24	66		1441	00	04	50
	373	00	33	86		1443/1	00	16	20
	372/1	00	32	40		1443/2	00	14	40
	378	00	01	44		1443/3	00	08	10
	375	00	27	54		1453/3	00	41	40
	377	00	27	54		1453/2	00	01	80
	380/1	00	07	92		1453/1	00	01	80
	380/2	00	19	80		1452	00	18	00
	382	00	13	68		1618/1	00	25	20
	316/2	00	00	99		1632/2	00	30	60
	316/1	00	09	90		1631	00	18	00
	314/1	00	23	58		1630	00	41	40
	315	00	34	76		1875	00	43	20
	314/2	00	01	26		1879	00	13	50
	240	00	29	52	भचऊ	1880	00	09	90
	250	00	43	20		1872/1	00	29	70
	251	00	02	88		1871/2	00	19	80
	219	00	21	80		1862	00	18	90
	213/2	00	26	64		1842/1	00	16	20
	210	00	20	70		1843/2	00	09	90
	213/1	00	00	45		1842/3	00	00	45
	208	00	23	58		1841/1	00	16	20
	205	00	27	54		1841/2	00	17	10
	204	00	02	88		1840	00	31	50
	203	00	27	54		1829	00	10	80
	199	00	35	46		1845	00	29	70
	198	00	21	60		805	00	27	54
	197	00	23	58	बोध	807/1	00	17	64
	326	00	23	94		810	00	31	32

1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
बोध (जारी)	815/2	00	09	90	घराणा	194	00	21	96
	815/1	00	22	50		195	00	16	92
	816/1	00	40	14		158	00	11	34
	816/4	00	10	80		194	00	07	56
	817	00	25	74		159/1	00	23	40
	1416	00	16	56		157	00	19	44
	1405	00	29	52		160	00	40	50
	1404	00	12	96		152	00	04	23
	1406/1	00	01	44		153	00	02	07
	1406/2	00	21	60		131	00	12	60
	1403/1	00	01	44		151/1	00	00	45
छाटवाल	800	00	31	68		151/2	00	05	49
	799	00	15	84		132	00	21	78
	810	00	15	84		148/2	00	01	26
	809	00	21	06		145	00	55	80
	812	00	28	08		139	00	25	20
	836	00	26	46		138	00	25	20
	835/1	00	15	84		119	00	14	40
	835/2	00	03	06		121/1	00	14	40
	819	00	18	54		121/2	00	13	50
	832/1	00	05	22		121/3	00	05	04
	831	00	09	72		120	00	03	42
	823	00	21	06		66	00	15	92
	827	00	52	92		67	00	14	40
	826	00	13	14		64	00	25	20
	835	00	13	14		63	00	08	46
	567	00	22	86		50/1	00	14	40
	566	00	36	00		54/1	50	13	50
	565	00	28	98		55/2	00	07	56
	559/2	00	22	86		55 3	50	06	84
	506	00	24	66		55/1	00	13	50
	507/2	00	14	04		20	00	35	46
	497	00	22	86		21	00	24	30
	496	00	21	96	लार्कडिया	715	00	24	66
	464	00	50	22		713	00	30	78
	476	00	36	90		714	00	06	57
	477	00	49	14		712	00	10	26
	483	00	14	04		720	00	05	04
	479	00	14	94		719	00	39	06
	480	00	42	30		735	00	49	32
	481	00	08	82		736	00	18	36
	396	00	17	64		738	00	11	16
	593	00	26	46		743/1	00	25	56
	594	00	32	58		740	00	03	96
	596	00	00	90		741	00	20	52
	595	00	17	64		750	00	16	38
	597	00	38	70		751	00	16	38
सामखीभाली	245/2	00	31	86		752	00	24	66
	244	00	19	08		759/2	00	28	60
	219	00	56	52		758	50	27	72
	217	00	19	98		769	00	82	44
	201	00	28	26		777/1	00	15	30
	202	00	31	86					
	195	00	10	98					

1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
	777/2	00	17	28		707/4	00	08	28
	784	00	24	66		703	00	03	60
	785/1	00	10	98		702/1	00	12	96
	786	00	43	20		708	05	05	58
	787	00	16	38		699/2	00	08	28
	788/1	00	23	58		699/1	00	10	08
	788/2	00	17	28		695/3	00	15	66
	799/2	00	22	50		698	00	18	36
	799/1	00	24	66		597	00	20	34
	800	00	01	53		388	77	77	40
	826/3	00	09	18		377/2	00	20	34
	825/3	00	26	82	लाकडीया	377/1	00	40	50
	825/2	00	03	06		379	00	25	74
	824	00	16	38		300	00	30	42
	823	00	26	82		382	00	06	48
	822	50	15	30		381	00	36	90
	1082	00	03	06		362	00	06	48
	1083	00	32	94		363	00	39	60
	1084/1	00	22	50		358	00	07	38
	1084/2	00	12	24		603	00	10	98
	1084/3	00	06	12		352	00	33	12
	1092/1	00	06	12		353/1	00	07	38
	1090/1	00	10	08		320	00	03	60
	1091/1	00	50	99		321/1	00	20	34
	1091/2	00	10	98		321/2	00	29	52
	1091/3	00	06	12		323	10	34	92
	1091/4	00	08	28		344/1	00	30	98
	1089/1	00	09	00		344/2	00	66	24
	1149	00	08	10		340/2	00	23	04
						340/1	00	27	54
						280	00	02	70
						279/2	00	27	54
						278	00	38	34
लाकडीया	1150/1	00	26	82	[सं. प्रो-31015/7/89-प्रो.प्रार.-1]				
	1150/2	00	10	08	S.O. 2686.—Whereas it appears in the Central Government that it is necessary in the public interest that for the transport of Petroleum from Kandla in the State of Gujarat to Bhatinda in the State of Punjab (Via Rajasthan and Haryana States) a pipeline should be laid by Indian Oil Corporation Limited.				
	1150/3	00	00	90	And whereas it appears that for the purpose of laying such pipeline(s) it is necessary to acquire the right of use the land described in the schedule annexed hereto.				
	1152	00	12	24	Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (i) of Section 3 of the Petroleum and Mineral Pipeline (Acquisition of Right of User in the Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962) the Central Government hereby declares its intention to acquire the right of user therein;				
	1153	00	16	38	Provided that any person interested in the said land make an objection within 21 days from the date of this notification, object to the laying of the pipeline under the land to the Competent Authority, Indian Oil Corporation Limited, Bangalore No. 66, Sector No. 4, Gandhidham, Kutch-370201.				
	1159	00	24	66	And every person making such an objection shall also state specifically whether he wishes to be heard in person or by a representative.				
	1167	00	13	32					
	1168	00	23	58					
शिवलखा	717	00	15	66					
	705/2	00	03	58					
	706/1	00	02	70					
	706/2	00	08	28					
	707/1	00	09	18					
	707/2	00	07	38					

SCHEDULE					1	2	3	4	5	
Tehsil BHACHAU	District KACHCHH	State GUJARAT								
Name of Village	Survey No.	Area								
		H.	A.	Sq. Mtrs.						
1	2	3	4	5						
Nani Chirai	393/1	00	39	60		205	00	27	54	
	392/2	00	23	40		204	00	02	88	
	403/1	00	48	60		203	00	27	54	
	403/2	00	00	90		199	90	35	46	
	409	00	18	00		198	00	21	60	
	414/2	00	05	76		197	00	23	58	
	415	00	12	60						
	6	00	20	70						
	5	00	16	20						
	4	00	18	00						
	3	00	09	00						
	2	00	18	00						
	53	00	18	90						
	54	00	41	40						
	Moti Chirai	546	00	25	56		326	00	23	94
548		00	22	68		325	00	10	80	
549		00	25	56		327/1	00	12	78	
550		00	30	96		291	00	52	20	
449		00	02	88		293	00	01	98	
450		00	23	58		290	00	09	18	
451/1		00	25	20						
452		00	32	40						
455		00	37	44						
464/1		00	19	80						
413		00	31	50						
412/1		00	01	98						
414/1		00	10	80						
410		00	29	52						
360		00	01	98						
361		00	14	76						
365/1		00	19	80						
366		00	01	98						
365/2		00	09	90						
367		00	24	66						
373		00	13	86						
372/1		00	32	40						
378		00	01	44						
375		00	27	54						
377		00	27	54						
380/1		00	07	92						
380/2		00	19	80						
382		00	13	68						
316/2		00	00	99						
316/1		00	09	90						
314/1		00	23	58						
315		00	14	76						
314/2		00	01	26						
240		00	29	52						
250		00	43	20						
251		00	2	88						
219		00	21	60						
213/2		00	26	64						
210		00	20	70						
213/1		00	00	45						
208		00	23	58						

1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
	817	00	25	74					
	1416	00	16	56		138	00	25	10
	1405	00	29	52		119	00	14	40
	1404	00	12	96		121/1	00	14	40
	1406/1	00	01	44		121/1	00	13	50
	1406/2	00	21	60		121/3	00	05	04
						120	00	03	42
Vondb	1403/1	00	01	44		66	00	16	92
Chhadvala	800	00	31	68		67	00	14	40
	799	00	15	84		64	00	25	20
	810	00	15	84		63	00	08	46
	809	00	21	06		50/1	00	14	40
	812	00	28	08		54/1	00	13	50
	836	00	26	46		55/2	00	07	56
	835/1	00	15	84		55/3	00	06	84
	835/2	00	03	06		55/1	00	13	50
	819	00	18	54					
	832/1	00	05	22	Garana	20	00	35	46
	831	00	09	72		21	00	24	30
	823	00	21	06					
	827	00	52	92	Lakadiya	715	00	24	66
	826	00	13	14		713	00	30	78
	825	00	13	14		714	00	06	57
	567	00	22	86		712	00	10	26
	566	00	36	00		720	00	05	04
	565	00	28	98		719	00	39	06
	559/2	00	22	86		735	00	49	32
	506	00	24	66		736	00	18	36
	507/2	00	14	04		738	00	11	16
	497	00	22	86		743/1	00	25	56
	496	00	21	96		740	00	03	96
	464	00	50	22		741	00	20	52
Chhadvala	476	00	36	90		750	00	16	38
	477	00	49	14		751	00	16	38
	483	00	14	04		752	00	24	66
	479	00	14	94		759/2	00	28	80
	480	00	42	30		758	00	27	72
	481	00	08	82		769	00	82	44
	396	00	17	64		777/1	00	15	30
	593	00	26	46		777/2	00	17	28
	594	00	32	58		784	00	24	66
	596	00	00	90		785/1	00	10	98
	595	00	17	64		786	00	43	20
	597	00	38	70	Lakadiya	787	00	16	38
						788/1	00	23	58
Samakhiali	245/2	00	31	86		788/2	00	17	28
	244	00	19	08		799/2	00	22	50
	249	00	56	52		799/1	00	24	66
	217	00	19	98		800	00	01	53
	201	00	28	26		826/3	00	09	18
	202	00	31	86		825/3	00	26	82
	195	00	10	98		825/2	00	03	06
	194	00	21	96		824	00	16	38
Garna	195	00	16	92		823	00	26	82
	158	00	11	34		822	00	15	30
	194	00	07	56		1082	00	03	06
	159/1	00	23	40		1083	00	32	94
	157	00	19	44		1084/1	00	22	50
Garana	160	00	40	50		1084/2	00	12	24
	152	00	04	23		1084/3	00	06	12
	153	00	02	07		1092/1	00	06	12
	131	00	12	60		1090/1	00	10	08
	151/1	00	00	45		1091/1	00	00	99
	151/2	00	05	49		1091/2	00	10	98
	132	00	21	78		1091/3	00	06	12
	148/2	00	01	26		1091/4	00	08	28
	145	00	55	80		1089/1	00	09	00
	139	00	25	20		1149	00	08	10

1	2	3	4	5
Lakadiya	1150/1	00	26	82
	1150/2	00	10	08
	1150/3	00	00	90
	1152	00	12	24
	1153	00	16	38
	1159	00	24	66
	1167	00	13	32
	1168	00	23	58
Shiv lukha	717	00	15	66
	705/2	00	05	58
	706/1	00	02	70
	706/2	00	08	28
	707/1	00	09	18
	707/2	00	07	38
	707/4	00	08	28
	703	00	03	60
	702/1	00	12	96
	708	00	05	58
	699/2	00	08	28
	699/1	00	10	08
	695/3	00	15	66
	698	00	18	36
	597	00	20	34
	388	00	77	40
	377/2	00	20	34
Lakadiya	377/1	00	40	50
	389	00	25	74
	380	00	30	42
	382	00	06	48
	381	00	36	90
	362	00	06	48
	363	00	39	60
	358	00	07	38
	603	00	10	98
	352	00	33	12
	353/1	00	07	38
	320	00	03	60
	321/1	00	20	34
	321/2	00	29	52
	323	00	34	92
	344/1	00	10	98
	344/2	00	66	24
	340/2	00	23	04
	340/1	00	27	54
	280	00	02	70
	279/2	00	27	54
	278	00	38	34

[No.O-31015/7/89-ORI]

का.प्रा 2687: —यन: केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि लोकहित में यह आवश्यक है कि गुजरात राज्य में कांडला से पंजाब राज्य में मटिडा (बाया राजस्थान व हरियाणा राज्य) तक पैट्रोलियम के परिवहन के लिए पाईप लाईन इण्डियन ऑयल कॉर्पोरेशन लिमिटेड द्वारा बिछाई जानी चाहिए।

और यन: यह प्रतीत होता है कि ऐसी लाईन को बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए एतद्भावद श्रुतसूची में वर्णित भूमि में उपयोग का अधिकार अजित करना आवश्यक है।

यन: अब पैट्रोलियम और खनिज पाईप लाईन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा

3 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार ने उसमें उपयोग का अधिकार अजित करने का अपना प्राथम एतद्द्वारा घोषित किया है।

बताने कि उक्त भूमि में हिनबद कोई व्यक्ति, उस भूमि के नीचे पाईप लाईन बिछाने के लिए आशेष सभ्य अधिकारी, इण्डियन ऑयल कॉर्पोरेशन लिमिटेड पाईप लाईन्स, बंगला नं. 66, सेंटर नं. 4, गांधी धाम कच्छ 370201 को इस अधिसूचना की तारीख से 21 दिनों के भीतर कर सकेगा।

और ऐसा आशेष करने वाला हर व्यक्ति विनिर्दिष्ट: यह भी कथन करेगा कि क्या वह चाहता है कि उसकी सुनवाई अर्थात्तः हो या किसी विधि व्यवसायी की मति।

श्रुतसूची				
तहसील : भन्जार	जिला कच्छ	राज्य : गुजरात		
गांव का नाम	सर्वे नम्बर	क्षेत्रफल		
		हेक्टर	घाट	में टोप्रा
1	2	3	4	5
सीटीमेहर	160	00	17	10
	161	00	43	20
	162	00	14	40
	163	00	03	60
	168	00	28	80
	169	00	36	00
	148/1	00	19	80
	129/1	00	18	00
	128/2	00	03	60
	128/1	00	18	90
	131/1	00	23	40
	95	00	41	40
	90/2	00	18	00
	90/1	00	24	30
	89/1	00	20	70
	89/2	00	06	30
	72	00	21	60
	84/4	00	08	10
	84/3	00	00	90
	73/1	00	05	40
	73/2	00	05	40
	73/3	00	16	20
	75/1	00	46	80
	75/2	00	07	20
	76	00	27	00
	81	00	10	80
	77	00	36	00
	78	00	16	20
कुडवा	147	00	43	20
	161	00	52	20
	160	00	31	50
	159	00	18	00
	158	00	19	80
	157	00	18	00
	156	00	23	40



1	2	3	4	5
	154	00	22	50
	155	00	12	60
	42	00	15	30
	41	00	17	10
	40	00	09	00
	39	00	24	30
	38/4	09	09	00
	38/3	00	09	00
	38/2	00	09	90
	37/1	00	11	70
	36	00	05	40
	21/3	00	10	80
	21/4	00	23	40
	23	00	27	00
	24	00	25	20
पटाना	116/3	00	11	70
	122	00	34	20
	121	00	03	60
	123	00	05	40
	120	00	34	20
	119/3	00	02	25
	119/1	00	12	60
	213	00	27	00
	136	00	11	70
	200 1	00	84	60
	138	00	41	40
	139/1	00	14	40
	140	00	15	30
	141	00	30	60
	142	00	05	40
वरताना	124/1	00	09	00
	124/2	00	32	40
	126	00	06	30
	125	00	36	00
	129	00	21	60
	128	00	17	10
	129	00	12	60
	128	00	09	00
	129	00	13	50
	67	00	34	20
	68	00	33	30
	71	00	39	60
	73	00	34	20
	76	00	13	50

[सं. प्रो-31015/7/89-प्रो.प्रार.-I]

के.सी. कटोच, प्रवर सचिव

S.O. 2687.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that it is necessary in the public interest that for the transport of Petroleum from Kandla in the State of Gujarat to Bhatinda in the State of Punjab (Via Rajasthan and Haryana States) pipeline should be laid by Indian Oil Corporation Limited.

And whereas it appears that for the purpose of laying such pipeline it is necessary to acquire the right of user in the land described in the schedule annexed hereto.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (i) of Section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipeline (Acquisition of Right of User in the Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962) the Central Government hereby declares its intention to acquire the right of user therein.

Provided that any person interested in the said land may within 21 days from the date of this notification, object to the laying of the pipeline under the land to the Competent Authority, Indian Oil Corporation Limited, Bungalow No. 66, Sector No. 4, Gandhidham, Kutchhh-370201.

And every person making such an objection shall also state specifically whether he wishes to be heard in person or by Legal Practitioner.

## SCHEDULE

Tehsil : Anjar District : Kachhh State : Gujarat

Name of Village	Survey No.	Area		
		H.	A.	Centi Are
1	2	3	4	5
Mithirohar	160	00	17	10
	161	00	43	20
	162	00	14	40
	163	00	03	60
	168	00	28	80
	169	00	36	00
	148/1	00	19	80
	129/1	00	18	00
	128/2	00	03	60
	128/1	00	18	90
	131/1	00	23	40
	95	00	41	40
	90/2	00	18	00
	90/1	00	24	30
	89/1	00	20	70
	89/2	00	06	30
	72	00	21	60
	84/4	00	08	10
	84/3	00	00	90
	73/1	00	05	40
	73/2	00	05	40
	73/3	00	16	20
	75/1	00	46	80
	75/2	00	07	20
Chudwa	76	00	27	00
	81	00	10	80
	77	00	36	00
	78	00	16	20
	147	00	43	20
	161	00	52	20
	160	00	31	30
	159	00	18	00
	158	00	19	80
	157	00	18	00
	156	00	23	40
	154	00	22	50
	155	00	12	60
	42	00	15	30
	41	00	17	10
	40	00	09	00
	39	00	24	30
	38/4	00	09	00
	38/3	00	09	00

1	2	3	4	5
	38/2	00	09	90
	37/1	00	11	70
	36	00	05	40
	21/3	00	10	80
	21/4	00	23	40
	23	00	27	00
	24	00	25	20
Padaa	116/3	00	11	70
	122	00	34	20
	121	00	03	60
	123	00	05	40
	120	00	34	20
	119/3	00	02	25
	119/4	00	12	60
	213	00	27	00
	136	00	11	70
	200/1	00	84	60
	138	00	41	40
	139/1	00	14	40
	140	00	15	30
	141	00	30	60
Varsana	142	00	05	40
	124/1	00	09	00
	124/2	00	32	40
	126	00	06	30
	125	00	36	00
	129	00	21	60
	128	00	17	10
	129	00	12	60
	128	00	09	00
	129	00	13	50
	67	00	34	20
	68	00	33	30
	71	00	39	60
	73	00	34	20
	76	00	13	50

[No. O-31015/7/89-OR.]  
K.C. KATOCH, Under Secy.

### श्रम मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 18 सितम्बर, 1990

का.प्रा. 2688.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार सेन्ट्रल बैंक आफ इन्डिया के प्रबन्धतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निहित औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, नई दिल्ली के पंचपट को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 14-9-90 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

### MINISTRY OF LABOUR

New Delhi, the 18th September, 1990

S.O. 2688.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, New Delhi as shown in the Annexure in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Central Bank of India and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 14-9-1990.

### ANNEXURE

BEFORE SHRI GANPATI SHARMA, PRESIDING OFFICER, CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, NEW DELHI

I. D. No. 90/89

In the matter of dispute between :

Shri Virender Singh, Pocket 5, House No. 687, Mayapuri Vihar, New Delhi-110097,  
Versus

Chief Manager, Central Bank of India, Link House 4, Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg, New Delhi-110001.

### APPEARANCES :

None—for the workman.

Shri D. D. Kapoor—for the Management.

### AWARD

The Central Government in the Ministry of Labour vide its Order No. L-12012(143)/89-D.II (A) dated 1st September, 1989 has referred the following industrial dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication :

"Whether the action of the management of Central Bank of India, New Delhi in terminating the services of Shri Virender Singh is justified ? If not to what relief is the workman entitled ?"

2. The workman did not appear inspite of notice having been sent to him 3-4 times and the final notice was sent for 28-8-90 on which date again he did not appear inspite of registered notice. The workman does not appear to be interested in proceeding with this case and therefore, No Dispute award for want of prosecution by the workman is passed in this case.

Dated : 3rd December, 1990.

Further it is ordered that the requisite number of copies of this award may be forwarded to the Central Government for necessary action at their end.

Dated : 3rd December, 1990.

GANPATI SHARMA, Presiding Officer

[No. L-12012(143)/89-D.II (A)]

का.प्रा. 2689.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार पंजाब नेशनल बैंक के प्रबन्धतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निहित औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, नई दिल्ली के पंचपट को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 14-9-90 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

S.O. 2689.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, New Delhi as shown in the Annexure in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Punjab National Bank and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 14-9-1990.

### ANNEXURE

BEFORE SHRI GANPATI SHARMA, PRESIDING OFFICER, CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, NEW DELHI

I. D. No. 20/89

In the matter of dispute between :

Shri S. P. Singh Clerk/Cashier, workman of Punjab National Bank, Chavvi Bafra Delhi Branch as represented by Punjab National Bank Employees Union

(Regd.) 710, Badli Maran, Chandni Chowk, Delhi-110006.

Versus

1. The Chairman,  
Punjab National Bank, Bhikaji Cama Place,  
New Delhi.
2. The Regional Manager,  
Punjab National Bank, Delhi Region,  
F-14 Competent House, Connaught Place,  
New Delhi.

## APPEARANCES :

Shri V. K. Gupta—for the workman.  
Miss Anjali Saxena—for the Management.

## AWARD

The Central Government in the Ministry of Labour vide its Order No. L-12012/511/88-D.II (A) dated 10-2-89 has referred the following industrial dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication :

"Whether the action of the management of Punjab National Bank in not granting one day's joining time to Shri S. P. Singh on his transfer from Gandhi Nagar Branch to Chowri Bazar Branch is justified in view of the provisions of para 550 of the Sastry Award? If not, to what relief is the workman entitled?"

2. The case was fixed on 3-9-90 for settlement between the parties when a joint settlement was filed in the court. As per settlement the parties have stated that the dispute has been amicably settled outside the Industrial Tribunal and no dispute award may be given in the matter. In view of this a No Dispute award is passed as agreed by the parties.  
Dated : 3rd September, 1990.

GANPATI SHARMA, Presiding Officer  
[No. L-12012/511/88-D.II (A)]

नई दिल्ली, 21 सितम्बर, 1990

का.आ. 2690.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार इण्डस्ट्रियल फैक्ट्स कारपोरेशन आफ इन्डिया के प्रबंधन के संबंध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, नई दिल्ली के पंचपट को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 12-9-90 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

New Delhi, the 21st September, 1990

S.O. 2690.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, New Delhi as shown in the Annexure in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Industrial Finance Corporation of India and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 13-9-1990.

## ANNEXURE

BEFORE SHRI GANPATI SHARMA, PRESIDING OFFICER, CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, NEW DELHI

I. D. No. 56/90

In the matter of dispute between :

Shri Krishan Kumar through General Secretary, Industrial Finance Corporation Employees Association, 12th Floor, Bank of Baroda Building, 16, Sansad Marg, New Delhi-110001.

Versus

General Manager (Admn. and Personnel), Industrial Finance Corporation of India, Bank of Baroda, 16, Sansad Marg, New Delhi-1.

## APPEARANCES :

None—for the workman.  
Shri S. C. Pandey—for the Management.

## AWARD

The Central Government in the Ministry of Labour vide its Order No. L-12012/61/89-IR B-I dated nil has referred the following industrial dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication :

"Whether the action of the management of I.F.C.I., New Delhi regarding deferment of confirmation of Shri Krishan Kumar beyond 22-2-1988 is justified? if not, to what relief the workman is entitled?"

2. The workman did not appear on 30-7-90 and the case was adjourned to 22-8-90. The workman again did not appear on 22-8-90 inspite of registered notice sent to him. The management has also filed one letter wherein it is stated that the workman has been selected as a Sub-Judge-cum-Judicial Magistrate by Punjab and Haryana High Court and has since been posted there. It appears that the workman is not interested in following this case and for that reason he did not appear inspite of service by registered post. I, therefore, pass a No Dispute award in this case for want of prosecution by the workman.  
Dated : 3rd September, 1990.

GANPATI SHARMA, Presiding Officer  
[No. L-12012/61/89-IR B (I)]

का.आ. 2691.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार देना बैंक के प्रबंधन के संबंध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में औद्योगिक अधिकरण अहमदाबाद के पंचपट को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 18-9-90 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

S.O. 2691.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Industrial Tribunal, Ahmedabad as shown in the Annexure in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Dena Bank and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 18-9-1990.

## ANNEXURE

BEFORE SHRI H.D. PANDYA, PRESIDING OFFICER,  
INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL (CENTRAL)  
AHMEDABAD

Reference (ITC) No. 16 of 1981

## ADJUDICATION

## BETWEEN

Dena Bank, Surat.

## AND

Their workmen employed under it.  
In the matter of teller allowance.

## AWARD

This industrial dispute between Dena Bank, Surat and the workmen employed under it has been referred for adjudication under Section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 to the Industrial Tribunal consisting of Shri G. S. Barot, by the Government of India, Ministry of Labour's Order No. I-12012/217/80-D.II (A) dated 7th November, 1981 and subsequently transferred to me by an appropriate order.

2. The dispute relates to a single demand of the workmen which is as under :—

"Whether the action of the management of Dena Bank, Surat in transferring and posting of Shri M. M. Desai to Gandevi Branch thereby denying the opportunity to Shri Ishwarbhai of getting better allowance is justified? If not, to what relief is the workman concerned viz. Shri Ishwarbhai entitled?"

3. Before this reference can be heard and finally disposed of, Shri P. S. Chari, the learned Advocate for the Union has filed pursuis Ex. 29 stating that as the Union does not want to press the demand made in the aforesaid reference, he may be permitted to withdraw the reference. Permission is granted to withdraw the reference and it stands disposed of accordingly. No order as to costs.

Ahmedabad,

Dated : 1st September, 1990.

H. D. PANDYA, Presiding Officer  
[No. L-12012/2/0/80-D.II (A)]

क्र.अ. 2692.—आद्यागक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947  
(1947 का 14) का धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, कन्द्रीय सरकार दोनों बकों के प्रबंधक के संबंध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारियों के बीच, अनुबंध में निम्नलिखित आद्यागक विवाद में कन्द्रीय सरकार आद्यागक अधिकरण, हैदराबाद के पक्षपट को प्रकीर्णित करती है, जो कन्द्रीय सरकार का 17-9-90 का प्रकीर्णित हुआ था।

S.O. 2692.—in pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Hyderabad as shown in the Annexure in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Dena Bank and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 17-9-1990.

#### ANNEXURE

#### BEFORE THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL AT HYDERABAD

#### PRESENT :

Sri K. Taranadh, B. Com., B.L., Industrial Tribunal.

Dated, the 25th August, 1990

Industrial Dispute No 43 of 1988

#### BETWEEN

The Workmen of Dena Bank, Hyderabad Branch,  
Hyderabad.

#### AND

The Management of Dena Bank, Hyderabad Branch,  
Hyderabad.

#### APPEARANCES :

Workman in person.

M/s. Suresh Ram Edaillam and A. R. Natarajan, representatives—for the Management.

#### AWARD

The Government of India, Ministry of Labour, by its Order No. 12012/176/82-D.II (A) dated 25-3-1988 referred the following dispute under Section 10(1)(d) and (2A) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 between the employers in relation to the management of Dena Bank and their workman to this Tribunal for adjudication :

"Whether the action of the management of Dena Bank in relation to their Hyderabad Branch in imposing the punishment of Stoppage of 2 annual increments of pay with cumulative effect on Sri P. Prithiviraj,

Clerk is justified? If not, to what relief is the workman concerned entitled?"

This reference was registered as Industrial Dispute No. 43 of 1988 and notices were issued to the parties.

2. In the claim statement filed on behalf of the workman it was alleged that he joined as a Clerk in 1972 and since 1974 became the General Secretary of the Dena Bank Employees Union and the Management did not like his organisational leadership. With a view to reduce the collective bargaining power of the Union, the Management set up an alternative Union and it is admittedly the minority union in Hyderabad Branch. They could command only a handful of members and the act of the Management straightway went within the definition of unfair labour practice. The workman was working originally in Hyderabad Branch and the trouble started that the Management began to pamper the minority Union, and these people protested against such practices. On 17-6-1980 they represented to the Branch Manager and demanded that discipline be enforced in the office by withdrawing indulgence to the minority Union. On 14-7-1980, the Bank could not attend the clearing house transactions because the members of the minority union refused to carry the cheques to the clearing house, and when it was brought to the notice of the Branch Manager, instead of taking action against the members of the minority Union, issued memos to two lady employees falsely stating that they refused to obey oral instructions issued by the Branch Manager on 6-7-1980. Naturally, they demanded the Management to withdraw the charge memos, the workman being the General Secretary of the majority employees Union, he took a leading part in the agitation and the result was that he was served with charge memo on 24-7-1980. They issued a notice that strike would be commenced from 25-8-1980. On 23-8-1980 before the Asst. Labour Commissioner (Central), Hyderabad, talks of conciliation took place. A dialogue was initiated and while talks were proceedings, the Management issued two warning letters to the lady employees. Hence they went on strike from 3-9-1980. Thereupon again conciliation meeting took place and on 12-12-1980 a settlement was arrived at, between the Management and the employees and the Management for further discussions, but without any further discussions as agreed upon, the management by letter dated 18-8-1981 ordered stoppage of two annual increments with cumulative effect, postponing future increments for two years. The workman preferred an appeal and the two charges are not at all correct. He visited Bangalore Office on 10-7-1980 and the Regional Office at Madras on 11th and 12th of July, 1980, and the allegations was that he marked himself present from 10th to 12th July, 1980. He did not go to Bangalore or Madras on private work and he visited these places in connection with negotiation with the Management. It is a long standing practice recognised by all managements to allow the workman office-bearer to attend the Trade Union work on duty and the second charge alleged was connected one and both these incidents relating to Trade Union activities. The enquiry was ex parte one and hence it is requested that an Award may be passed setting aside the order of stoppage of two annual increments with cumulative effect imposed on the basis of perverse and biased enquiry report and order for payment of full back wages.

3. A counter was filed on behalf of the Management. It was denied that the Bank resorted to unfair labour practice and only the Indian Banks Association will negotiate with All India Unions and the local issues would not have significant bearing on the leadership at All India level. Moreover the staff strength in the State of Andhra Pradesh to the total staff strength in the Bank is less than 5%. There is no substance in the allegation of the workman that the collective bargaining of the Union would be affected by an incident happening in the Bank. The Bank is not concerned with internal union rivalry, all negotiations with regard to policy decision will be done at Head Office level.

4. In fact on that day, five of the employees of the Branch were issued show cause memos, the above two lady employees after accepting the show cause memos handed over the same to the workman petitioner who entered into the cabin of the Branch Manager and tore them into pieces and threw the same at the Branch Manager and threatened him, and also tempered with the muster roll. The other allegation was that on 15-7-1980 he along with Smt. Recha Gilde and Smt.

Laxmi Sastri (the two lady employees mentioned above) entered the cabin of the Branch Manager and tore into pieces the show cause memos issued to them and threw the same on the Branch Manager's table saying that 'that was the answer'. Hence the allegations were wilful insubordination, doing an act prejudicial to the interest of the Bank and Abetment or instigation of any of the acts or omissions above mentioned, and departmental enquiry was initiated. Meanwhile the talks were initiated and they received notice of strike on 3-9-1980. After informing the workman-petitioner that conducting of Departmental enquiry during the pendency of the conciliation proceedings was not neither bad nor illegal, the enquiry was carried on and the Enquiry Officer submitted his report and findings to the disciplinary authority. Having gone through the findings of the Enquiry Officer submitted his report and findings to the disciplinary authority. Having gone through the findings of the Enquiry Officer, proposed stoppage of two annual increments with cumulative effect and after a personal hearing, the said punishment was imposed on 18th August, 1981. A departmental appeal preferred, the said punishment was confirmed by the order dated 30-12-1981. (As the other particulars mentioned were more narrative in nature and very much not relevant, they are not mentioned in detail here).

5. As against this counter, a rejoinder was filed by the workman requesting for production of certain registers, leave registers and muster rolls of this individual workman.

6. An additional counter was filed by the Management that a request for holding devono enquiry cannot be entertained and the petitioner-workman did not attend the enquiry and when the petitioner workman did not attend the enquiry, the Bank has had no alternative except to proceed with ex parte enquiry and requested that the matter may be closed and heard.

7. It may be mentioned here, that while the workman appeared for himself and conducted his own case, the Management was represented by an Officer of the Bank. The matter was heard with regard to the validity of the domestic enquiry and at that time, while 10 documents were marked for the workman 14 documents marked for the management and these documents were marked by consent. The order was passed by this Tribunal on 2-7-1990 holding the domestic enquiry is not vitiated. Hence final arguments were advanced now.

8. Now it is evident that the workman in question was awarded a punishment of stoppage of two annual increments with cumulative effect and the actual date of incident is one decade old and the punishment imposed is exactly nine years back.

9. The facts also are not very much in dispute.

10. On behalf of the workman, ten documents were marked. Ex. W-1 is the minutes of discussions dated 3-9-1980 understanding which clearly indicates that on behalf of the workman, the present workman in question participated as workman representative. Ex. W-2 are the minutes which shows that 9 representatives representing All India Dena Bank Employees Coordination Committee, participated on behalf of the workman and on behalf of the Management, five people including the Chairman and Managing Director participated and it is dated 12-12-1980. Ex. W-3 is reference to his appeal and the others are letters and acknowledgements. Ex. W-10 is order disposing off the appeal.

11. On the other hand the Management marked as many as 14 documents. Ex. M-1 is the charge sheet dated 24-7-1980. It is also evident that it is an ex parte enquiry. Exs. M-3, M-4, M-5, M-6, M-7 etc., are notices informing the workman that on such and such date enquiry will be conducted. Ex. M-8 is the enquiry proceedings. Ex. M-9 is the enquiry report. Ex. M-11 is the minutes of the personal hearing of the report and with regard to the quantum of punishment to be imposed. Ex. M-12 is the punishment order awarding stoppage of two annual increments. Ex. M-13 is the appeal preferred by him and Ex. M-14 is the order of the Appellate Authority dismissing the appeal.

12. Now in this background, this Tribunal will try to analyse the facts. It is evident that it is an ex parte enquiry

and the enquiry proceedings are Ex. M-8. M. K. Kale was the presenting officer and they examined Ramachandran, Branch Manager as MW-1. He spoke about the muster roll and how Prithviraj did not attend the Bank on 10-7-80, 11-7-80 and 12-7-1980 and how he marked 'L'. Later on the same gentleman issued letters to the workman in question and Mrs. Keena Gilda and Mrs. Laxmi Sastry, Ramal Kishore Singh and A. Narasimha. As per this MW-1, the two ladies without acknowledging the letters, handed over the letters to Prithviraj. Immediately Prithviraj along with these two ladies and three or four people rushed into my cabin. Mr. Prithviraj shouted with abusive languages for issuing these letters, torn these letters into pieces and thrown it on my table saying that that was the answers for these letters." The second witness was one Dineshwar Bhat, Accountant. He also spoke the same thing. The third witness is P. Narasimha, Head Peon. He also spoke in support of the Management. MW-5 is one D. Vishwanath, Branch Manager of Bangalore. He spoke about the presence of Prithviraj, the workman in question at Bangalore on 10-7-1980 in West End Hotel and MW-6 is K. B. Poojary, Officer in Madras who deposed that he saw Prithviraj at the Regional Office on 11th and 12th July, 1980, ofcourse in connection with some meetings and later on came back and marked himself present. Ex. M-2 the Form-N Register of Employment will indicate it.

13. Thus the facts are not in dispute, that he was away on 10th, 11th and 12th but later on came back and marked present, he did it because he was entitled for leave. It can safely be stated at the outset itself that it is unfortunately a very hasty act on his part. It he was really entitled for leave, he need not mark his attendance. As far as other charges are concerned, it was also a very hasty act on his part. Even as per the claim statement "Naturally we demanded the Management to withdraw the charge memos. I being the General Secretary of the majority employees Union I took a leading path in the agitation". After all the charge memos are not such a serious things. As per the exigency, two lady employees were requested to work in different departments, one lady in D.D. Issue Section and the other in Current Account Department, for that big muss made and all these things happened.

14. But there is unfortunately lacuna on the part of the Management. Exs. W-1 and W-2 were not denied and it is evident that the discussions took place. In the counter of the Management also it was stated that conciliation took place and on 12-12-1980 they were threshed out and settled. That 12-12-1980 proceedings are marked as Ex. W-2. Clause 6 deals with the workman in question wherein it was mentioned as "in respect of the charge sheet ex parte enquiry conducted against Prithviraj, it was agreed that they would be further discussions between the Co-ordination Committee and the Management and the Management would, therefore, defer its decision in this case upto the 31st January, 1981".

15. The only question to be answered by the Management as per this minutes or conciliation proceedings was, did any further discussions take place between the Co-ordination Committee and the Management? Undoubtedly the workman in question himself is a Member of the Coordination Committee and Ex. W-2 speaks about it and out of all the nine workman, his name finds place in S. No. 8. For this specific question, the Management representative replied that discussions took place. Evidently he did not place any material before this Tribunal to prove the aspect that discussions took place after 12-12-1980 with regard to Prithviraj.

16. The enquiry was commenced as per Ex. M-7 from 9-10-1980 onwards and they were closed on 27-10-1980. The punishment was imposed on 18-8-1981. The appeal was disposed off on 2-2-1982. Thus technically the management adhered to Ex. W-2 that it deferred its decision in the case of the workman upto 31-1-1981, though the enquiry was completed in 1980 itself, but no further discussions were there between the Coordination Committee and the Management, as per Ex. W-2.

17. It is evident that the charges against the workman in question were proved. But this is a lacuna on the part of the Management. No other aspect is involved in this matter and for this fact, the Management has got no satisfactory reply. Ofcourse in this connection the learned representative of the Management placed reliance on "Service condition of Bank employees" by R. K. Ghatgulkar (A. Rajesh Publication

Bombay 1980) at page 209 wherein the Misconducts were enumerated as per the Bipartite Settlement 19-10-1966 and 31-10-1979 wherein stoppage of increment was punishment for gross misconduct. One of the gross misconducts are wilful insubordination or disobedience of any lawful and reasonable order of the management or of a superior. In the charge sheet the misconduct alleged against him was wilful insubordination, doing any act prejudicial to the interest of the Bank and abetment or instigation of any of the acts or omissions above mentioned.

18. Infact no direction or no order was issued to the workman in question but only to the other two lady employees. His only misconduct will come under 19.5 'L' abetment or instigation of any of the acts or omission above-mentioned. Evidently it was done in a fit of emotion and being the leader he wanted to show off himself and the Tribunal is in dark about what punishment was imposed on the other two lady employees who infact, factually disobeyed the orders and guilty of insubordination.

19. Moreover, unfortunately the event is over and we are doing postmortum of a thing that occurred about a decade back. Hence this Tribunal feels that by way of mental torture he was sufficiently punished. Anyhow taking all these things into consideration, this Tribunal holds that stoppage of two increments is too harsh a punishment on the individual that too with cumulative effect causing considerable financial loss.

20. Hence it is hereby held that stoppage of one increment with cumulative effect is more than enough punishment for the proved misconduct.

21. In the result, an award is passed holding the action of the Management of Dena Bank in relation to their Hyderabad Branch in imposing the punishment of stoppage of one annual increment of pay with cumulative effect on Sri P. Prithiviraj, Clerk is justified and he is entitled for the other reliefs that will follow in consequence of this Award.

Award is passed accordingly.

S. K. TARANADH, Industrial Tribunal

[No. L-12012/170/82-D.II (A)]

V. K. VENUGOPALAN, Desk Officer

#### Appendix of Evidence

Witnesses Examined for the Management :

NIL

Witnesses Examined for the Workman :

NIL

#### Documents marked for the Management by consent

- Ex. M-1—Photostat copy of the Charge Sheet dated 24-7-80 with corrigendum dated 22-9-80 issued to Prithiviraj by the Dy. General Manager (Personnel) Dena Bank, Bombay.
- Ex. M-2—Order dated 9-8-80 communicating the decision to hold the enquiry (Notice of enquiry)
- Ex. M-3—Photostat copy of the Order dated 16-8-80 appointing enquiry officer and Presenting Officer.
- Ex. M-4—Enquiry Notice dated 30-8-80 issued to P. Prithivi Raj by the Enquiry Officer.
- Ex. M-5—Photostat copy of the clarification dated 22-9-80 given by the Disciplinary Authority.
- 6. Ex. M-6—Enquiry Notice dated 23-9-80 issued to P. Prithivi Raj by the Enquiry Officer.
- Ex. M-7—Enquiry Notice dated 16-10-80 issued to P. Prithiviraj by the Enquiry Officer.
- Ex. M-8—Enquiry Proceedings along with exhibits (5).
- Ex. M-9—Photostat copy of the report and findings of the Enquiry Officer dated 23-11-82.
- Ex. M-10—Memorandum proposing punishment dated 29-6-81.
- Ex. M-11—Proceedings dated 12-8-81 of the personal hearing in respect of proposed punishment.

Ex. M-12—Photostat copy of the final order of punishment dated 18-8-81 issued to P. Prithiviraj by the Dy. General Manager (Personnel).

Ex. M-13—Photostat copy of the appeal dated 3-10-81 made by P. Prithiviraj to the General Manager, Dena Bank Central Office, Bombay.

Ex. M-14—Photostat copy of the Order dated 30-12-81 of the General Manager, Appellate Authority on the appeal dated 3-10-81 made by P. Prithiviraj (Ex. M-13).

#### Documents marked for the Workmen by consent

Ex. W-1—Photostat copy of the Minutes of discussions held on 3-9-80 in the Office of the Asst. Labour Commissioner (C), Hyderabad between the Management of Dena Bank and their workmen, represented by Dena Bank Employees Union (AIBE).

Ex. W-2—Photostat copy of the Minutes of the Settlement with the Management dated 12-12-80 along with annexure A&B.

Ex. W-3—Letter dated 16-12-81 addressed to P. Prithiviraj by the General Manager, Dena Bank, Bombay with regard to appeal dated 3-10-81 (Ex. M-13).

Ex. W-4—Letter dated 21-12-81 addressed by P. Prithiviraj to the General Manager, Dena Bank Central Office, Bombay with regard to acknowledgement of letter dated 16-12-81 (Ex. W-3).

Ex. W-5—Acknowledgement to Ex. W-4.

Ex. W-6—Letter dated 28-12-81 addressed by P. Prithiviraj to the General Manager, Dena Bank Central Office, Bombay with regard to supply of exparte enquiry proceedings.

Ex. W-7—Acknowledgement to Ex. W-6.

Ex. W-8—Reply dated 8-1-82 of the Dy. General Manager (Personnel) Dena Bank, Bombay to P. Prithiviraj with regard to letter dated 28-12-81 (Ex. W-6).

Ex. W-9—Rejoinder dated 18-1-82 to the appeal dated 3-10-81 (Ex. M-13) and letter dated 16-12-81 (Ex. W-3) of the General Manager, Dena Bank, Bombay made by P. Prithiviraj.

Ex. W-10—Letter dated 2-2-82 addressed by General Manager, Dena Bank Bombay to P. Prithiviraj with regard to letter dated 18-1-82 (Ex. W-3) and appeal dated 3-10-81 (Ex. M-13).

S. K. TARANADH, Industrial Tribunal

नई दिल्ली, 19 सितम्बर, 1990

का.आ. 2693.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार डिबीजनल इंजीनियर टेलीकाम, भीमावरम (आन्ध्र प्रदेश) के प्रबंधन के संबंध नियोक्तों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निहित औद्योगिक विवाद में औद्योगिक अधिकरण, हैदराबाद के पंचपट को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 17-9-90 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

New Delhi, the 19th September, 1990

S.O. 2693.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Industrial Tribunal, Hyderabad as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Divisional Engineer Telecom, Bhimavaram (A.P.) and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 17-9-90.

## ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL AT  
HYDERABAD

## PRESENT :

Sri K. Taranth, B. Com., B.L., Industrial Tribunal.

Dated, the 25th August, 1990

Industrial Dispute No. 29 of 1988

## BETWEEN :

The Workmen of Divisional Engineer,  
Telecom., Bhimavaram (A.P.).

## AND

The Management of Divisional Engineer,  
Telecom., Bhimavaram. (A.P.).

## APPEARANCES :

Sri C. Suryanarayana, Advocate—for the Workmen

Sri P. Vittal Rao, Additional Central Government Stand-  
ing Counsel for Industrial and Labour case—for  
the Management.

## AWARD

The Government of India, Ministry of Labour by its Order No. L-40012/5/87.D.II(B) dated 3-3-1988 referred the following dispute under Section 10(1)(d) and (2A) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 between the employers in relation to the Management of Divisional Engineer, Telecom., Bhimavaram (A.P.) and their workmen to this Tribunal for adjudication :

"Whether the demand of Shri G. Ramamurthy, Ex-employee of Telecom., Bhimavaram for his absorption on regular basis in the post of Telephone Operator or Office Asstt. is justified? If yes, to what relief the workman is entitled?"

This reference was registered as Industrial Dispute No. 29 of 1988 and notices were issued to the parties.

2. In the claim statement filed it was alleged that the claimant applied as per Notification dt. 15-7-1980 for recruitment as Telephone Operator. He was selected as Short Duty Telephone Operator at Bhimavaram Exchange and he was asked to report on 25-10-1980 and he started working like that from 1-11-1980 and he worked like that continuously for about 123 days or so. During that period, Fluru Division was bifurcated into Bhimavaram Division and he was working in new Bhimavaram Division. Then the Junior Engineer, Telephones, Bhimavaram instructed him not to attend duties from 1-5-1981 and thereafter he was not allotted duties and thus his services were terminated and he was subjected to retrenchment without notice and without complying with the provisions of the I.D. Act. Nextly he was engaged as Casual Office Assistant from 9-7-1981 to 24-5-1982 and 27-9-1982 to 12-11-1982. Thus he worked for 250 days, again he was terminated on 5-4-1983 by verbal orders. Though the statutory Telephone Operator Recruitment Rules do not provide for recruitment of Short Duty Telephone Operators, the scheme was introduced some where in 1970 in four Telephone Districts by virtue of an order, and later on it was extended to all Trunk and Special Services and Local Exchanges. The Short Duty Telephone Operators so recruited were given training and stipend and they are absorbed as regular Telephone Operators in the merit list in the next years recruitment provided they have put in 120 days service in a period of six months preceding their absorption as per Director General's Order dt. April 1977. In 1980 Director General constituted a standing pool of reserved trained pool of Telephone Operators and they are known as R.T.P. Staff. They are assumed of their absorption against any future vacancies. The workman put in more than 120 days of work as S.D.T.O. by the time the recruitment was commenced in 1981. Even otherwise in view of the Director General's Order dt. 29-11-1980 the workman should be deemed

ed to have become one of the R.T.P. Tos of Bhimavaram Division and in fact one of the six Short Duty T.O.s, who was recruited along with him, was so absorbed but he was discriminated and hence the request that the Tribunal may be pleased to pass an Award, accordingly declaring that he is entitled to all incidental and consequential benefits as if he is in service and he should be absorbed.

3. A counter was filed by the Management contending that the claim is not maintainable the claim of the workman that he worked for 201 days is not correct. He has worked only as casual S.D.T.O. for 123 days only. He was discharged on 27-4-1981 "as regular selection was finalised". Hence the term 'retrenchment' is not applicable and R.T.P.O. Telephone Operators were taken from the list of selection on merits and the workmen was not posted from the 'B' List or 'A' list after selection and as the appointment is only on casual basis, he cannot have any right for regular absorption etc. and requested that the reference may be answered accordingly.

4. Before Proceeding further, it may be mentioned at the very outset that on behalf of the Management, no documents were marked except Ex. M1 a representation dt. 20-1-1984 requesting for regular absorption as Telephone Operator in Bhimavaram Division. On behalf of the workman all other documents are marked and they are all departmental circulars etc. and there is no dispute about it.

5. Evidently in this matter, the facts are not very much in dispute. While the workman examined himself as W.W1, On behalf of the Management the officiating Accounts Officer, Eluru was examined as M.W1. It is also evident now and admitted by both the sides, that the workman was recruited as a Short Duty Telephone Operator to cope up with the absenteeism of regular Telephone Operators' (Ex. W2). He applied as per Ex. W1 a newspaper advertisement. Ex. W3 is a certificate issued by the Junior Engineer Trunks, Bheemavaram that the workman has worked from October 1980 to April, 1981, for those 7 months, for 123 days excluding the 7 days training. He was later on i.e. after April 1981, worked as casual office assistant from 9-7-1981 and 27-9-1982 to 12-11-1982 as per Ex. W4. (a certificate issued by the Accounts Officer, Telecommunications, Bhimavaram on 12-11-1982).

6. Then the workman marked a number of orders and proceedings and communications of the Telecommunication Department which will govern these Short Duty Telephone Operators and their service conditions.

7. It appears that the Short Duty Telephone Operators Scheme was announced somewhere in September 1970 (Ex. W5) Clause 8 of Ex. W5 reads as follows :

"The SDTOs recruited in accordance with para 3 above will be absorbed according to their turn in the merit list in the next year of recruitment, provided they have put in at least 120 days service in the six months preceding absorption in the regular establishment. Such absorption will be against the direct recruitment quota after completion of prescribed formalities. As such a mode of recruitment is not provided for in the statutory recruitment rules of Telephone Operators the candidate, proposed to be absorbed as regular Telephone Operators will be shown as recruited by specific relaxation of the Rules in each case—such relaxation to be obtained by making a reference to the Directorate"

The next in point of time is Ex. W7 another proceedings of D.G. P & T in April 1977. These documents go to show that the S.D.T.O. should be absorbed as regular Telephone Operator according to their terms in the next year of recruitment provided they put in 120 days in the six months preceding absorption in the regular establishment.

8 The next in point of time Ex. W9 a letter of October 1987 through which "Standing pool of trained reserved candidates" was constituted. A reference to Clause 7(ii) of this Ex. W9 says, that at the time of each recruitment,



after the selected list is drawn up, an additional list is prepared as Part 'B' Part II they will be called up against drop outs of the main list. A specific additional reserve list of candidates, equal in number to 50 percent of the number of candidates in the main select list will be drawn up and "candidates in the reserve list, after training will constitute a standing pool of trained reserve". They will be absorbed in regular vacancies in their turn after the candidates in the main list is absorbed till then they will be used as S.D.T.O. as against vacancies due to absenteeism or any other reason, besides for handling peak hour traffic".

9. The next document in point of time is Ex. W8 is a letter of November 1980 by the D.G., P & T. It was mentioned herein that the Minister of Communication is very keen that the orders should be implemented immediately and "the trained Reserved Pools in these cadres built up at the earliest.....A monthly monitoring of the progress may be made regarding recruitment and training along with its effects in achieving the reduction in delays in training and posting of personnel in vacancies as soon they arise....."

10. Against in March 1981, further instructions were issued under Ex. W10. Many queries were posted, ten in number and they were replied to by the Department by virtue of Ex. W10. Query No. 4 reads as follows :

"Whether the absorption of candidates in the Reserve Pool will be against next years vacancies as in the case of SD staff and for that they have to fulfil the service condition also ?"

The clarification is :

"No. They will be absorbed against future regular posts at any time without any time limit and any service condition. But they have to work as SD staff till they are absorbed against regular posts. Any laxity in this regard will render them liable to be removed from Reserve Trained Pool along with Penalties vide agreement entered into before they are sent for training. All those should be made clear in the advertisement itself".

11. The most important query is, Query No. 7 :

"Whether SD Staff from 'B' list will continue to be absorbed against 20 percent of vacancies of next recruitment ?

The clarification was :

"In future there will be no necessity to reserve a percentage (20 percent for absorption of SD Staff from 'B' list). Against the future vacancies, the candidates of 'Reserve Pool' will get the first preference for absorption....."

12. The next in point of time is Ex. W12. Ex. W12 is a letter with regard to M.V.N. Koteshwara Rao of the same Bhimavaram Division who was appointed as S.D.T.O., evidently along with the present workmen. While Ex. W2 is dated 17-10-1980 Ex. W12 is dated 6-10-1980 and both these communications read, "It is proposed to post six Short Duty Telephone Operators at Bhimavaram Exchange.....". Hence though these two orders are on different dates, the order with regard to Koteshwar Rao is earlier on 6th October and the order of our workman being on 17th October, the language indicates that both were recruited in the same vacancies only. Ex. W13 is the most important document which shows that Koteshwar Rao of Ex. W12 was absorbed and Ex. W13 is a graduation list of Bhimavaram Division as on 1-7-1988 is 162 and the came in open competition and his date of entering into the Department as shown on 28-6-1985.

13. Ex. W14 is the order, as per which this Koteshwar Rao was declared to have satisfactorily completed the prescribed course of training as Telephone Operator.

14. Ex. W15 is instructions with regard to the constitution of Central Administrative Tribunals. Ex. W16 to Ex.

W19 are the certificates of the workman who happened to be a holder of Degree of M. Com. from Andhra University, Waltair. Exs. W20 and 21 referred to validity of the periodical list of selected candidates prepared etc. There is another important document Ex. W22 issued in September 1983 which deals with the removal from service of S.D.T. Staff and regularisation. Ex. W23 is another appointment to the same workman as Counter-Clerk, Tiffin Room Ex. W14 is the petition filed by the workman. Ex. W25 is the Vice President representation with regard to the workman. Ex. W26 and Ex. W27 also of the same.

15. Thus it can be safely stated that none of these documents are in dispute. There are orders to the effect that once a fellow was appointed as S.D.T.O. and having worked for 120 days, in a period of six months, he must be absorbed

16. Why this gentleman was removed from service was not explained by anybody.

17. Before proceeding further, this Tribunal likes to just consider the decisions relied upon by the learned Advocate for the workman. He placed reliance on Mariamman Handloom Factory and Others v. State of Madras (1959 (ii) LLJ, page 627). The only aspect considered was whether a writ of prohibition can be issued to the Industrial Tribunal before it has decided the preliminary jurisdiction on issue and it was held that no such writ can be issued. Hence this is of no avail to the workman in question. Likewise reliance was placed in United Beedi Workers' Union, Salem v. Janab S. Ahmed Hussain & Sons (1964 (I) LLJ, page 285). A judgement of the Madras High Court. Here also the only question that was decided was. Whether a reference under Section 10 of the I.D. Act is bad as there is no relationship of master and servant. In that background, it was held that the decision of the Industrial Tribunal on such questions is open to correction on facts by the High Court in writ proceedings (Under Article 226) on the ground that it is a decision on a collateral or jurisdictional fact or issue. Nextly the tests to determine the relationship of master and servant were considered. Nextly reliance was placed in Nagarathnam v. State of Madras (1965 (I) LLJ, page 84) Madras High Court. Here also it was held that writ of prohibition cannot be issued against the labour Court and the Labour Court has jurisdiction to determine the question whether the dispute referred to was an industrial dispute or not and the persons concerned are workman or not are collateral issues. Reliance was placed on Firestone Tyre & Rubber Co. of India (P) Ltd. v. The Workmen (AIR 1981 S.C. page 1626). It was held herein that when the dispute referred to was only reinstatement etc. The Industrial Tribunal is not expected to give finding about the unfair labour practice and discrimination etc. and here the schedule was referred in two paras as A. B. Lastly in Central Inland Water Transport Corp. Ltd. v. Brojonath (AIR 1986 S.C. page 1571). It is also mainly judgement relating to service contract and it was held that the Rule empowering the Government Corporation to terminate services of its permanent employees by giving notice or pay in lieu of notice period is opposed to public policy and violative of Art. 14 and directive principles contained in Arts. 39(a) and 41. To be very frank none of these decisions, are very much relevant for our purposes. Without reference to any of these decisions. It can be safely stated that the act of the Telecommunications Department Management is not justified and it is against their own policy because clause with S.D.T.O. was in existence as early as from 1970 onwards. As per Ex. W5 various doubt that were being raised on it Ex. W7, W6 were of being clarified. The questions were clarification of doubts etc. is also to be found in Ex. W10. All these to show categorically that G. Ramamurthy puts a service of 120 days in the six months preceding he must be absorbed in the regular establishment. To substantiate his case only, the workman has filed Ex. W12 another S.D.T.O. Sri M.V.N. Koteshwar Rao and also filed Ex. W13 the graduation list shows that M.V.N. Koteshwar Rao was absorbed and became S. No. 162 of Telephone Operator of the same Bhimavaram Telecommunication Division if that was a case of M.V.N. Koteshwar Rao how the case of the present workman in question Sri G. Rama Murthy can be distinguished or differentiated is un-understandable.



Hence it can be safely stated that the Management is not justified in non engaging him or not entrusting him in work and simply dropping him out is not justified at all.

18. In the result the demand of Sri G. Rama Murthy, Ex-employee of Telecom. Bhimavaram for his absorption on regular basis in the post of Telephone Operator or Office Asstt. is justified and he must be immediately absorbed in to service from the date of his dismissal of termination or non-engagement but without any back wages, with all other attendant benefits.

Award is passed accordingly.

S. K. TARANADH, Presiding Officer  
[No. I-40012/5/87-D.II(B)(PF.)]

#### APPENDIX OF EVIDENCE

Witnesses Examined  
for the Workmen:

Witnesses Examined  
for the Management:

W.W1 G. Rama Murthy M.W1 N. Sreerama Murthy  
Documents marked for the Workmen:

Ex. W1 Paper advertisement for the posts of Telephone Operators.

Ex. W2 Letter dt. 17-10-80 addressed to G. Rama Murthy by the Divisional Engineer, Telegraphs, Eluru with regard to Training and posting of short duty operators at Bhimavaram Telephone Exchange.

Ex. W3 Attendance Certificate of G. Rama Murthy issued by Junior Engineer, Trunks, Bhimavaram.

Ex. W4 Service Certificate dt. 12-11-82 issued to G. Rama Murthy by the Accounts Officer, C/o Divisional Engineer, Telecommunications, Bhimavaram.

Ex. W5 Photostat copy of Director General, P & T, New Delhi No. 207/115/69-STB. I dt. 10-9-70 with regard to reintroducing the scheme of Short Duty Telephone operators.

Ex. W6 Photostat copy of the Director General P & T, New Delhi, No. 207/88/76-STB, dt. 4-4-1987 with regard to Short Duty Telephone Operators absorption as regular Telephone Operators.

Ex. W7 Photostat copy of Director General, P & T, New Delhi No. 207/2/75-STB, I dt. 8-4-76 with regard to clarification of Short Duty Telephone Operators—recruitment and absorption in regular establishment.

Ex. W8 Copy of DGP&T ND Memo No. 207/66/80-STN dt. 29-11-80 (vide GMT AP. Hyd. No. R&F. 2-1/Rigr dt. 9-12-80) with regard to constitution of Standing pool of trained reserve candidates i.e. Telephone Operators, Telegraphists and Office Assistants in Telegraph Office.

Ex. W9 Copy of letter No. 6/36/80-SPB-I dated 30-10-80 from DG P&T to all Heads of postal circles with regard to constitution of Standing Pool of trained reserved candidates for Posts and RMS Offices.

Ex. W10 Copy of D.G. P&T No. 207/66/80-STN dt. 28-3-81 with regard to constitution of Standing Pool of trained Reserve candidates for cadre of Telephone Operators, Telegraphists and Office Assistants in Telegraph Offices.

Ex. W11 Copy of D.G. P&T No. 207/66/80-STN(Pt) dt. 7-9-82 with regard to constitution of Standing Pool in trained reserve.

Ex. W12 Letter dt. 6-10-80 addressed to M. V. Koteswara Rao by the Divisional Engineer, Telegraphs, Eluru with regard to training and posting of Short duty operators at Bhimavaram Telephone exchange.

Ex. W13 Copy of the graduation list of Telephone operators of Bhimavaram Telecom. Division as on 1-7-1988.

Ex. W14 Photostat copy of the Order of appointment dt. 10-7-85 issuing to J. V. S. N. Murthy and 4 others by the Divisional Engineer, Telecommunications, Bhimavaram-I.

Ex. W15 Copy of the letter No. 86-2/85-SPB-11 dt. 27-3-86 of Ministry of Communications, Department of posts, Dakkar Bhavan, New Delhi.

Ex. W16 Photostat copy of the Secondary School Certificate (Roll No. 43918) issued to G. Rama Murthy by the Board of Secondary Education (A.P.).

Ex. W17 Photostat copy of the pass certificate-cum-Memorandum of marks issued to G. Rama Murthy by the Board of Intermediate Education (A.P.).

Ex. W18 Photostat copy of the Degree of Bachelor of commerce certificate issued to G. Rama Murthy by the Andhra University, Waltair (A.P.).

Ex. W19 Photostat copy of the Degree of Master of commerce certificate issued to G. Rama Murthy by the Andhra University, Waltair.

Ex. W20 Photostat copy of the letter dt. 17-3-82 of Asstt. Director (Recrt.) Telecommunications (A.P) Chandralok Complex, S.D. Road, Secunderabad to all Directors Telecom. all Divisional Engineers, DMT, Vijayawada and all SSTT/STTS with regard to validity period of list of selected candidates prepared on the basis of direct recruitment/departamental competitive examination.

Ex. W21 Photostat copy of the letter dt. 22-4-1983 of the General Manager, Telecom Andhra Pradesh, Secunderabad-3 to the D.E. Telecom, Khammam with regard to recruitment of Telephone Operators for the years 1980 and 82 in Khammam Division.

Ex. W22 True Copy of the D.G. P&T New Delhi letter No. 208/20/82-STN, dt. 28-9-1983 with regard to removal from service of short duty staff regularisation thereof.

Ex. W23 Photostat copy of the Order of appointment of G. Rama Murthy dt. 2-8-85.

Ex. W24 Photostat copy of the report on the petition of G. Rama Murthy dt. 23-12-85 submitted to ALC(C), Vijayawada received on 21-3-86.

Ex. W25 Photostat copy of the detailed report submitted to the Assistant Labour Commissioner (C) Visakhapatnam by the Vice President. AITEEU Class III, Telephone Exchange, Bhimavaram.

Ex. W26 Photostat copy of the Orders dt. 28-3-90 of the Asst. Director(R) Telecommunication (A.P) with regard to Hall Permit to G. Rama Murthy to take the departamental competitive examinations for recruitment to the cadre of Telephone Inspectors for the year 1990.

Ex. W27 Photostat copy of the Telegram dt. 22-4-90 from DGMT, ELURU to G. Rama Murthy with regard to departamental examination.

Documents marked for the Management:

Ex. M1 Representation dt. 20-1-84 of G. Rama Murthy by the General Manager, Telecom. A.P., Secunderabad with regard to regular absorption as Telephone Operator in Bhimavaram Division.

S. K. TARANADH, Industrial Tribunal

का.ग्रा. 2694.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अन्वय में, केन्द्रीय सरकार, डिप्टी चीफ इलेक्ट्रिकल इंजीनियर (ओ.एच.ई.) रेलवे इलेक्ट्रिकेशन, कोटा के प्रबंधन के संवद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अन्वय में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में औद्योगिक अधिकरण, कोटा के पंचपट को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 18-9-90 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

S.O. 2694.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Industrial Tribunal, Kota as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Dy. Chief Electrical Engineer (OMF), R.E., Kota and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 18-9-90.

न्यायाधीश, औद्योगिक न्यायाधिकरण, कोटा/राजस्थान/  
निर्देश प्रकरण क्रमांक : ओ. न्या. रे. (केन्द्रीय)-10/1989  
दिनांक स्थापित : 8-8-89

प्रसंग : भारत सरकार, श्रम मंत्रालय के आदेश क्रमांक  
एल-41011/17/88-डी-2(बी) दि. 1-8-89

औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947

मध्य

डिविजनल सैफ्टी, पश्चिम रेलवे कर्मचारी परिषद,  
कोटा/राजस्थान

—प्रार्थी परिषद

एवं

डिप्टी चीफ इलेक्ट्रिकल इंजीनियर (ओ. एच. ई.)  
रेलवे इलेक्ट्रिकेशन, कोटा।

—प्रतिपक्षी नियोजक

उपस्थित

श्री जगदीश प्रसाद,

आर. एच. जे. एस.

प्रार्थी श्रमिक परिषद की ओर से प्रतिनिधि—श्री ए. डी.  
श्रोवर

प्रतिपक्षी नियोजक की ओर से प्रतिनिधि—श्री जी.  
एल. मीणा

अधिनिर्णय, दिनांक : 21 जुलाई, 1990

अधिनिर्णय

भारत सरकार, श्रम मंत्रालय द्वारा निम्न निर्देश  
औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (जिसे तदुपरान्त,  
“अधिनियम, 1947” से सम्बोधित किया जायेगा) की  
धारा 10(1)(घ) के अन्तर्गत इस न्यायाधिकरण को  
अधिनिर्णयार्थ प्रेषित किया गया है :—

“Whether the action of the Chief Project Manager, Rail-  
way Electrification, Kota and Dy. Chief Electrical Engineer  
(OIE), Railway Electrification, Kota is justified not granting  
temporary status to the following workmen after completing  
360 days? If not, what relief the workmen are entitled to?”

Sl.	Name	Date of engagement
1.	Sh. Sitaram Dubey	30-4-84
2.	Sh. Dalchand	10-8-84
3.	Sh. Satish chand Chaturvedi	22-6-85
4.	Sh. Satish Chand Sharma	10-7-85
5.	Sh. Anand Kumar Jha	6-6-85
6.	Sh. Bachoo Singh	4-6-84
7.	Sh. Rampal	22-7-85
8.	Sh. Vinod Kumar	10-7-85”

2. निर्देश प्राप्त होने पर दर्ज रजिस्टर किया गया  
व पक्षकारों को सूचना भिजवाई गयी। श्रमिकरण की  
ओर से डिविजनल सैफ्टी, पश्चिम रेलवे कर्मचारी  
परिषद, कोटा/राज. (जिसे तदुपरान्त “कर्मचारी” परिषद”

से सम्बोधित किया जायेगा) द्वारा स्टेटमेंट आफ क्लेम  
प्रस्तुत किया गया। प्रतिपक्षी नियोजक की ओर से  
भी इसका प्रत्युत्तर प्रस्तुत किया गया। प्रतिपक्षी नियोजक  
स्टेटमेंट आफ क्लेम व जवाब का विस्तृत उल्लेख किया  
जाना इस लिये आवश्यक नहीं है क्योंकि प्रार्थी कर्मचारी  
परिषद की ओर से आवेदन-पत्र प्रस्तुत कर विवाद  
रहित अधिनिर्णय पारित किये जाने की प्रार्थना की  
गयी है।

3. प्रार्थी कर्मचारी परिषद की ओर से प्रस्तुत  
प्रार्थना-पत्र में उल्लेख किया गया कि उक्त निर्देश में  
उल्लिखित श्रमिकरण को प्रतिपक्षी नियोजक द्वारा अस्थायी  
दर्जा (Temporary Status) दिया जा चुका है व इस  
प्रकार पक्षकारों के मध्य अब कोई विवाद नहीं रहा है  
और विवाद रहित अधिनिर्णय पारित कर दिया जावे।  
चूंकि पक्षकारों के मध्य कोई विवाद शेष नहीं रहा है,  
अतः इस प्रकरण में “विवाद रहित अधिनिर्णय” पारित  
किया जाता है।

इस अधिनिर्णय को भारत सरकार, श्रम मंत्रालय को  
नियमानुसार प्रकाशनार्थ भिजवाया जावे।

जगदीश प्रसाद, न्यायाधीश

[सं. एल-41011/17/88-डी-II (बी) (भाग)]

का.आ. 2695—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947  
(1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय  
सरकार सेंट्रल साइल एण्ड वाटर कन्सर्वेशन रिसर्च एण्ड  
ट्रेनिंग इन्स्टीट्यूट के प्रबंधन के संबंध में निम्नलिखित औद्योगिक विवाद  
में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, चंडीगढ़ के पंचपट  
को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 17-9-90  
को प्राप्त हुआ था।

S.O. 2695.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial  
Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government  
hereby publishes the award of the Central Government In-  
dustrial Tribunal, Chandigarh as shown in the Annexure, in  
the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to  
the management of Central Soil & Water Construction Re-  
search & Trg. institute and their workmen, which was received  
by the Central Government on 17-9-90.

#### ANNEXURE

BEFORE SHRI ARVIND KUMAR, PRESIDING OFFICER,  
CENTRAL GOVT., INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-  
LABOUR COURT, CHANDIGARH

Case No. I.D. 211/89

Employers in relation to the management of Central  
Soil & Water Conservation Research & Training  
Institute, Research Centre Chandigarh.

AND

Their workman—Mani Lal.

For the workman—Shri Ajit Singh Bagri.  
For the management—None.

## AWARD

Central Govt. vide gazette notification No. L-42012/36/89-D-2(B) dated 13th December 1989 issued U/s. 10(1)(d) of the I.D. Act 1947 referred the following dispute to this Tribunal for decision on a dispute raised by Shri Mani Lal.

"Whether the action of the management of the Central Soil & Water Conservation Research & training Institute, Research Centre Chandigarh in terminating the services of Shri Mani Lal son of Shri Babu Lal w.e.f. 17-9-1988 is justified? If not, what relief the workman is entitled to and with what date?

2. Present case was taken up at Lok Adalat. However Mr. Ajit Singh Bagri representative of the workman has made a statement that he wants to withdraw this reference No. 211/90 on the ground the another reference of the same workman is pending before this industrial tribunal. He also stated that a no dispute award be returned. In view of the statement made by the representative of the workman a no dispute award is returned.  
Chandigarh.  
12-7-90.

ARVIND KUMAR, Presiding Officer  
[No. L-42012/36/89-D.II(B)(Pt.)]  
K. V. B. UNNY, Desk Officer

नई दिल्ली, 19 सितम्बर, 1990

का.आ. 2696—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार इंडियन एयर लाइंस, बम्बई के प्रबंधन के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारियों के बीच, अनुबंध में निदिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण सं. 2, बम्बई के पंचपट को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 18-9-90 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

New Delhi, the 19th September, 1990

S.O. 2696.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947). the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, No. 2, Bombay as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Indian Airlines, Bombay and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 18-9-90.

## ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO. 2, BOMBAY  
PRESENT

Shri P. D. Aphankar, Presiding Officer.

Reference No. CGIT-2/24 of 1988

## PARTIES :

Employers in relation to the management of  
Indian Airlines, Bombay.

## AND

Their Workmen

## APPEARANCES :

For the Employers : 1. Shri M. M. Varma, Advocate.

2. Kum. Anjali Sheth, Advocate.

For the workmen : Ms. Vandana Herur, Advocate.

## INDUSTRY : Airlines

STAE : Maharashtra

Bombay, the 3rd September, 1990

## AWARD

The Central Government by their order No. L-11012/12/87-D.II(B) dated 19-8-1988 have referred the following industrial dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication under Section 10(1) d) of the Industrial Tribunal Act, 1947 :—

"Whether the action of the management of Indian Airlines Bombay in dismissing from services Shri S. B. Patil, Stores and Supplies Supdt. with effect from 5-2-1985 is justified? If not, to what relief the concerned workman is entitled and from what date?"

2. The case of the workman Shri S. B. Patil, as disclosed from the statement of claim (Ex. 2) filed on his behalf by the Chairman of the Air Corporation Employees Union, in short, is thus :—

The workman Shri S. B. Patil was employed as Stores & Supplies Supdt., in Grade 7-8 in Indian Airlines at Bombay Airport. On 30-5-1984 a chargesheet was issued to him by the Corporation. It was alleged against him that he remained absent thus :—

Month Year	Absence on	No. of days
March 1984	27th	1 day
April 1984	4th to 8th	5 days
	10th to 30th	21 days
May 1984	1st to 13th	13 days

The chargesheet was issued for having committed the breach of Regulations 28(13) and 28(15) of the Standing Orders framed under the Air Corporation Act. There are two standing orders framed by the Corporation, one applies to the Factory Workers while the other applies to the non-Factory workers. The workman Shri Patil had submitted medical certificate regarding his illness and had also submitted fitness certificate dated 14-5-84 issued by the Medical Officer of Indian Airlines. After the issue of discharge-sheet as above, the Corporation started an enquiry against him. Shri V. K. Govil was appointed as Enquiry Officer. The Enquiry Officer is alleged to have issued a communication to the workman that the enquiry would be held on 30-10-1984. The workman was not present on the said date due to communication gap and the Enquiry Officer proceeded ex-parte without examining any witness. The Enquiry Officer found the workman guilty of the charges and submitted his enquiry report. The ex-parte findings were accepted by the Regional Director of Indian Airlines and he issued a show cause notice dated 22-11-1984 asking the workman to show cause why he should not be dismissed from service. The workman submitted his reply dated 26-12-1984 urging that the proposed punishment be not inflicted upon him. However, the Regional Director rejected the contentions raised by the workman in his reply and dismissed him from service with effect from 5-2-1985. The workman preferred an appeal against that order to the Dy. Managing Director of the Corporation on 7-2-1985, which was rejected without communicating reason therefor. The workman joined the service

of the Corporation in December 1965, and till the date of his dismissal he had completed about 19 years of service. The dismissal of the workman from service is bad in law and in breach of the principles of natural justice.

3. The other grounds urged by the workman are thus :—The ex-parte enquiry conducted against him is of no value and was not fair and reasonable. An opportunity should have been given to him to appear before the Enquiry Officer and to defend his case. This was not done in the present case, while examining his appeal the Appellate Authority had not applied its mind to the case. The punishment of dismissal imposed upon him is out of proportion. The model Standing Orders under the Industrial Employment (Standing Orders) Act, 1946 applied to the Corporation and its workman. The order of punishment should have been issued by the Manager as deined in the standing orders for the factory workers. The order issued is bad in law. As per the National Industrial Tribunal of Justice Shri Bind Bansi Prasad of 1958, leave upto the extent of two years is admissible to workman. This aspect was ignored by the Corporation while inflicting the punishment. The findings of the Enquiry Officer are perverse and in breach of the principles of natural justice. The Union, therefore, prayed that the said order of dismissal be set aside and this Tribunal should direct the Corporation to reinstate the said workman in service with full back wages and continuity of service.

4. The Indian Airlines i.e. the Corporation by their written statement (Ex. 3) opposed the said claim of the workman and in substance contended thus :—

The Corporation did not receive any medical certificate from the workman. There is no medical certificate of the workman on the record of the Corporation. The workman remained absent without submitting any medical certificate. The workman was informed that the enquiry would be held against him on 30-10-1984 by the letter dated 8-10-1984. This letter was addressed to the workman at his both addresses. He also admitted in his letter that he had received the letter informing him about the enquiry. However, the workman himself knowingly remained absent. Hence the Enquiry Officer proceeded ex-parte against the workman. The enquiry was held properly against the workman. The findings of the Enquiry Officer are just and proper and are not perverse. The appellate authority, after going through all the documents and after due consideration rejected the appeal of the workman. The charge levelled against the workman were duly held proved in that enquiry. In the show cause notice issued to the workman, the earlier instances of the workman remaining absent without medical certificate, were mentioned. The action was taken against the workman as per the standing orders applicable to him and the corporation. Only an individual dispute existed between the workman and the corporation, and collective interest of the other workman is not involved in this

case. There is distinction between leave and absence. The corporation has taken action against the workman for his habitual absence. To curb the tendency of indulging in habitual absence, the corporation had to take a deterrent action against the workman who indulged in the act of habitual absence. Therefore, the action taken by the corporation is just and proper. The corporation, therefore, prayed for the rejection of the prayer of the union.

5. Issues framed at Ex. 4 are :—

- (1) Whether the inquiry held against the workman Shri S. B. Patil was not held properly, that the rules of natural justice were not properly followed, and no proper opportunity was given to him to defend himself ?
  - (2) Whether the findings of the Inquiry Officer are perverse ?
  - (3) Whether the order dated 9-5-1986 of the Dy. Managing Director, rejecting the Appeal of the workman, without communicating any reasons therefore, to him, is just, proper and legal ?
  - (4) Whether no industrial dispute existed between the management and the workmen as a class ?
  - (5) Whether the action of the management of Indian Airlines Bombay in dismissing from service Shri S. B. Patil, Stores and Supplies Supdt., with effect from 5-2-1985, is justified ?
  - (6) If not, to what relief the concerned workman is entitled, and from what date ?
  - (7) What Award ?
6. My findings on the above issues are :—
- (1) The enquiry was held properly, principles of natural justice were properly followed and proper opportunity was given to defend himself.
  - (2) No
  - (3) Yes
  - (4) Industrial dispute existed.
  - (5) Yes.
  - (6) Does not survive.
  - (7) As per Award below.

#### REASONS

Issue No. 1

7. Both the parties led no oral evidence in this case. They review upon the copies of various documents produced in this case. According to the union the enquiry held against the workman was not held properly and that the rules of natural justice were not properly followed and that no proper opportunity was given to the workman to defend himself. I find that the enquiry held against the workman was held properly, that the rules of natural justice were duly followed and that proper opportunity was given to the workman to defend himself. Ex. 19 is a copy of the charge sheet dated 30-5-1984 issued against the workman by the management. This chargesheet clearly stated that the

workman had remained absent unauthorisedly on 27-3-1984, from 4 to 8th and 10th to 30th April 1984 and then from 1st to 13th May, 1984. It was further stated therein that the management wanted to rely upon his attendance record of the months of March, April and May 1984, and the letter dated 25-4-1984 sent to him regarding his absence, and the management was able to rely upon the other oral evidence, if any. He was further told by the chargesheet that in case he wanted to file any written statement in reply to that chargesheet he might file it within seven days from the date of receipt of the chargesheet, and also to inform the management about the names of witnesses he wanted to examine on his behalf. It is not disputed that the workman had received a copy of that chargesheet.

8. Ex. 20 is a copy of the enquiry proceedings held by Smt. V. K. Govil. It is seen therefrom that the enquiry was to be held and was held on 30-10-1984 at 10.30 A.M. It is an admitted fact that the workman had not then appeared before the Enquiry Officer in the enquiry proceedings. According to the workman he had not received due notice of the date fixed for the enquiry proceedings, and as such he did not and could not remain present, and that the enquiry officer proceeded ex-parte against him. It will be seen from the enquiry proceedings that that a notice by registered post was sent to the workman at his two addresses and that the notice was received by K. S. Patil at one of these two addresses. It is seen from the record that K. S. Patil is the wife of the workman Shri S. B. Patil. Thus the notice regarding the date fixed for enquiry on 30-10-1984 was received by the wife of the workman. The workman also admitted this fact in his reply to the show cause notice as to why he should not be dismissed from service. That reply is at Ex. 24. In that reply the workman clearly stated that the intimation fixing the enquiry as on 30-10-1984 was received by Smt. K. S. Patil. He further stated in that reply that as he was then in severe mental depression and tension, his wife did not inform him about the notice immediately, but told him later on. It will be seen from the enquiry proceedings that the said notice was received on 8-10-1984, i.e. three weeks before the date of enquiry. As such, the workman had sufficient opportunity to make the necessary provision regarding his appearance before the enquiry officer. He says that he did not learn about that date from his wife, even for three weeks, cannot be accepted.

Even assuming that the workman had learnt about the date after 30-10-1984, he could have made the necessary enquiry about the enquiry proceedings either with the Enquiry Officer or with the management, but he did not do so. He raised the said point for the first time in his reply dated 15/18-1-1985 i.e. about 2-1/2 months after the date fixed for the enquiry proceedings. As the said notice dated 8-10-1984 was duly received by Smt. K. S. Patil i.e. one of the family members of the workman, the Enquiry Officer was justified in proceeding further in the matter, as the workman had remained absent on the date of enquiry i.e. 30-10-1984. The workman could have informed his Union to make the necessary arrangement for the appearance of somebody else before the Enquiry Officer. However, no such steps were taken either by the workman or by anybody on his behalf. As such no fault can be attributed either to the

Enquiry Officer or to the management in proceeding further in the matter against the workman.

9. On the date of enquiry i.e. 30-10-1984 only one witness, namely, Smt. V. K. Mahad, Time Officer Clerk was examined on behalf of the administration. She produced the necessary attendance records of the workman Shri S. B. Patil before the Enquiry Officer. Attendance records showed that the workman had remained absent without any leave on the said dates. As the workman had then remained absent, what she has stated in her evidence before the Enquiry Officer went unchallenged. The Enquiry Officer concluded the enquiry on the same day, i.e. on 30-4-1984. He submitted his enquiry report (Ex. 21) on the next day. He found the workman guilty of the charges levelled against him. Thereafter a show-cause notice dated 22-11-1984 (Ex. 23) was issued to the workman by the Regional Director of the Corporation to show cause why he should not be dismissed from service. The workman submitted his reply (Ex. 24). After considering that reply, the Regional Director, issued the order of dismissal from service by letter dated 5-2-1985 (Ex. 25) against the workman. The workman filed his appeal dated 5-7-1985 (Ex. 26) addressed to the Dy. Managing Director of the Corporation. That appeal was rejected, and the workman was informed that his appeal had been considered by the Appellate authority and the same had been rejected (Ex. 27). I therefore find that the enquiry against the workman Shri S. B. Patil was held properly, that the rules of natural justice were properly followed and that proper opportunity was given to the workman to defend himself. Issue No. 1 is found accordingly

#### ISSUE NO. 2

6. According to the Union, the findings of the Enquiry Officer are perverse. A copy of the Enquiry report dated 31-10-1984 is at Ex. 21. From the Attendance Register produced by the Time Officer Clerk, the Enquiry Officer concluded that the workman had remained unauthorisedly absent without intimating the management in advance about his absence or without informing the management thereafter during the dates mentioned above. The Enquiry Officer further made the observations that the workman would come to duty on certain days and then absent himself from duty without taking leave or intimating the officer on some other dates, and as such he used to remain absent again and again. The Enquiry Officer therefore found the charges levelled against the workman under clause 28(13) and (15) of the Standing Orders proved. I find that the said findings of the Enquiry Officer are not at all perverse, but they are just and proper, based on the documents and evidence on record. No oral or documentary evidence was produced by the workman before the Enquiry Officer showing that he had remained absent on some dates after obtaining the necessary permission from the management, informing the management about his absence thereafter. Issue No. 2 is, therefore, found in the negative.

#### ISSUE NO 3

7. According to the Union, the order dated 9-5-1985 of the Deputy Managing Director, rejecting the Appeal of the workman, without communicating any reason to him, is not just and proper. A copy of the Appeal Memo. dated 7-2-1985 filed by the

workman is at Ex. 26. By the letter dated 9-5-1986 (Ex. 27) the Manager, Personnel Services, informed the workman that his appeal against the order of dismissal was considered by the Appellate Authority and the same was rejected. Thus, it is clear from this letter that the appeal of the workman was considered by the Appellate Authority, and the Appellate Authority did apply its mind and thereafter that authority rejected the appeal of the workman. Therefore, the said order dated 9-5-1986 is just proper and legal. Issue No. 3 is found in the affirmative.

#### ISSUE NO. 4

8. It was contended by the management in the written statement that there is no industrial dispute existing between the management and workmen as a class, but only an individual dispute existed. However, this point was not urged at the time of argument. As such, the management conceded that an industrial dispute existed between the parties. I also find that an industrial dispute existed. Issue No. 4 is therefore found accordingly.

#### ISSUE NO. 5

9. According to the Union, the action taken by the management or the Corporation in dismissing the workman Shri S. B. Patil is not just and proper. I find that taking into consideration the past service record of the workman, the action taken is quite just and proper. The charge against the workman is that he had unauthorisedly remained absent for one day on 27th March 1984, for 26 days in April 1984 and 13 days in May 1984. Further, it will be seen from the documentary evidence on record that the workman was habitually remaining absent from time to time. Ex. 7 is a copy of the letter dated 11-12-1983 sent by the Manager, Stores and Purchases of the Corporation to the workman. By this letter he was informed that he had remained absent without permission or any intimation from 5-12-1983 till the date of the letter i.e. 14-12-1983. He was further told that as per the rule of the Corporation, absence without permission for more than eight consecutive days or overstaying the sanctioned leave without sufficient grounds or proper or satisfactory explanation would constitute misconduct. By the said letter the workman was directed to resume his duty immediately and submit his explanation for the absence. This was in December, 1983, i.e. much prior to the incident in question. Ex. 8 is a copy of another letter dated 22-12-1983. It is stated therein that the workman had remained absent without permission from 5-12-1983 to 22-12-1983. Thus the workman had remained absent for 18 days in December, 1983. Ex. 13 is a copy of letter dated 23-4-1984 sent by the Manager, Stores and Purchases of the Corporation to the workman. It is seen from this letter that the workman had remained absent on 4th, 5th and 6th April 1984 without intimation, and also further remained absent from 10th April 1984 till the date of that letter. It is seen from this letter that on 11-4-1984 the workman had informed through telephone the management that he was sick. Therefore, by letter dated 23-4-1984 the management asked the workman to send the necessary medical certificate immediately, and he was further told that, failing

that, disciplinary action would be taken against him. It is seen from the record that even though the management had asked the workman to send the necessary medical certificate regarding his illness no medical certificate was produced by the workman at any time while he was sick or alleged to be sick during period in question i.e. from March to May 1984. In this connection what the workman stated in his reply (Ex. 24) to show cause notice is material. In his reply (Ex. 24) the workman stated that during period from 10-4-1984 to 12-5-1984 i.e. the period in question regarding which the enquiry was held against him, he was under medical treatment, and that he has got the medical certificate issued in that respect, and he also possesses the fitness certificate issued by the Medical Officer. Thus even though he was alleged to be sick in the months of March, April and May 1984, he had not sent any medical certificate to the management till the date of that reply, i.e. 18-1-1985, i.e. for about 7-8 months after the period of alleged sickness. Therefore, the workman had neither applied for leave well in advance, nor got the leave sanctioned immediately thereafter and had not produced the necessary medical certificate during the period of his leave. The workman has produced a fitness Certificate (Ex. 22) dated 14-5-1984. As noted above, the workman had not produced that fitness certificate before the management when he had resumed duty. He produced it 7-8 months after the period in question. Further, even though as this fitness Certificate (Ex. 22) the workman was found fit to resume his duty from 14-5-84 it does not state as to since when he was ill. There is reason to believe that the workman obtained the medical certificate and fitness certificate subsequently. Ex. 14 is a copy of the letter dated 4-5-1984 sent by the Management to the workman. This states about the confidential report against the workman for the year 1982-83 i.e. the year before the year in question. The remark passed against him regarding "Regularity" is "Often late or absent". Thus the workman used to remain absent even since 1982. Ex. 15 is a copy of the letter dated 7-8-1984 sent by the Dy. Manager, Stores and Purchases of the Corporation to the workman after the period of absence in question i.e. March, April and May 1984. From the said letter dated 7-8-1984 (Ex. 15) it is further seen that the workman had remained absent without permission again from 17-7-1984 till the date of that letter i.e. 7-8-1984. By the said letter he was directed to submit his explanation in the matter. It is further seen from the letter dated 14-9-1984 (Ex. 16) that the workman again remained absent without permission from 3-9-1984. It is seen further from the letter dated 5-10-1984 (Ex. 17) that the workman continued to remain absent from 3-9-1984 till the date of that letter i.e. 5-10-1984. It is seen from letter dated 22-10-1984 (Ex. 18) that the workman had not resumed his duty till 22-10-1984. Thus the workman was in the habit of remaining absent again and again without any leave, and he remained absent even subsequent to the period in question.

10. It is seen from Ex. 5 that one more charge-sheet dated 3-4-1984 was issued to the workman by the management for his earlier absence. It is seen therefrom that he had remained absent from 5-12-1983

onwards and again remained absent for several days in January 1984 and for the whole of February 1984. Ex. 23 is the notice dated 22-12-1984 issued by the management to the workman to show cause why he should not be dismissed from service, after the necessary enquiry was held against him. It is further seen from this show cause noticed that one more enquiry was held against him earlier. It was alleged in that enquiry that he had sub-let the flat which was allotted to him by the Corporation, unauthorisedly and illegally to somebody else. The charge was held proved in that enquiry. It was proposed to impose the punishment of dismissal as a result of that enquiry. However, a lenient view was taken by the management and a punishment of stoppage of two increments was imposed upon him with effect from 1-11-1984. Thus a very serious misconduct was committed by the workman by sub-letting the flat which was let to the workman for his residence. Even then a lenient view was taken by the management. Therefore, taking into consideration the said very bad record of the workman regarding his presence and absence in the office, the action taken by the management in dismissing him from service cannot at all be said to be unjust and improper. I find that the said action is quite just and proper. Issue No. 5 is found in the affirmative.

## ISSUE NO. 6

11. As such the workman is entitled to no relief.  
Issue No. 6 is found accordingly.

## ISSUE NO. 7

12. In the result, the following Award is passed.

## AWARD

The action of the management of Indian Airlines, Bombay, in dismissing from services Shri S. B. Patil Stores and Supplies Sundt. with effect from 5-2-1985 is justified. The parties to bear their own costs of this Reference.

P. D. APSHANKAR, Presiding Officer

[No. L-11012|12|87-D.JI(B)|IR(Misc.)]

का.प्रा. 2697—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अन्तर्गत, केन्द्रीय सरकार एयर इंडिया, लिमिटेड के प्रबंधन के संबंध में नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारियों के बीच, संबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण सं. 2, लिमिटेड के पंचपट को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 18-9-90 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

S.O. 2697.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal No. 2, Bombay as shown in the annexure, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Air India, Bombay and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 18-9-1990.

## ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO. 2, BOMBAY

PRESENT :

Shri P. D. Apshankar, Presiding Officer  
Reference No. CGIT-2/49 of 1988

PARTIES :

Employers in relation to the Management of Air India.  
Bombay

AND

Their workmen.

**APPEARANCES:**

For the Employers : Shri M. M. Varma, Advocate.

2. Miss Anjali Sheth, Advocate.

For the workmen : Shri Hemant Kumar, Secretary, Air Corporations Employees' Union.

INDUSTRY : Airlines                      STATE : Maharashtra  
Bombay, dated the 4th September, 1990

## AWARD

The Central Government by their Order No. L-11012/11/88-D.II(B) DIII(B) dated 17-11-1988 have referred the following industrial disputes to this Tribunal for adjudication under Section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 :—

“Whether the action of the management of Air India in withholding the increment of Mrs. K. Singh, Sr. Stenographer Inflight Services Deptt. Cabin Crew Training Centre, San'a-cruz from March 1, 1987 for a period of one year is legal and justified? If not, what relief the workman entitled to?”

The case of the workman i.e. the lady Mrs. K. Singh as disclosed from the statement of claim (Ex. 2) filed on her behalf by the Secretary of the Air Corporation Employees' Union, in short, is thus:

The lady Mrs. K. Singh joined the services of Air India Corporation in March 1977 as a Stenographer and was subsequently promoted as a Senior Stenographer in January 1985. In or about June or July 1986 a dispute arose between her and the authorities over the question of the job functions of a Sr. Stenographer, as one of the officers had assigned her a job of typing out a book. The Air India has a typing pool and employs typists to carry out the job of typing. Stenographers constitute a separate cadre in terms of pay scales, seniority and job functions. The dispute was referred to the senior officers in the department. However, in the meantime a chargesheet was issued against the said lady by the management. It was alleged against her of committing misconduct, i.e. wilful disobedience of lawful and reasonable order of her superior, under the Air



India Employees Service Regulations. The said lady asked for a copy of the said service Regulations, and also of job functions of Senior Stenographer. However, no reply was sent to her in that respect. Thereafter an enquiry committee to enquire into the charge against that lady, was constituted in September 1986.

3. The further allegations of the Union are thus: The Air India Employees Service Regulations do not apply to the workmen of Air India. The Standing Orders or Model Standing Orders apply to them. The Commercial Manager, Inflight Services, was not one competent authority under Air India Employees Service Regulations to initiate and leave the chargesheet against the said lady, or also to constitute the Enquiry Committee, or to impose the punishment upon her. As such, the punishment imposed by him is bad in law. On 31-10-1986, the lady requested the Dy. Director, Inflight Services to hold a fresh enquiry in the matter on the ground that the Commercial Manager was not the competent authority to impose the punishment. The Deputy Director modified and rectified the order of punishment. The punishment firstly imposed was reduction of pay of Rs. 690 to 660 for a period of one year from November 1986. That punishment was rectified and the subsequent order passed was of withholding of her increment for a period of one year due on 1-3-1977. The subsequent rectification order is also not valid and legal as the punishment was firstly imposed by an authority who was not competent in the matter. Against the said order the said lady filed an appeal before the Appellate Authority i.e. the Managing Director. However, the appeal was rejected by the Appellate Authority. Thereafter the said lady filed review appeal to the Managing Director. However, no reply was sent by that authority to that lady.

4. The Union further contended thus :— Even though the Dy. Director Inflight Service was the Competent Authority to impose the punishment upon a Senior Stenographer Shri M. P. Mascarenhas, who signed the order rectifying the order of the Commercial Manager, was not a Dy. Director, Inflight Services, but he was holding that post on deputation only for a short time, and his original designation was Commercial Manager. As such, Shri Mascarenhas was not the competent authority to impose the punishment upon that lady. Shri Mascarenhas was not entitled to issue any order imposing punishment on that lady and had not issued any show-cause notice to her before he passed the said order. The proceedings conducted by the Enquiry Committee on 9-10-1986 were in breach of the principles of natural justice, and as such, bad in law. The proceedings were conclu-

ded hastily that the lady voluntarily admitted the guilt. This was done by putting the words into the mouth of her defence counsel by the Enquiry Committee.

The Union therefore prayed that the enquiry held by the Enquiry Committee be set aside by this Tribunal, that the punishment imposed upon her be set aside, that management be directed to pay her the amount of increment that was withheld for one year with interest thereon.

5. The Air India by their written statement (Ex. 3) opposed the said claim of the Union and in substance contended thus :—

The lady Mrs. K. Singh was required to perform the duties attached to the post of Sr. Stenographer. The contention of the Union that the lady was assigned the job of typing out a book is not correct. The Stenographers are required to take dictation and then type the matter transcribed by them in shorthand. Mrs. Singh was required to do the same job. Since she refused to carry out the lawful and reasonable orders of her superior, she was issued with a chargesheet for her misconduct as per the provisions of Air India Employees Service Regulations. The Competent Authority constituted an Enquiry Committee to enquire into the charges levelled against the said lady. A proper and valid Enquiry Committee was constituted by the Competent Authority as per the provisions of Air India Employees Service Regulations. The employees of Air India are governed by the said regulations. The Commercial Manager, Inflight Services, was competent authority to initiate disciplinary proceedings against the said lady and to impose the punishment. The said lady had preferred an appeal to the Appellate Authority i.e. Dy. Director Inflight Service. The Appellate Authority, after considering the merits of the case, concluded that withholding of her increment for a period of one year is justifiable under the circumstances of the case. In other words the Competent Authority modified the earlier order of the competent authority of reduction by one stage in the scale of pay for a period of one year from 1-1-1986 to that of withholding of increment for a period of one year from 1-3-1987. The review of the order by the Appellate Authority i.e. Dy. Director, Inflight Service, was legal and valid. The said lady had preferred an Appeal to the Managing Director and the Managing Director, after considering the Appeal on merits, rejected her Appeal. Shri M. P. Mascarenhas was holding the post of Dy. Director Inflight Service and he was competent to review the order of the Commercial Manager, he being the Appellate Authority. The proceedings held by the Enquiry Committee were not violative of the principles of natural justice. The said lady had voluntarily admitted the charge levelled against her. It is not true that the words were put in the



mouth of her Defence Counsel by the Enquiry Committee. A very mild punishment has been imposed upon the lady for wilful insubordination of lawful and reasonable orders of her superiors. Any act of indiscipline on the part of the workman is prejudicial to the interests of the Corporation.

The Air India lastly contended that the action taken by them in the matter be held as just and proper, and prayed for the rejection of the prayer of the Union.

6 The Issues framed at Ex. 4 are :—

- (1) Whether the enquiry held against the lady Mrs. K. Singh was not held properly, and the rules of natural justice were not followed ?
- (2) Whether the Air India Employees Service Regulations do not apply to the service conditions of the said lady?
- (3) Whether the Commercial Manager, Inflight Services was not competent to initiate the enquiry, and to impose the punishment upon the said lady?
- (4) Whether the subsequent rectification of the order of the Commercial Manager by the Dy. Director, Inflight Services, is illegal and bad in law ?
- (5) Whether Shri M. P. Mascarenhas was not then competent to rectify the order of the Commercial Manager ?
- (6) Whether the order of the Managing Director dated 15-7-1987 rejecting the appeal of Mrs. Singh is legal, valid and proper ?
- (7) Whether the action of the management of Air India in withholding the increment of Mrs. K. Singh, Sr. Stenographer Inflight Services Dept. Cabin Crew Training Centre, Santacruz from March 1, 1987 for a period of one year, is legal and justified ?
- (8) If not, what relief the workman is entitled to ?
- (9) What Award ?

7. My findings on the above Issues are :—

- (1) Yes
- (2) Yes
- (3) Yes
- (4) Yes
- (5) Yes
- (6) Does not survive
- (7) No
- (8) As per Award below.
- (9) As per Award below.

#### REASONS

8. The employee concerned, i.e. the lady Mrs. K. Singh filed a very exhaustive affidavit (Ex. 17) in support of the different contentions raised by the Union on her behalf. She was cross-examined on

behalf of the management. No oral evidence was led on behalf of the management, i.e. Air India. The written statement in the present case in reply to the Claim Statement filed by the Union, has been filed by the Dy. Industrial Relations Manager, Shri S. Narayanmurthy, at Ex. 3. According to the Union, the Dy. Industrial Relations Manager is not competent to file any written statement on behalf of the Corporation, i.e. management of Air India. Ex. 20 is a copy of the Instrument of Delegation of Financial and Administrative Powers of the Corporation. Clause 3 of the Instrument states as to who can file the written statement and the affidavit. The post of Managing Director, Dy. Managing Director, Sr. Industrial Relations Managers, Industrial Relations Manager, Dy. Personnel Manager etc. are seen in clause 3(1) of that Instrument. The post of Dy. Industrial Relations Manager is not seen in that clause. According to the Corporation, the post of Dy. Industrial Relations Manager and Dy. Personnel Manager are of the same rank, the pay scales of the said posts are the same, and hence the Dy. Industrial Relations Manager is competent to file the written statement on behalf of the Corporation. However, as the post of Dy. Industrial Relations Manager is not appearing in Clause 3(1) of the Instrument of Delegation of Financial and Administrative Powers (Ex. 20). I find that the Dy. Industrial Manager was not the competent officer to file the written statement (Ex. 3) on behalf of the Corporation. As such the contentions raised in that written statement on behalf of the Corporation cannot be legally taken into consideration and the contention of validity and legality of the action taken against the said lady cannot also be validly and legally taken into consideration. In substance, there is no legal and valid written statement of the Corporation on the record.

9. I further find that the domestic enquiry held against the said lady is suffering from infirmities, and such the action taken by the management cannot be considered legal and valid, as can be seen from the following discussion :—

10. The chargesheet in question has been issued by the management under the provisions of Air India Employees Service Regulations. According to the Union, the Corporation and its employees are governed by the Standing Orders framed under the Industrial Employment (Standing Orders) Act, 1946 and not by the Air India Employees Service Regulations. I also find that the Corporation and its employees are governed by the Standing Orders framed under the Industrial Employment (Standing Orders) Act, 1946, and that these Standing orders will prevail over the Air India Employees Service Regulations. Therefore, the chargesheet issued under these Service Regulations cannot be considered as valid and legal. Therefore, the further enquiry held under the provisions of Air India Employees Service Regulations cannot also be considered as valid and legal. The chargesheet in question dated 30-7-1986 (Ex. 5) has been issued by the Commercial Manager, Inflight Services against the said lady. The Enquiry Committee consisting of two officers has also been constituted as per the order of Commercial Manager (Ex. 8). Again a reference will have to be made

to the said Instrument of Delegation of Financial and Administrative Powers of the Corporation (Ex. 20). Clause 3(1) enumerates the designations of officers who can initiate, prosecution of defend all legal proceedings affecting any matter in which the Corporation is interested or may be interested. The chargesheet in question has been issued by the Commercial Manager. However, the post of Commercial Manager is not appearing in clause 3(1) of the Instrument of Delegation. Those Officers are Managing Director, Dy. Managing Director, Industrial Relations Manager etc. As such the Commercial Manager was not competent to issue the chargesheet against the lady and constitute the Enquiry Committee. Therefore, the chargesheet issued by the Commercial Manager and the Enquiry Committee constituted by him, cannot be considered as valid and legal. Therefore, the further enquiry proceedings held by the Enquiry Committee cannot also be considered as valid and legal, and the Enquiry report of the Enquiry Committee cannot also be accepted in law.

11. Even assuming that the chargesheet issued was valid and legal, and the Enquiry Committee was validly and legally constituted, still the findings of the Enquiry Committee that the said lady had committed the misconduct as alleged against her, cannot be accepted. A copy of the Enquiry Committee report is at Ex. 21. The enquiry committee has relied upon certain alleged admission of the charge by the said lady. In this connection, the proceedings of the Enquiry Committee held on 10-10-1986 (Ex. 11) are material. These proceedings are thus :—

“Mr. Shiv Kumar the Defence Counsel for Mrs. K. Singh informed the Committee that Mrs. Singh would like to admit the charge levelled against her vide Charge-sheet No. IS/KS/1185 dated July 30, 1986 and admit her guilt, voluntary, and requested the committee that the voluntary admission of the charges and guilt by Mrs. Singh should be accepted by the Committee and the proceedings concluded at this stage. The Defence Counsel further requested the Committee that the Management should take a lenient view in this case as the whole incident was out of emotional outburst due to some family problems, and Mrs. Singh assures that she would perform her duties most sincerely and faithfully in future, and regrets for the earlier incidents.

In view of the voluntary admission of guilt by the employee charged it was decided to conclude the proceedings at this stage and no further evidence was recorded.”

12. As can be seen from this proceedings the statement made by the defence counsel was that the employee Mrs. K. Singh would like to admit the charge levelled against her. The statement made by him, was not that he was admitting the charge on her behalf. Further, no separate statement of that lady admitting the charge has been recorded by the Enquiry Committee. It is true that the proceedings

are bearing the signature of the said lady Mrs. K. Singh, and also of defence representative Shri Shiv Kumar. Even then I find that a separate statement of the said lady admitting the charge levelled against her should have been recorded by the Enquiry Committee with the signature of the said lady therebelow. The statement that Mrs. K. Singh assures that she would perform her duties most sincerely and faithfully in future, and regrets for the earlier incidents, is not sufficient, unless the statement admitting the charge has been made by Mrs. K. Singh or by her defence representative in clear words. From the way in which the enquiry proceedings dated 10-10-1986 have been recorded, it cannot be safely concluded that the said lady had admitted the charge levelled against her. It may be noted that on the earlier date when the charges were explained to her, she had not admitted. Therefore, the findings of the Enquiry Committee based on this alleged admission of the employee that she had committed the misconduct in question, cannot be accepted in law. Because of the alleged admission of the charge, the witness who deposed about the incident in question was not offered for cross-examination by the defence representative. Hence in the absence of cross-examination of the witness that evidence also cannot be read in evidence now.

13. The charge against the said lady was of “wilful disobedience of lawful and reasonable order of her superior”. As a Senior Stenographer, the said lady was attached to work for a particular officer as can be seen from her cross-examination recorded before this Tribunal. According to the management, Shri Ramanan had asked that lady to type out certain menus but she did not type and shouted at him. According to the said lady as a Senior Stenographer and she was attached to work with Ramanan. No such evidence has been led in this case on behalf of the Corporation. Therefore, the charge of wilful disobedience of lawful and reasonable order of her superior cannot be held proved, and the finding of the Enquiry Committee in that respect cannot be considered as valid and legal. For all these infirmities and technical defects in the enquiry proceedings the action of the management of Air India in lady cannot be considered as valid, legal and justifiable. Issue No. 7 is therefore found in negative. As such the said lady is entitled to the arrears of the amount of her increment that was withheld for a period one year, from the management.

Issue No. 8 is found accordingly. For the above said reasons Issues Nos. 1 to 5 are found in the affirmative. Issue No. 6 does not survive.

14. In the result, the following Award is passed.

#### AWARD

The action of the management of Air India in withholding the increment of Mrs. K. Singh Sr. Stenographer Inflight Services Dept. Cabin Crew Training Centre, Santacruz from 1-3-1987 for a period of one year is not legal, valid and justified.

Air India Corporation is directed to pay the arrears of the amount of the withheld increment of Mrs. K. Singh, to her immediately. The parties to bear their own costs of this Reference.

P. D. APSHANKAR, Presiding Officer.

Dated :—4th September, 1990.

[No. L-11012/11/88-D.II(B)/D.III(B)]

का.आ. 2698 औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार मोर्मुगाओ पोर्ट ट्रस्ट, मोर मोर्मुगाओ के प्रबंध, तंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच अनुरोध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण सं. 2, बम्बई के पंचपट को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 18-9-90 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

S.O. 2698.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, No. 2, Bombay as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Mormugao Port Trust, Mormugao and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 18-9-90.

#### ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO 2, BOMBAY

PRESENT

Shri P. D. Apshankar, Presiding Officer

Reference No. CGIT-2/30 of 1988

PARTIES

Employers in relation to the management of Mormugao Port Trust, Mormugao

AND

Their Workmen

APPEARANCES

For the Employers.—Shri P. M. Patel, Advocate

For the Workmen.—Shri P. B. Dondc, Secretary, Mormugao Water Front Workers' Union.

STATE : Goa INDUSTRY : Ports and Docks  
Bombay, dated the 5th September 1990.

#### AWARD

The Central Government by their order No. L-36012/01/88-D.IV(A)/D.III(B) dated 23-9-1988 have referred the following industrial dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication under Section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 :—

“Whether the action of the management of Mormugao Port Trust, Mormugao in cancelling the promotion of Shri Passi Outaram Sitaram from Khalasee to Attendant Gr. I vide their order dated 20-4-87 is justified ?

If not, to what relief is the workman entitled ?”

2. The case of the workman Shri Passi Outaram Sitaram as disclosed from the statement of claim (Ex. 2) filed on his behalf by the Secretary, Mormugao Water Front Workers' Union, in short, is thus :—

The workman Shri Passi Outaram Sitaram was employed as a Khalasi in the Chief Mechanical Engineers Department of the Mormugao Port Trust in 1981. In or about November 1985 he was called for interview for promotion to the post of Attendant Grade I by the Departmental Promotion Committee, and after due verification of his record, he was selected for the said promotion post by that Committee and he was promoted by the order of the Chief Mechanical Engineer dated 10-1-1986 with effect from 14-12-1985. While the pay scale of the former post was Rs. 550-726, the pay scale of the post promoted was Rs. 775-806. He had worked satisfactorily on his post of promotion. However, by order dated 28-4-1987 he was demoted to the post of Khalasi, cancelling his earlier promotion. Further, that order was issued by a person not ranking of the post of Chief Mechanical Engineer, but somebody else had signed for the Chief Mechanical Engineer. By that order the earlier order of his promotion was cancelled and he was reverted to the post of Khalasi. His order of promotion was cancelled on the ground that he did not fulfil the condition regarding educational qualification for the post of Attendant Gr. I. However, even in the past some workmen were promoted from the post of Khalasi to that of Attendant Gr. I even though they did not possess the alleged qualification. The workman in question is a Schedule Caste employee, and as such, was entitled to the post of promotion. In the past there was no question of educational qualification raised by the management regarding promotion, prior to 1987. The order regarding cancellation of the order of promotion was issued 14 months after the order of promotion and as such the order of cancellation is bad in law.

The Union, therefore, prayed that this Tribunal should cancel the order of the management regarding cancellation of promotion of the said workman and should direct the management to continue him in service on the post of promotion with continuity and full back wages.

3. The management of the Mormugao Port Trust by their written statement (Ex. 3) opposed the said claim of the Union and in substance contended thus :—

The said workman was appointed as a Khalasi even though he did not possess the necessary educational qualification. He was so appointed as he was working as Gangman and was likely to be retrenched, and hence the

requirement of educational qualification was relaxed as provided in the recruitment rules. The workman Shri Passi Outaram Sitaram had never claimed at any time while at the time of appointment or at any time thereafter that he was a member of Schedule Caste. He was not promoted as a member of reserved category. In the seniority list through mistake the educational qualification of the said workman was shown as Standard IX instead of Standard IV. It was only a clerical mistake. The educational qualification in the Recruitment Rules for promotion for the post of Attendant Gr. I was middle school standard with two years' experience as Helper. Middle school standard means Standard VII. Therefore, the said workman was not qualified for promotion for the post of Attendant Gr. I, when the Departmental Promotion Committee based its decision on the seniority list of the employees. After the said workman was promoted to the post of Attendant Gr. I, some other workmen who did not get the promotion, brought to the notice of the management that the said workman was not then possessing the necessary educational qualification even though he was promoted to the said post. Thereafter, the management re-checked the service record in April 1986 and found that the said workman did not in fact possess the necessary educational qualification for the post of promotion, but that he had passed only IVth Standard examination. After this mistake came to the notice of the management, the Chief Mechanical Engineer brought this fact to the notice of the Secretary, Mormugao Port Trust, and it was brought to the notice of the Departmental Promotion Committee. Thereafter the Departmental Promotion Committee corrected its mistake and cancelled the promotion of the said workman. Thereafter the Chief Mechanical Engineer passed the necessary order cancelling the earlier order of promotion with retrospective effect i.e. from the date he was promoted. However, as the said workman had in fact worked on the post of promotion as Attendant Grade I for 14 months, the management, to be fair to him, considered the said promotion as a promotion on ad-hoc basis and paid him the necessary wages of the post of promotion.

4. The management further contended thus:—

After the promotion of the said workman was cancelled, the Union raised an industrial dispute before the Assistant Labour Commissioner (C), Vasco-de-Gama that representation the Union had categorically stated that the workman did not possess the necessary educational qualification required for the said post of promotion. The workman did not produce the School Leaving Certificate that he had passed VIIth Standard Examination. Even assuming that the said workman was a member of Scheduled Caste, there was no provision in the Recruitment Rules of the Mormugao Port Trust regarding relaxation of the educational

qualification in the case of Schedule Caste employees, for promotion to the said post. It was for the first time that the Union had contended before the Assistant Labour Commissioner (C) that the said workman was a member of Scheduled Caste. The management then denied the contention of the workman that he was called for interview by the Departmental Promotion Committee or that he was promoted on verification of the record and after his interview. In fact the seniority list of the employees was furnished to the Departmental Promotion Committee by the management and through mistake the educational qualification came to be mentioned as Standard IX and hence order regarding his promotion came to be issued. The order regarding cancellation of the promotion has been signed by the Chief Mechanical Engineer. It is not true that in the past some other workmen were promoted from the post of Khalasees to the post of Attendant Gr. I even though they did not possess the necessary qualification. Only in the case of persons who have been working with the Mormugao Port Trust as Extra Labour Requisition, the Recruitment Rules have not been made applicable to them. The management lastly prayed that its action in question may be upheld by this Tribunal and the prayer of the Union be rejected.

5. (The Issues framed at Ex. 4 are :—

- (1) Whether the action of the management of Mormugao Port Trust, Mormugao in cancelling the promotion of Shri Passi Outaram Sitaram from Khalasee to Attendant Gr. I vide their order dated 20-4-1987 is justified?
- (2) If not, to what relief is the workman entitled?
- (3) What Award?

6. My findings on the above issues are :—

- (1) Yes.
- (2) Does not survive.
- (3) As per the Award below.

### REASONS

Issues Nos. 1 and 2

7. The then Senior Clerk Shri Donald Pereira working in the Engineering Mechanical Department of the Chief Mechanical Engineer, filed his affidavit at Ex. 10 in support of the case of the management. The representative of the Union made a statement before this Tribunal that he did not want to cross-examine the witness. Further, no oral evidence was led on behalf of the Union in support of its case. Therefore, what the witness stated in his affidavit has gone unchallenged. The witness, in substance, stated in his affidavit thus :—

"Certain vacancies in the post of Attendant Gr. I were to be filled in. Therefore, the department concerned submitted the names of certain employees including that of the workman Shri Passi to the Departmental Promotion Committee and to the General Administration Department, for considering them for promotion, along with copy of seniority Register. In the original Seniority

Register, the educational qualification of the workman Shri Passi was mentioned as Standard IV, but the typist who typed out the copy of the seniority Register, misread the entry regarding educational qualification as Standard IX, instead of Standard IV. The Departmental promotion Committee relying upon that entry regarding educational qualification of the workman Shri Passi promoted him for the post of Attendant Gr. I. Later on the complaint of some other workman, it came to the notice of the management that in fact the workman had not passed Standard IX examination but had passed Standard IV examination. Therefore, the management verified the original seniority register and found that in fact the educational qualification of the workman Shri Passi was Standard IV only. Therefore, his promotion came to be cancelled."

As noted above, the affidavit/evidence of the above witness has gone unchallenged. It is also not disputed by the workman that his educational qualification was only Standard IVth or Vth. The Union had admitted this said in their letters addressed to the Chief Mechanical Engineer and the Assistant Labour Commissioner (C) (Ex. 22 and 27) Ex. 11 is a copy of extract from Recruitment Rules. It will be seen therefrom that the educational qualification required for direct recruitment to the post of Attendant Gr. I is middle school standard. It is true that the said workman was promoted not by direct recruitment but was promoted through departmental promotion committee. Even then it is not challenged or disputed by the Union that the educational qualification for the post of Attendant Gr. I is middle school standard. By letter dated 18-9-1984 (Ex. 15) the Secretary, MPT, made it clear that middle School standard means having passed VIIth standard. Admittedly the workman had not passed VIIth Standard examination. Ex. 13 is a copy of the Memorandum dated 2-2-1981 regarding the transfer of the said workman. In that Memorandum it was made clear that he would not be entitled for promotion to any other higher posts unless he fulfils the requirements of Recruitment Rules thereof. Therefore, the workman should have passed VIIth Standard examination to enable him to claim the promotion for the post of Attendant Gr. I.

8. In the letter dated 28-4-1987 (Ex. 22) the General Secretary of the Union requested the management

that eventhough the educational qualification of the workman was Vth Standard, as he was a member of the Scheduled Caste, his educational qualification of Vth Standard should be considered sufficient and valid for the post of Attendant Gr. I. The same request was made by the Union to the ALC(C) in that respect. The workman has produced copies of the Caste certificates (Exs. 24 and 25) showing that he was a member of Scheduled Caste i.e. Passi. However, the Union could not refer to any rules and regulations of the MPT showing that in the case of Scheduled Caste employee, the educational qualification can be relaxed for the purpose of promotion to the post of Attendant Gr. I. According to the management, the necessary condition of educational qualification is not relaxable even in the case of scheduled caste person for the purpose of said promotion. Therefore, the workman cannot claim promotion to the said post on the basis that he is a person belonging to Scheduled Caste.

9. According to the Union, certain other workmen were promoted to the said post and the conditions of educational qualification was relaxed in their cases. According to the management, no persons were promoted to the said post who did not possess the necessary educational qualification in the cases similar to that of the workman. The Union did not led any oral or documentary evidence in support its contention as above. Therefore, their contention cannot be accepted. Therefore, as the said workman did not possess the necessary educational qualification for promotion to the post of Attendant Gr. I, the action of the management in cancelling his promotion to the post of Attendant Gr. I from the post of Khalasi is quite just and legal. Issue No. 1 is found in the affirmative. As such the said workmen is entitled to no relief. In the result, the following Award is passed.

#### AWARD

The action of the management of Mormugao Port Trust, Mormugao in cancelling the promotion of Shri Passi Outaram Sitaram from Khalasec to Attendant Gr. I, is just and proper.

The parties to bear their own costs of this Reference.

Dated 5-9-90

P. D. APSHANKAR, Presiding Officer  
[No. L-36012/01/88-D.IV(A)/D.III(B)]  
V. K. SHARMA, Desk Officer

